

MOTIVIC LANDWEBER EXACT THEORIES AND ÉTALE COHOMOLOGY

ELDEN ELMANTO, MARC LEVINE, MARKUS SPITZWECK, PAUL ARNE ØSTVÆR

ABSTRACT. Thomason’s étale descent theorem for Bott periodic algebraic K -theory [70] is generalized to any motivic Landweber exact theory in the sense of [54], for essentially smooth schemes over a perfect field. This is achieved by amplifying the effects from the case of motivic cohomology, using the slice spectral sequence in the case of the universal example of algebraic cobordism. As applications, we construct an étale descent spectral sequence converging to Bott-inverted motivic Landweber exact theories, prove cellularity and effectivity of the étale versions of these motivic spectra, and describe their module categories.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	6
2.1. τ -motivic homotopy theory	6
2.2. Comparing motivic categories	9
2.3. Voevodsky’s motives	12
3. The slice comparison paradigm	14
4. Some étale connectivity results	19
4.1. Notions of connectivity in motivic homotopy	28
4.2. Main connectivity results	29
5. The forgetful functor π_* and colimits	30
5.1. Categorical preliminaries	31
5.2. Compact, strongly dualizable, and projective objects	31
6. Proofs of main theorems	33
6.1. Algebraic cobordism	37
6.2. Integral statements	46
6.3. Landweber exact theories	48
7. Applications and consequences	49
7.1. The étale hyperdescent spectral sequence	50
7.2. Cellularity and effectivity	51
7.3. Equivalence of categories	52
8. Appendix A: Periodization and localization	53
8.1. Periodization	53
8.2. Localization	56
9. Appendix B: E-slice completeness and E-locality	59
10. Appendix C: Transfers for MGL	60
References	62

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F20, 14F42, 19E15, 55P42, 55P43.

Key words and phrases. Motivic homotopy theory, étale motives, slice spectral sequence, algebraic cobordism, algebraic K -theory, motivic cohomology.

1. INTRODUCTION

In constructing the motivic homotopy category $H(S)$ over a base scheme S , Morel and Voevodsky [53] use the Nisnevich topology. One inspiration for this choice is the fact that many interesting invariants of schemes such as algebraic K -theory and Chow groups satisfy Nisnevich (hyper)descent but not étale (hyper)descent. As a result, the venture of motivic homotopy theory has focused on algebro-geometric invariants which are Nisnevich local in nature.

On a technical level, the Nisnevich topology is generated by a cd-structure [76], and hence descent or fibrancy can be described via a Mayer-Vietoris condition. A simplicial presheaf \mathcal{F} on finite type smooth S -schemes satisfies motivic Nisnevich descent if and only if for every $X \in \text{Sm}_S$: (1) $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is a Kan complex, (2) the projection $X \times \mathbf{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ induces a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{F}(X) \simeq \mathcal{F}(X \times \mathbf{A}^1)$, (3) $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) \simeq *$ is contractible, and (4) \mathcal{F} takes every distinguished Nisnevich square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times_X V & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

to a homotopy Cartesian square of simplicial sets [18, Definition 2.10]. A consequence is that the Nisnevich cohomological dimension of S is bounded above by its Krull dimension; this is important for dualizability and compactness questions, and also for the convergence of spectral sequences derived from motivic homotopy theory.

However, there are good reasons to study versions of $H(S)$ and its stable counterpart $\text{SH}(S)$ using the étale topology. Indeed, $\text{SH}(S)$ suffers from the fact that its “linearization”, i.e., Voevodsky’s big category of motives DM [60] and subcategories thereof, cannot admit the elusive motivic t -structure [81, Proposition 4.8]. In recent years, a theory of étale motives has been developed by Ayoub [4] and by Cisinski-Deglise [11], including a full-fledged six functors formalism; for an overview, see [3]. The étale theory has many good properties — from the construction of an integral étale cycle class map [11, Section 7.1] to providing an environment for the conservativity conjecture [3, Section 5.1].

This article is a contribution to our understanding of the *homotopical* analogue of the above *homological* picture. We are interested in a specific question which is, in some sense, quite classical:

Question 1.1. What is the difference between $\text{SH}(S)$ and $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)$, the stable motivic homotopy categories constructed in the Nisnevich and étale topologies?

These categories agree upon rationalization, at least if -1 is a sum of squares, so our question is basically one of torsion. The main difference concerns the descent condition; whereas the Mayer-Vietoris property with respect to distinguished Nisnevich squares is sufficient for descent in $\text{SH}(S)$, the more involved étale hyperdescent condition takes precedence in $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)$. An étale hypercover $U_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ is essentially a generalized Čech nerve construction, where at each stage one allows a further étale covering, and the descent condition amounts to a weak equivalence between $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and the homotopy limit of the diagram $\mathcal{F}(U_{\bullet})$ [16, Definition 4.3]. Since weak equivalences between constant simplicial sets are just set isomorphisms, one can view the hyperdescent condition as a natural homotopical generalization of the usual sheaf condition.

1.0.1. *Thomason’s work.* A version of Question 1.1 was answered by Thomason in his seminal paper [70] – which the title of our paper pays tribute to. Suppose X is a separated, regular, noetherian scheme of finite Krull dimension. For ℓ a prime, we say that X is a T_{ℓ} -scheme if: (1) ℓ invertible in X , (2) the residue fields of X have a uniformly bounded mod- ℓ étale cohomological dimension, and admit Tate-Tsen filtrations, (3) if $\ell = 2$, $\sqrt{-1} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

With these technical hypotheses at hand, Thomason [70, Theorem 2.45] proved the following amazing result for Bott periodic mod- ℓ^v algebraic K -theory, where $\acute{\text{E}}t_X$ is the small étale site on X and Sp is the category of spectra.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose X is a T_ℓ -scheme and let $\beta \in \pi_2(K(-)/\ell^v)$ be a Bott element [70, A.7]. Then the presheaf of spectra:*

$$K(-)/\ell^v[\beta^{-1}] : \acute{\text{E}}t_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}; U \mapsto K(U)/\ell^v[\beta^{-1}],$$

satisfies étale hyperdescent.

Remark 1.3. Over a regular base scheme, “Bott-inverting” the suspension spectrum of \mathbf{P}^∞ in SH yields algebraic K -theory [23], [69]. This should not be confused with the Bott inversion taking place in Theorem 1.2.

One concrete manifestation of étale hyperdescent is the strongly convergent descent spectral sequence for X a T_ℓ -scheme:

$$H_{\acute{\text{E}}t}^*(X, \underline{\pi}_*^{\acute{\text{E}}t} K/\ell^v[\beta^{-1}]) \Rightarrow \pi_* K/\ell^v(X)[\beta^{-1}],$$

which by Gabber rigidity allows for concrete computations of the target groups, see [70] Examples 4.4, 4.8, 4.18.

In the language of motivic homotopy theory, we may reformulate Theorem 1.2 for the motivic spectrum KGL/ℓ_X^v representing mod- ℓ^v K -theory over X : the unit of the adjunction between stable motivic homotopy categories:

$$(1) \quad \pi^* : \text{SH}(X) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}_{\acute{\text{E}}t}(X) : \pi_*,$$

furnished by étale localization induces an isomorphism in $\text{SH}(X)$:

$$\text{KGL}/\ell_X^v[\beta^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_* \pi^* \text{KGL}/\ell_X^v.$$

Phrased this way, motivic homotopy theory also provides a universal property of β -inverted K -theory as étale localization.

1.0.2. *Beilinson-Lichtenbaum, Milnor, and Bloch-Kato conjectures.* The story in Section 1.0.1 has a parallel in motivic cohomology. Suppose ℓ is prime to the characteristic of the field k , and $X \in \text{Sm}_k$. Then the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture, which is a consequence of the Milnor and Bloch-Kato conjectures, offers the following comparison isomorphism.

Theorem 1.4. (Voevodsky [79]) *For $p \leq q$ and $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, the étale sheafification functor induces an isomorphism between motivic and étale cohomology groups:*

$$H^{p,q}(X; \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\acute{\text{E}}t}^p(X; \mu_{\ell^v}^{\otimes q}).$$

While this result seems a little different than Theorem 1.2 we can actually deduce an analogous statement for motivic cohomology (see, e.g., [31, Corollary 3.6]). In effect, we choose a generator $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}} \in H^{0,e(\ell^v)}(\text{Spec } k; \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v$, where $e(\ell^v)$ is short for the exponent of the group $(\mathbf{Z}/\ell^v)^\times$. Using Theorem 1.4 and the fact that motivic cohomology in negative weight vanishes, we deduce for all integers $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ an isomorphism:

$$H^{p,q}(X; \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v)[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \cong H_{\acute{\text{E}}t}^p(X; \mu_{\ell^v}^{\otimes q}).$$

With additional assumptions on ℓ and k , the latter isomorphism can also be shown without invoking Theorem 1.4, see [39]. In motivic terms, we may reformulate the isomorphism in a more structured way by using the motivic spectrum MZ/ℓ_X^v over X representing mod- ℓ motivic cohomology. That is, the unit of the adjunction (1) induces an equivalence in $\text{SH}(X)$:

$$(2) \quad \text{MZ}/\ell_X^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_* \pi^* \text{MZ}/\ell_X^v.$$

We interpret the above equivalence as an answer to the linearized version of Question 1.1: the categories $\text{DM}(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v)$ and $\text{DM}_{\acute{\text{E}}t}(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v)$ differ only by inversion of the element $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}$. Indeed, this was formalized and verified by Haesemayer-Hornbostel in [25], at least when $X = \text{Spec } k$ and for the subcategories generated by motives of k -varieties; we refine their result in Theorem 7.10 and generalize it to non-linear situations in Theorem 1.8.

1.0.3. *What is done in this paper?* In broad strokes we use (2) to prove Thomason's style étale descent theorems for a large class of invariants of algebraic varieties. Specializing to the case of algebraic cobordism MGL, our results can be interpreted in geometric terms as a way to describe étale descent on cobordism classes using étale descent on algebraic cycles. Our method of proof amplifies the effects of the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture via the slice spectral sequence. For background on motivic Landweber exact theories we refer the reader to [54].

Theorem 1.5. *(Thomason's Theorem for Landweber Exact Theories) Suppose S is an essentially smooth scheme over a perfect field k of exponential characteristic c relatively prime to ℓ , and $\mathrm{cd}_\ell k < \infty$. Let $E_N \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a Landweber exact theory associated to a Landweber flat $\mathrm{MU}_*[\frac{1}{c}]$ -module N_* . Then there exists an element $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}} \in \mathrm{MGL}/\ell_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)}^v(k)$ and a naturally induced isomorphism of MGL/ℓ^v -modules:*

$$E_N/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_*\pi^*E_N/\ell^v.$$

In particular, for all $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$, there is a naturally induced isomorphism:

$$E_N/\ell_{p,q}^v(S)[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} E_N^{\acute{e}t}/\ell_{p,q}^v(S).$$

Here, $E_N^{\acute{e}t}/\ell_{p,q}^v(S)$ is the étale version of $E_M/\ell_{p,q}^v(S)$ defined by:

$$E_N^{\acute{e}t}/\ell_{p,q}^v(S) := [\Sigma_{T,+}^{\infty} S, \pi_*\pi^*E_N/\ell^v(-q)[-p]]_{\mathrm{SH}(S)}.$$

Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.5 has a long and interesting history starting with Thomason's work [70]. Two complementary accounts are Mitchell's survey [49] and Jardine's book [36]. The insight that one can deduce Thomason's theorem from its analogue for motivic cohomology comes from [38]; it is also executed in [65] and [66]. A similar argument for algebraic cobordism over algebraically closed fields was the subject of Quick's thesis in [57]. We note that further $\tau(\ell^v) = e(\ell^v)$ if $\ell \neq 2$ and $\tau(2^v) = 2^v e(2^v)$.

While the above statement is interesting, an integral statement is of course preferable. The reason for doing this is more than just aesthetics. For example, such a statement would give a lift of the above theorem to the level of categories of modules over highly structured motivic ring spectra as localization usually preserves such structures, while passing to some homotopy cofiber does not.

In algebraic K -theory, this was achieved by localizing algebraic K -theory spectra at the topological K -theory spectrum; see [70, Theorem 2.50]. For algebraic cobordism over the complex numbers, shades of an integral statement appeared first in Heller's work [26] on semitopological cobordism. The right thing to consider turns out to be the Bousfield localization at the étale motivic cohomology spectrum $\mathrm{MZ}^{\acute{e}t} := \pi_*\pi^*\mathrm{MZ}$. If J is a set of primes, we denote by $E_{(J)}$ the localization of E at J .

Theorem 1.7. *Let S be an essentially smooth scheme over k . Let J be a collection of primes such that for any $\ell \in J$, $\mathrm{cd}_\ell k < \infty$. Then for any Landweber exact theory $E_N \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ associated to a Landweber flat $\mathrm{MU}_*[\frac{1}{c}]$ -module N_* , there is an equivalence in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{MGL}_{(J)}}$:*

$$L_{\mathrm{MZ}^{\acute{e}t}}E_{N,(J)} \simeq \pi_*\pi^*E_{N,(J)}.$$

Theorem 1.7 helps us deduce our main result for modules over highly structured ring spectra using the language of stable ∞ -categories:

Theorem 1.8. *With the notation of Theorem 1.7, assume further that E_M is an \mathcal{E}_∞ ring spectrum. Then there are equivalences of stable ∞ -categories:*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{L_{\mathrm{MZ}^{\acute{e}t}}E_{M,(J)}} \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\pi_*\pi^*E_{M,(J)}} \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\pi^*E_{M,(J)}}.$$

1.0.4. *Organization.* Using the language of ∞ -categories we start Section 2 by reviewing motivic homotopy theory with respect to some topology τ . We recall the adjunctions comparing motivic homotopy theories across different topologies along with Voevodsky’s category of motives. In Section 3, we introduce the “slice comparison paradigm” which is a factorization of the unit map of the adjunction comparing different topologies. We recall, in a slightly more general setting, how to associate to $E \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ the filtered motivic spectra $\{f_q E\}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of its slice covers. The “slice comparison paradigm” then takes the form:

$$\eta : \mathrm{colim} f_q E \simeq E \xrightarrow{\eta_\infty} \mathrm{colim} \pi_* \pi^* f_q E \xrightarrow{\eta^\infty} \pi_* \pi^* E.$$

We explain conditions for when η^∞ and η_∞ can be turned into equivalences. This is addressed in Sections 4 and 5. In more details, Section 4 studies about the effect $\pi_* \pi^*$ has on connectivity of motivic spectra and thus addresses the convergence properties of the spectral sequence arising from the tower of spectra $\{\pi_* \pi^* f_q E\}$. These connectivity results are of independent interest. In Section 5, we give conditions for η^∞ to be an equivalence, which boils down to understanding situations where the π_* functor commutes past colimits. In Section 6 we apply this paradigm to prove Theorem 1.5. After dealing with the motivic cohomology spectrum MZ we turn to algebraic cobordism MGL , and finally arbitrary Landweber exact theories. The main point is that the result for MZ proves the theorem on the level of slices and the “slice comparison paradigm” bridges the gap between the slices and the spectra of interest. In Section 7 we give applications of our main theorems and promote Theorem 1.5 to the level of module categories as in Theorem 1.7. In the first appendix (Section 8) we review the basics of periodization (after [29]) and localization in suitable ∞ -categories, the short second appendix (Section 9) records a well-known result about E-locality, while the third appendix (Section 10) constructs transfers for MGL necessary to pick out Bott elements over general fields.

1.0.5. *Conventions.* Categorical terminologies are to be interpreted in the ∞ -categorical context; “functor” always means a functor of ∞ -categories (i.e., a morphism of quasicategories). We freely use the notions of algebras and modules in higher algebra [46].

- We use the following syntax of higher category theory:
 - Spc is the ∞ -category of ∞ -groupoids (Kan complexes give a concrete model).
 - $\mathrm{Maps}(X, Y)$ is the Kan complex of maps between objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{map}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{C}$ is the internal mapping object.
 - $[X, Y] := \pi_0 \mathrm{Maps}(X, Y)$ denotes homotopy classes of maps between $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.
 - $\mathrm{Pr}^{L, \otimes}$ – the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of presentable ∞ -categories and colimit preserving functors – has a full subcategory $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{stab}}^L$ of *stable* presentable ∞ -categories.
- If $p : X \rightarrow S$ is a smooth S -scheme, we always mean that X is of *finite type*. An essentially smooth scheme over k is an inverse limit of smooth S -schemes with affine transition maps.
- If F is a presheaf of abelian groups we denote its τ -sheafification by $a_\tau(F)$, τ any topology.
- Some conventions in motivic homotopy theory:
 - We denote unstable motivic spheres by $\mathbf{S}^{p,q} := (S^1)^{p-q} \wedge \mathbf{G}_m^q$ for $p \geq q$, and write $\mathbf{1}$ for the motivic sphere spectrum. Motivic suspensions are denoted by $\Sigma^{p,q}$.
 - For a motivic spectrum E over a base scheme S we write $E \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$, or E_S for emphasis.

1.0.6. *Acknowledgements.* Work on this paper took place at the Institut Mittag-Leffler in Djursholm and the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics in Bonn; we thank both institutions for providing excellent working conditions, hospitality, and support. Oliver Röndigs has been a helpful interlocutor offering advice on this work, and Glen Wilson

provided very helpful spectral sequence guidance. Elmanto thanks Marc Hoyois for discussions on ∞ -categories, and Mike Hill for explaining the possible apocalyptic effects of inverting elements in a spectral sequence and why the ones considered in this paper stick in dry land. He is very grateful to his adviser John Francis – techniques from discussions with him throughout the years have made their way into this paper. Elmanto was supported by a Mittag-Leffler postdoctoral fellowship grant. Østvær was supported by a Friedrich Wilhelm Bessel Research Award from the Humboldt Foundation and the RCN Frontier Research Group Project no. 250399 “Motivic Hopf Equations.”

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review the basics of motivic homotopy theory – mainly setting up notation and checking certain statements in the generality of arbitrary topologies; the reader familiar with this theory may feel free to skip this section and return as necessary. In Section 2.1 we review the construction of the presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category of motivic spectra in the generality of arbitrary Grothendieck topologies. In Section 2.2 we compare motivic homotopy categories over different topologies, and in Section 2.3 we review Voevodsky’s motives in our language. For the following, S is a quasicompact quasiseparated (qcqs) base scheme.

2.1. τ -motivic homotopy theory. We first construct the presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $H_\tau(S)$. Consider the (discrete) category Sm_S of smooth S -schemes equipped with a Grothendieck topology τ . Let $P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ be the ∞ -category of presheaves over S ; it is obtained from Sm_S by freely adjoining colimits [45, Theorem 5.1.5.6]. We denote by $j : \mathrm{Sm}_S \rightarrow P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ the Yoneda embedding.

2.1.1. Recall that $F \in P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ is called *homotopy invariant* or \mathbf{A}^1 -*invariant* if the projection induces an equivalence $F(X) \rightarrow F(X \times_S \mathbf{A}^1)$. We denote by $P_{\mathbf{A}^1}(S)$ the ∞ -category of homotopy invariant presheaves. The embedding $P_{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \hookrightarrow P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ preserves limits, and thus admits a left adjoint:

$$L_{\mathbf{A}^1} : P(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathrm{Sm}_S),$$

which witnesses $P_{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ as an accessible localization in the sense of [45, Proposition 5.2.7.4]. A map $f : F \rightarrow G$ in $P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ is an \mathbf{A}^1 -*weak equivalence* if $L_{\mathbf{A}^1} f : L_{\mathbf{A}^1} F \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{A}^1} G$ is an equivalence.

2.1.2. One difference between doing motivic homotopy theory with an arbitrary topology τ and the usual Nisnevich localization is the need for hypercompletion. We consider the full subcategory $P_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \hookrightarrow P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ spanned by τ -*hypersheaves* (also called τ -*local*), i.e., those presheaves F such that for any τ -hypercover $U_\bullet \rightarrow U$, the map $F(U) \rightarrow \lim_{\Delta} F(U_\bullet)$ is an equivalence. As above, the embedding $P_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \hookrightarrow P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ preserves limits and admits a left adjoint:

$$L_\tau : P(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \rightarrow P_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S),$$

which witnesses $P_{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ as an accessible localization. A map $f : F \rightarrow G$ is a τ -*weak equivalence* if the induced map $L_\tau f : L_\tau F \rightarrow L_\tau G$ is an equivalence.

For the purposes of this paper, we work with τ -hypersheaves. However, we could also consider the ∞ -category $P_\tau(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ of τ -*sheaves*, see [45, Section 6.2.2], and its localization functor $L'_\tau : P(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \rightarrow P_\tau(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$. The ∞ -category $P_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ is obtained as a further accessible localization by [45, Theorem 6.5.3.13] (usually called *hypercompletion*):

$$\widehat{(-)} : P_\tau(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \rightarrow P_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S).$$

Note that this is underlying ∞ -category of the Brown-Joyal-Jardine model structure on simplicial presheaves [45, Proposition 6.5.2.14].

2.1.3. The ∞ -category $H_\tau(S)$ of τ -motivic spaces is the full subcategory of $P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ spanned by objects which are both homotopy invariant and τ -hypersheaves. Combining the localizations above, it is characterized by a universal property whose proof follows the one for $\tau = \mathrm{Nis}$ verbatim:

Proposition 2.1. ([59, Theorem 2.30], [59, Remark 2.31]) *For any ∞ -category C with small colimits, the composite of localizations $L_{\mathbf{A}^1}L_\tau : P(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \rightarrow P_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \rightarrow H_\tau(S)$, induces a fully faithful functor $\mathrm{Fun}^L(H_\tau(S), C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Sm}_S, C)$ whose essential image is spanned by functors which are homotopy invariant and satisfies τ -hyperdescent. Furthermore, the Cartesian monoidal structure on $P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ descends to a Cartesian monoidal structure on $H(S)$, and the functor:*

$$L_{\mathbf{A}^1} \circ L_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}} \circ j : \mathrm{Sm}_S^\times \rightarrow P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)^\times \rightarrow P_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S)^\times \rightarrow H_\tau(S)^\times,$$

is monoidal.

Let $L_{\tau, m} : P(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \rightarrow H_\tau(S)$ be short for the motivic localization functor $L_{\mathbf{A}^1}L_\tau$. If $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ we write $L_{\tau, m}(X)$ for the motivic localization $L_{\tau, m}(j(X))$ of X under the Yoneda embedding.

2.1.4. From here on, we impose the following assumption on τ :

- A τ -hypersheaf takes coproducts to products, i.e., for any two S -schemes X, Y , the natural map $F(X \amalg Y) \rightarrow F(X) \times F(Y)$ is an equivalence.

The Zariski (and hence Nisnevich) topology satisfies the above condition (use the distinguished square obtained by the cover $\{X \rightarrow X \amalg Y, Y \rightarrow X \amalg Y\}$), and thus also all finer topologies. With this assumption, we see that $P_{\tau, \mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \subset P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ where $P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ is the full subcategory of presheaves which transforms coproducts into products. In [45, Section 5.5.5] this is called the *nonabelian derived category*, while (in the context of model categories) these are called *simplicial additive functors* in [78]. The universal property of $P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ is that it is freely generated by the Yoneda image of Sm_S under sifted colimits and furthermore an object in the Yoneda image is compact [45, Proposition 5.5.8.10]. In general, $P_\Sigma(C)$ is *not* the category of sheaves for some Grothendieck topology [78, Example 3.7].

Proposition 2.2.

- *The ∞ -category $H_\tau(S)$ is generated under sifted colimits by smooth S -schemes.*
- *If τ is at least as fine as the Zariski topology, then the smooth S -schemes which are affine generate $H_\tau(S)$.*
- *If the inclusion $H_\tau(S) \hookrightarrow P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ preserves filtered colimits, then the generators are compact.*

Proof. By the above discussion, we see that since $H_\tau(S) \subset P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$, it is generated by $L_{\tau, m}(X)$ under sifted colimits, where X is a smooth S -scheme. The second statement follows by the argument in [37, Lemma 4.3.5]. In more detail, we first note that, by definition:

$$\mathrm{Sm}_S \rightarrow H_\tau(S); X \mapsto L_{\tau, m}X,$$

is a τ -cosheaf. Thus if τ is at least as fine as the Zariski topology we may assume that X is separated by picking a Zariski cover of X consisting of affine schemes, where the pairwise intersections are all separated. We may further pick a Zariski cover of X where the pairwise intersections are affine. Applying $L_{\tau, m}$ to the nerve of this cover produces a simplicial object in $P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ with colimit $L_{\tau, m}X$ (its terms are $L_{\tau, m}$ applied to affine schemes). The last statement is immediate. \square

Remark 2.3. The inclusion $H_\tau(S) \hookrightarrow P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ need not preserve filtered colimits, and hence $L_{\tau, m}(X)$ may be noncompact. But $H_{\mathrm{Nis}}(S) \hookrightarrow P(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ does preserve filtered colimits. Indeed, being a Nis-hypersheaf is the same as being Nisnevich excisive and so we need to check that if F_i is a filtered diagram of Nisnevich excisive presheaves, then the colimit $\mathrm{colim} F_i$ taken in $P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ is. If Q is an elementary distinguished square then taking the colimit in $P_\Sigma(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$, i.e., taking it pointwise, we see that $(\mathrm{colim}_i F)(Q)$ is a Cartesian square since colimits commute pullbacks in Spc and so $\mathrm{colim} F_i$ is still Nisnevich excisive.

2.1.5. We may consider the ∞ -category of pointed objects $H_{\tau, \bullet}(S) := H(S)_{\star/}$ for the final object \star of $H_{\tau}(S)$. It is also presentable since $H_{\tau, \bullet}(S) \simeq H_{\tau}(S) \otimes \mathrm{Spc}_{\bullet}$, where the \otimes -product is taken in Pr^L . There is an adjunction:

$$(-)_{+} : H_{\tau}(S) \rightleftarrows H_{\tau, \bullet}(S) : u_E.$$

The symmetric monoidal structure on $H_{\tau}(S)$ extends to a monoidal structure on $H_{\tau, \bullet}(S)$ so that $(-)_+ : H_{\tau}(S) \rightarrow H_{\tau, \bullet}(S)$ is a monoidal functor.

2.1.6. Let $\mathrm{CALg}(\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ denote the ∞ -category of presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories and symmetric monoidal colimit preserving functors. To $C^{\otimes} \in \mathrm{CALg}(\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ we can associate the ∞ -category of C^{\otimes} -modules $\mathrm{Mod}_C := \mathrm{Mod}_C(\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ and C^{\otimes} -algebras $\mathrm{CALg}_C := \mathrm{CALg}(\mathrm{Mod}_C)$. The latter can be identified with $\mathrm{CALg}(\mathrm{Pr}^L)_{C/}$ [46, Corollary 3.4.1.7]. Hence a C^{\otimes} -algebra object in C^{\otimes} -modules is the data of a presentably symmetric monoidal category D admitting a colimit preserving symmetric monoidal functor $F : C^{\otimes} \rightarrow D^{\otimes}$. If $X \in C^{\otimes}$, we let X “act” on D via the functor F . Let $\mathrm{CALg}_C[X^{-1}]$ denote the full subcategory of CALg_C spanned by those C^{\otimes} -algebras on which X acts invertibly.

Theorem 2.4. (Robalo, [59, Proposition 2.9]) *Let $C^{\otimes} \in \mathrm{CALg}(\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ and $X \in C^{\otimes}$ an object.*

- *There exists a functor:*

$$L_X : \mathrm{CALg}_C \rightarrow \mathrm{CALg}_C[X^{-1}],$$

which witnesses $\mathrm{CALg}_C[X^{-1}]$ as a localization of CALg_C . Thus for any $D^{\otimes} \in \mathrm{CALg}_C$ there is a canonical map $D^{\otimes} \rightarrow L_X(D^{\otimes})$ in CALg_C sending X to an invertible object.

- *The functor L_X fits into the commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CALg}_C & \xrightarrow{L_X} & \mathrm{CALg}_C[X^{-1}] \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ \mathrm{Mod}_C & \xrightarrow{- \otimes_{C^{\otimes}} C^{\otimes}[X^{-1}]} & \mathrm{Mod}_{C^{\otimes}[X^{-1}]}, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are forgetful functors. Thus if $D^{\otimes} \in \mathrm{CALg}_C$, the underlying C^{\otimes} -module of $L_X(D^{\otimes})$ is equivalent to $D^{\otimes} \otimes_{C^{\otimes}} C^{\otimes}[X^{-1}]$.

In light of the second point above, we write $L_X(D^{\otimes}) := D[X^{-1}]$.

2.1.7. Recall that an object $X \in C^{\otimes}$ is n -symmetric if there is an invertible two cell that witnesses an equivalence between the cyclic permutation on $X \otimes X \otimes \cdots \otimes X$ and the identity (see [59, Definition 2.16]). For $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_C$ we set:

$$(3) \quad \mathrm{Stab}_X(M) := \mathrm{colim} M \xrightarrow{- \otimes^X} M \xrightarrow{- \otimes^X} M \xrightarrow{- \otimes^X} \cdots.$$

Corollary 2.5. (Robalo, [59, Corollary 2.22]) *Let $C^{\otimes} \in \mathrm{CALg}(\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ and let X be a n -symmetric object in C . Then for any $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_C$ there is a natural equivalence:*

$$M[X^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathrm{Stab}_X(M).$$

2.1.8. $H_{\tau}(S)_{\bullet}^{\times} \in \mathrm{CALg}(\mathrm{Pr}^L)$ and we invert the 3-symmetric object $(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) \in H_{\tau}(S)_{\bullet}$ [72, Lemma 4.4] to form:

$$\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S) := H_{\tau}(S)[(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty)^{-1}].$$

The sum of our discussion is the following universal property of $\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S)$.

Theorem 2.6. (Robalo, [59, Corollary 2.39]) *Let S be a qcqs base scheme and let:*

$$\theta : \mathrm{Sm}_S^{\times} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S)^{\otimes},$$

be the symmetric monoidal functor obtained by $L_{(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty)} \circ (-)_+ \circ L_{\tau, m} \circ j$. Then for any $D \in \mathrm{CALg}(\mathrm{Pr}^L)$, θ induces a fully faithful functor:

$$\mathrm{Fun}^{\otimes, L}(\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S), D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Sm}_S, D),$$

whose essential image is spanned by those symmetric monoidal functors $F : \mathrm{Sm}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which satisfies τ -hyperdescent, homotopy invariance, and such that the cofiber of $F(S) \rightarrow F(\mathbf{P}^1)$ induced by the ∞ -section acts invertibly.

Unwinding the above theorem we get the standard adjunction:

$$(4) \quad \Sigma_{T,+}^{\infty} : H_{\tau}(S) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S) : \Omega_T^{\infty}.$$

2.1.9. The stable analogue of Proposition 2.2 is:

Proposition 2.7. • The presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category $\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S)$ is generated under sifted colimits by $\{\Sigma^{-2n,-n}\Sigma_+^{\infty}X\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$, where X is a smooth S -scheme.

- If τ is at least as fine as the Zariski topology, then the smooth S -schemes which are affine generate $\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S)$.
- If the inclusion $H_{\tau}(S) \hookrightarrow P_{\Sigma}(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$ preserves filtered colimits, then the generators are compact.

Proof. The stable ∞ -category $\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S)$ is calculated as the colimit in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}^{L,\otimes})$ of the diagram:

$$H_{\tau,\bullet}(S) \xrightarrow{-\wedge(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) \simeq \Sigma^{2,1}} H_{\tau,\bullet}(S) \xrightarrow{-\wedge(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) \simeq \Sigma^{2,1}(-)} \dots,$$

and is thus generated under filtered colimits by $\Sigma^{-2n,-n}\Sigma^{\infty}X$ where $X \in H_{\bullet}(S)$ from the formula for filtered colimits of presentable categories [45, Lemma 6.3.3.6]. The adjunction $H_{\tau}(S) \rightleftarrows H(S)_{\tau,\bullet}$ is monadic and in particular any object in $H(S)_{\tau,\bullet}$ can be written as a sifted colimit of objects in $H_{\tau}(S)$. This implies the first statement since the ∞ -category $H_{\tau}(S)$ is generated by smooth S -schemes. The next two statements follows immediately from their analogues in Proposition 2.2. \square

For a general topology τ the category $\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S)$ need not be compactly generated. In fact its unit can already be noncompact, as the following example illustrates.

Example 2.8. Let $\tau = \text{ét}$ and consider $S = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{R}$. The sphere spectrum is noncompact in $\mathrm{SH}_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{R})$ and this boils down to the fact that the mod-2 étale cohomological dimension of the real numbers is infinite. Indeed if this was the case, let M_i be a countable collection of objects in $\mathrm{DM}_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{Z}/2)$, then consider them as objects of $\mathrm{SH}(\mathbf{R})$ via $u_{\mathrm{tr}} : \mathrm{DM}_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{Z}/2) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{R})$ (see §2.3.5 for notation). The compactness of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{R}}$ would imply that $\mathrm{Maps}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{R}}, \oplus u_{\mathrm{tr}}(M_i)) \simeq \oplus \mathrm{Maps}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{R}}, u_{\mathrm{tr}}(M_i))$. But this cannot be the case as explained in [11, Remark 5.4.10] (the remark uses $\mathrm{DM}_h(\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{Z}/2)$ which agrees with $\mathrm{DM}_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{Z}/2)$ after [11, Corollary 5.5.5]).

2.1.10. If $E \in \mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S)$ and $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$, the homotopy presheaf $\underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\mathrm{pre}}(E)$ on Sm_S is defined by:

$$U \mapsto [\Sigma^{p,q}\Sigma_T^{\infty}U_+, E]_{\mathrm{SH}_{\tau}(S)}.$$

The τ -homotopy sheaf of E is the τ -sheafification $\underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\tau}(E) := a_{\tau}(\underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\mathrm{pre}}(E))$. When $\tau = \mathrm{Nis}$ we refer to $\underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\tau}(E)$ as the homotopy sheaf.

2.2. Comparing motivic categories.

2.2.1. Let S be a qcqs scheme and consider two topologies σ, τ on Sm_S such that τ is finer than σ (we write $\sigma \leq \tau$ to signify this); the examples that concerns us are $\sigma = \mathrm{Nis}, \tau = \text{ét}$. The identity functor $id : \mathrm{Sm}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{Sm}_S$ induces a geometric morphism of ∞ -topoi $\pi_* : P_{\tau,\mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S) \rightarrow P_{\sigma,\mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S)$; that is, π_* admits a left adjoint, denoted by π^* , that preserves finite limits [45, Definition 6.3.1.1]. The functor π_* is obtained by precomposition $F \mapsto F \circ id$ while π^* is obtained as localization at τ -hypercovers. This functor can be computed via the left Kan extension:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sm}_S & \xrightarrow{y_{\tau}} & P_{\tau,\mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S), \\ y_{\sigma} \downarrow & \nearrow \pi^* & \\ P_{\sigma,\mathrm{hyp}}(\mathrm{Sm}_S), & & \end{array}$$

where y_σ and y_τ are the composite of the Yoneda embedding with σ and τ -sheafifications respectively. Hence, if σ, τ are subcanonical, π^* preserves representable sheaves. Since π^* preserves products, and the categories are equipped with the Cartesian monoidal structures, it is symmetric monoidal.

2.2.2. We note that the adjunction above descends to the motivic categories.

Lemma 2.9. *In the adjunction:*

$$\pi^* : P_{\sigma, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S) \rightleftarrows P_{\tau, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S) : \pi_*,$$

π^* preserves \mathbf{A}^1 -weak equivalences and π_* preserves homotopy invariant objects.

Proof. If $F \in P_{\tau, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S)$ is homotopy invariant, $\pi_*(F)(X \times \mathbf{A}^1) \simeq F(X \times \mathbf{A}^1) \simeq F(X)$ for $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, so π_* preserves homotopy invariant objects. To show π^* preserves \mathbf{A}^1 -weak equivalences, suppose $f : F \rightarrow G$ is an \mathbf{A}^1 -weak equivalence. Then for any homotopy invariant $Z \in P_{\tau, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maps}_{P_{\tau, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S)}(Z, \pi^*G) &\simeq \text{Maps}_{P_{\sigma, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S)}(\pi_*Z, G) \\ &\simeq \text{Maps}_{P_{\sigma, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S)}(\pi_*Z, F). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.10. *The adjunction of Lemma 2.9 descends to an adjunction:*

$$\pi^* : H_\sigma(S) \rightleftarrows H_\tau(S) : \pi_*,$$

such that the following diagram of adjunctions commute:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_\sigma(S) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi^*} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \end{array} & H_\tau(S) \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow L_{\tau, m} \\ \downarrow i \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow i \\ \uparrow L_{\tau, m} \end{array} \\ P_{\sigma, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi_*} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \end{array} & P_{\tau, \text{hyp}}(\text{Sm}_S). \end{array}$$

Proof. Since π_* preserves homotopy invariant presheaves by Lemma 2.9, the diagram of right adjoints commute. The corresponding left adjoints commute by uniqueness of adjoints. □

Upon taking stabilization we obtain the following comparison result.

Proposition 2.11. *The adjunction of Proposition 2.10 induces an adjunction:*

$$\pi^* : \text{SH}_\sigma(S) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}_\tau(S) : \pi_*,$$

which fits into the following commutative diagram of adjunctions:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{SH}_\sigma(S) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi^*} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \end{array} & \text{SH}_\tau(S) \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \Sigma_{T,+}^\infty \\ \downarrow \Omega_T^\infty \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \Omega_T^\infty \\ \uparrow \Sigma_{T,+}^\infty \end{array} \\ H_\sigma(S) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi_*} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \end{array} & H_\tau(S). \end{array}$$

Proof. The adjunction of (5) gives rise to the adjunction below via the universal property of stabilization given in Theorem 2.4, which also leads to the diagram of left adjoints commuting. By uniqueness of adjoints, the right adjoints also commute. \square

Remark 2.12. From the commutation of the left adjoints in Proposition 2.11, we get an equivalence of functors $\Sigma_T \pi^* \simeq \pi^* \Sigma_T$, and likewise for the right adjoints $\pi_* \Sigma_T^{-1} \simeq \Sigma_T^{-1} \pi_*$. The latter furnishes natural equivalences:

$$\pi_* \simeq \pi_* \Sigma_T^{-1} \Sigma_T \simeq \Sigma_T^{-1} \pi_* \Sigma_T,$$

and thus:

$$\Sigma_T \pi_* \simeq \pi_* \Sigma_T.$$

By the same reasoning:

$$\pi^* \simeq \pi^* \Sigma_T \Sigma_T^{-1} \simeq \Sigma_T \pi^* \Sigma_T^{-1},$$

and:

$$\Sigma_T^{-1} \pi^* \simeq \pi^* \Sigma_T^{-1}.$$

Since π^* and π_* are exact and thus commute with $\Sigma^{1,0}$ and $\Sigma^{-1,0}$ we deduce the natural equivalence:

$$\Sigma^{p,q} \pi_* \pi^* \simeq \pi_* \pi^* \Sigma^{p,q}$$

for all $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ in the \mathbf{P}^1 -stable motivic homotopy categories.

2.2.3. We will call the functor:

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{SH}_\sigma(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_\tau(S),$$

the τ -localization functor. This terminology is justified by the next proposition. Let us define:

$$W_\tau := \{L_{m,\tau}(U \rightarrow X) : U \rightarrow X \text{ is a } \tau\text{-hypercover}\},$$

and:

$$\Sigma_{T,+}^\infty W_\tau := \{\Sigma^{p,q} \Sigma_{T,+}^\infty L_{m,\tau}(U \rightarrow X) : U \rightarrow X \text{ is a } \tau\text{-hypercover of } X, p, q \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Proposition 2.13. *The functors:*

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{H}_\sigma(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_\tau(S) \text{ and } \pi^* : \mathrm{SH}_\sigma(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_\tau(S),$$

are localizations at W_τ and $\Sigma_{T,+}^\infty W_\tau$, respectively. In particular, their right adjoints are fully faithful.

Proof. For the unstable case, π^* and localization at W_τ satisfy the same universal properties via Proposition 2.1. In slightly more detail, the composite of functors:

$$\mathrm{Sm}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_\sigma(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_\sigma(S)[W_\tau^{-1}],$$

satisfies the universal property for $\mathrm{H}_\tau(S)$ as stated in Proposition 2.1. This follows similarly for the stabilized version via Theorem 2.6. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 2.13 we adopt the following terminology: If $X \in \mathrm{H}_\sigma(S)$ is in the full subcategory $\mathrm{H}_\tau(S)$ (equivalently, it satisfies $X \simeq \pi_* \pi^* X$), then X is called a τ -local object in $\mathrm{H}_\sigma(S)$. Similarly, $E \in \mathrm{SH}_\sigma(S)$ is a τ -local object in $\mathrm{SH}_\sigma(S)$ if it is in the full subcategory $\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S)$ (equivalently, it is in the full subcategory $\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S)$).

2.2.4. We give a characterization of τ -local objects in terms of S^1 -spectra, i.e., presheaves of spectra; we will discuss this ∞ -category in more details in §4.0.2. Recall $\mathrm{SH}_\sigma(S)$ is enriched over the ∞ -category of spectra. We denote by $\mathrm{maps}(E, F)$ the mapping spectrum corresponding to this enrichment. If $E \in \mathrm{SH}_\sigma(S)$, define $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m, \sigma}^\infty E$ as the presheaf of spectra:

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{maps}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, E).$$

This presheaf of spectra satisfies σ -hyperdescent and is \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant.

Lemma 2.14. *An object $E \in \mathrm{SH}_\sigma(S)$ is τ -local if and only if $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m, \sigma}^\infty \Sigma^{p,q} E$ satisfies τ -hyperdescent for all integers $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. This follows since for $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ the homotopy groups of maps $(\Sigma_+^\infty X, \Sigma^{p,q} E)$ are computed as:

$$\pi_* \text{maps}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, E) \simeq [\Sigma^{*,0} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{p,q} E]_{\text{SH}_\sigma(S)}.$$

□

2.2.5. The reason why π^* does not deserve to be called the τ -sheafification functor is because the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SH}_\sigma(S) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \text{SH}_\tau(S) \\ \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m, \sigma}^\infty \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m, \tau}^\infty \\ \text{SH}_\sigma^{S^1}(S) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S), \end{array}$$

does not commute. Here $\text{SH}_\sigma^{S^1}(S)$ is the ∞ -category of homotopy invariant presheaves of spectra satisfying σ -hyperdescent. We offer an example to clarify the situation.

Example 2.15. The spectrum $\Sigma^{0,q} \mathbf{MZ}$ represents motivic cohomology with a Tate twist, $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, in $\text{SH}_{\text{Nis}}(S)$. By the cancellation theorem [77] there is an equivalence:

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m, \text{Nis}}^\infty \Sigma^{0,q} \mathbf{MZ} \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{\text{tr}}(q),$$

where on the right hand side the presheaf with transfer $\mathbf{Z}^{\text{tr}}(q)$ is viewed as an object of $\text{SH}_{\text{Nis}}^{S^1}(S)$ via the Dold-Kan correspondence. If $\tau = \text{ét}$, then $\pi^* \mathbf{MZ}$ computes étale motivic cohomology, see Corollary 2.18. Hence $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m, \text{ét}}^\infty \Sigma^{0,q} \pi^* \mathbf{MZ} \neq 0$ for $q < 0$ since étale motivic cohomology and étale cohomology coincide for torsion coefficients, cf. Theorem 2.17. However, $\mathbf{Z}^{\text{tr}}(q)$ and thus $\pi^* \mathbf{Z}^{\text{tr}}(q)$ are 0 for $q < 0$ since negative weight motivic cohomology vanishes.

2.3. **Voevodsky's motives.** We will now quickly apply the machinery above to the construction of Voevodsky's categories of motives. Suppose τ is a topology on Sm_S which is *compatible* in the sense of [10, Definition 10.3.2]¹.

Let $\text{Shv}_\tau^{\text{tr}}(\text{Sm}_S, R)$ denote the (discrete) abelian category of τ -sheaves on smooth correspondences $\text{SmCor}_{S,R}$ [10, Definition 10.4.2]. We assume the coefficient ring R is commutative and unital. The Hom-groups in $\text{SmCor}_{S,R}$ are free R -module generated by closed subsets $Z \subset X \times Y$ which are finite and R -universal [10, Definition 8.1.48]. The étale and Nisnevich topologies are compatible by [11, Propositions 2.1.6, 2.2.3] and [10, Proposition 10.3.7, Corollary 10.3.15] respectively. We denote by $R_{\text{tr}}(X)$ the sheaf with transfers represented by X (note that a representable presheaf with transfers is actually an étale sheaf).

2.3.1. We may use the underlying ∞ -categories of the model categories discussed in [10, Section 5] or the machinery of Section 2.1 to produce:

- $\text{DM}_\tau^{\text{eff}}(S, R)$ by \mathbf{A}^1 -localizing the derived category $\text{D}(\text{Shv}_\tau^{\text{tr}}(\text{Sm}_S, R))$ [10, Definition 5.2.16]. This presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category is equivalent to starting with $\text{P}_\Sigma(\text{SmCor}_{S,R}) \otimes \text{Mod}_R \simeq \text{P}_\Sigma(\text{SmCor}_{S,R}, \text{Mod}_R)$ in §2.1.1 and following the construction through §2.1.3. Its construction parallels the \mathbf{A}^1 -localization procedure discussed in §2.1. We denote by $[n]$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, the natural *homological* shift functor in this R -linear stable ∞ -category.
- $\text{DM}_\tau(S, R)$ by \otimes -inverting $R_S(1) := \text{cof}(R_{\text{tr}}(S) \xrightarrow{\infty} R_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}_S^1))[-2]$ in $\text{DM}_\tau^{\text{eff}}(S, R)$, the Tate object. This is a presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category affording an adjunction:

$$\Sigma_{\text{tr}}^\infty : \text{DM}_\tau^{\text{eff}}(S, R) \rightleftarrows \text{DM}_\tau(S, R) : \Omega_{\text{tr}}^\infty.$$

¹After [31, Lemma 2.1] this is equivalent to saying that, for a ring of coefficients R , a map f in $\text{P}_\Sigma(\text{SmCor}_S, R)$ is a $R^{\text{tr}} W_\tau$ -local equivalence if and only if it is an W_τ -equivalence after forgetting down to $\text{P}_\Sigma(\text{Sm}_S)$.

Its construction parallels the stabilization procedure discussed in §2.1.8.

2.3.2. We denote the motivic localization of $R_{\text{tr}}(X)$ by $R_{\text{tr}}^{\tau}(X) \in \text{DM}_{\tau}^{\text{eff}}(S, R)$ and its stabilization in $\text{DM}_{\tau}(S, R)$ by $\Sigma_{\text{tr}}^{\infty} R_{\text{tr}}^{\tau}(X)$. For legibility we denote the unstable and stable units by R^{τ} (unstably it is the motivic localization of the constant sheaf R). When $\tau = \text{Nis}$ we simply write $R_{\text{tr}}(X)$.

The mapping spaces in $\text{DM}_{\text{Nis}}(S, R)$ compute motivic cohomology: for any essentially smooth scheme S over a field, integers $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ we have an isomorphism:

$$H^{p,q}(X, R) \cong [\Sigma_{\text{tr}}^{\infty} R_{\text{tr}}(X), R(q)[p]]_{\text{DM}(S,R)}.$$

This follows by Voevodsky's comparison theorem [74]. For more details see [10, Section 11.2].

2.3.3. When $\tau = \text{ét}$ we are mostly interested in torsion coefficients because the rational étale theory has the feature that it coincides with the rational Nisnevich theory. Let S be a scheme for which ℓ is invertible in \mathcal{O}_S . The group scheme $\mu_{\ell,S}$ fits into an exact sequence of étale sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_{\ell,S} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \rightarrow 0.$$

This leads to a cofiber sequence in the derived category of étale sheaves:

$$\mathbf{G}_{m,S} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \rightarrow \mu_{\ell,S}[1],$$

which gives rise to an equivalence, with the appropriately derived tensor product:

$$\mathbf{G}_{m,S} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell \simeq \mu_{n,S}[1].$$

Since $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers, there is a natural map $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ factoring through $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\text{tr}}(1)[1]$. Hence for arbitrary coefficients there is a map $R(1)[1] \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \otimes R$. By [11, Proposition 3.2.3] we can identify the Tate twist $R^{\text{ét}}(1)$ with tensoring by $\mu_{\ell,S}$ in $\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}$.

Proposition 2.16. *When R is a ring of characteristic ℓ , and ℓ is invertible in the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_S , shifting the map $R_{\text{tr}}(1)[1] \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \otimes R$ by $[-1]$ induces an equivalence in $\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S, R)$:*

$$R^{\text{ét}}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \otimes R[-1] \simeq \mu_{\ell,S} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}/\ell} R.$$

2.3.4. Let $\text{D}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ be the unbounded derived ∞ -category of étale sheaves on the small étale site of S with coefficients in R ; its homotopy category is the unbounded derived triangulated category of étale sheaves of R -modules on the small étale site. The above discussion leads to a “relative rigidity theorem,” first proved by Suslin over a field and later generalized by Ayoub and Cisinski-Deglise.

Theorem 2.17 (Suslin, Ayoub, Cisinski-Deglise). *Let S be a noetherian scheme and R a coefficient ring of characteristic ℓ . If ℓ is invertible in the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_S , then the following hold.*

- The infinite suspension functor $\Sigma_{\text{tr}}^{\infty} : \text{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S, R) \rightarrow \text{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ is an equivalence.
- There is a symmetric monoidal functor $\rho_! : \text{D}(S_{\text{ét}}, R) \rightarrow \text{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R)$ which is an equivalence of categories with inverse $\rho^* : \text{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S, R) \rightarrow \text{D}(S_{\text{ét}}, R)$ induced by restriction to the small étale site.

Proof. The first part is [11, Corollary 4.1.2] and the second is [4, Theorem 4.1], [11, Theorem 4.5.2]. \square

Specializing to $R = \mathbf{Z}/m$, where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ prime to the residue characteristics of the base scheme, we immediately get isomorphisms for any smooth scheme X over S and integers $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^p(X, \mu_m^{\otimes q}) \simeq [\Sigma_{\text{tr}}^{\infty} R_{\text{tr}}(X), R(q)[p]]_{\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S,R)}.$$

2.3.5. Since the ∞ -category $\mathrm{DM}_\tau(S, R)$ is constructed in parallel to $\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S)$, the functor:

$$R_{\mathrm{tr}} : \mathrm{Sm}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{SmCor}_S,$$

induces a diagram of adjunctions via the universal properties of these constructions, cf. [30, (4.1)]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{R_{\mathrm{tr}}} & \\ \mathrm{SH}_\tau(S) & \xleftarrow{u_{\mathrm{tr}}} & \mathrm{DM}_\tau(S, R) \\ \uparrow \Sigma_\tau^\infty & \downarrow i & \downarrow i \\ & \xleftarrow{u_{\mathrm{tr}}} & \mathrm{DM}_\tau^{\mathrm{eff}}(S, R) \\ & \xrightarrow{R_{\mathrm{tr}}} & \\ \mathrm{H}_{\tau, \bullet}(S) & & \end{array}$$

The arguments in §2.2 work verbatim. There are left adjoints $\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}^* : \mathrm{DM}_\tau^{\mathrm{eff}}(S, R) \rightarrow \mathrm{DM}_\tau^{\mathrm{eff}}(S, R)$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}^* : \mathrm{DM}_\sigma(S, R) \rightarrow \mathrm{DM}_\tau(S, R)$, which are compatible in the evident way with π^* .

2.3.6. *The étale motivic cohomology spectrum.* By [30, Section 4.2] and the Dold-Kan correspondence (see [46, Section 1.2.3] or [60, Section 2.2.4] for our case) there is a functor:

$$c_{\mathrm{tr}} : \mathrm{Mod}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(S, R),$$

where Mod_R is the derived ∞ -category of R -modules. It assigns to $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$ the “constant presheaf with transfers with value M .” As in [18] we define the *motivic cohomology spectrum* $\mathrm{MA} \in \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(S)$ for any $A \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$:

$$\mathrm{MA} := u_{\mathrm{tr}} c_{\mathrm{tr}} A.$$

In Proposition 2.18 we show that for a topology τ finer than the Nisnevich topology the τ -motivic cohomology spectrum in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ can alternatively be defined as either $\pi_* \pi^* \mathrm{MA}$ or $\pi_* u_{\mathrm{tr}} \pi^* c_{\mathrm{tr}} A$.

2.3.7. Suppose τ is finer than the Nisnevich topology and consider the adjunctions:

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(S) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SH}_\tau(S) : \pi_*,$$

and:

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(S, R) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{DM}_\tau(S, R) : \pi_*.$$

Proposition 2.18. *There is an equivalence $\pi_* \pi^* \mathrm{MA} \simeq \pi_* u_{\mathrm{tr}} \pi^* c_{\mathrm{tr}} A$ in $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(S)$.*

Proof. We need only prove that $\pi^* \mathrm{MA} \simeq u_{\mathrm{tr}} \pi^* c_{\mathrm{tr}} A$ in $\mathrm{SH}_\tau(S)$ and so we need only show that u_{tr} and π^* commutes:

$$(7) \quad \pi^* u_{\mathrm{tr}} c_{\mathrm{tr}} A \simeq u_{\mathrm{tr}} \pi^* c_{\mathrm{tr}} A.$$

Since both expressions preserve colimits in the variable A , we may assume $A = R$. In $\mathrm{DM}_\tau^{\mathrm{eff}}(S, R)$ and $\mathrm{H}_\tau(S)$ there is an equivalence $\pi^* u_{\mathrm{tr}} R_{\mathrm{tr}}(q) \simeq u_{\mathrm{tr}} \pi^* R_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)(q)$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ since both sides are computed as the τ -hypersheafification of the Nisnevich sheaf $R_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)(q)$. This implies the desired statement. \square

3. THE SLICE COMPARISON PARADIGM

Let $E \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a motivic spectrum. We are asking how far away the unit map:

$$(8) \quad \eta : E \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* E,$$

is from being an equivalence. A target theorem involves the inversion of some element α , so that η factors through:

$$E[\alpha^{-1}] \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* E,$$

which under favorable conditions will turn out to be an equivalence. Our approach to this question employs the slice spectral sequence and its étale localization. The theory of slices in motivic homotopy theory has been developed in recent years since its introduction in Voevodsky's "open problems" article [73]. We refer to [62, §2], [63, §3], and [64, §3] for basic properties of slices, some of which we review in a slightly different setting. For a geometric incarnation in terms of the coniveau tower, see [40], and [41].

For the q -th effective cover $f_q E$ of E we can consider the unit map $\eta_q : f_q E \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* f_q E$. Moreover, applying the unit to the natural map $f_q E \rightarrow E$ produces $\eta^q : \pi_* \pi^* f_q E \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* E$. By passing to the colimits of the diagrams afforded by η_q and η^q we obtain a factorization of (8):

$$E \simeq \operatorname{colim} f_q E \xrightarrow{\eta^\infty} \operatorname{colim} \pi_* \pi^* f_q E \xrightarrow{\eta^\infty} \pi_* \pi^* E.$$

Hence we can break down the question about η into two parts:

- η_∞ The upshot is that the unit maps $\{\eta_q\}_{q \in \mathbf{Z}}$ induce a map from the slice filtration $\{f_q E\}_{q \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of E to the "étale slice filtration" $\{\pi_* \pi^* f_q E\}_{q \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of $\operatorname{colim} \pi_* \pi^* f_q E$. We analyze the induced map between the associated spectral sequences. It will never be an isomorphism unless one inverts elements in the slice spectral sequence for E . These are daring operations – on the one hand, we localize a spectral sequence which will generally destroy convergence properties. On the other hand, we have "motivically sheafified" in the sense of §3.0.7 the slice spectral sequence, and so we need to produce new convergence statements.
- η^∞ If π_* commutes past sequential colimits then η^∞ is an equivalence. This will typically not happen because π_* is a right adjoint, but it will be the case under the assumption of finite cohomological dimension.

We address the issues with η_∞ in §4 and the issue with η^∞ in §5.

3.0.1. We begin with a simple generalization of slices to E -module slices for $E \in \operatorname{CAlg}(\operatorname{SH}(S))$. Let $\operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S)$ be the stable ∞ -category generated by all suspension spectra $\Sigma_T^\infty X_+$ under retracts and colimits, i.e., the localizing subcategory generated by these objects. For $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\Sigma_T^q \operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S)$ denote the full localizing subcategory of $\operatorname{SH}(S)$ generated by $\Sigma_T^q E$, where $E \in \operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S)$. These categories form an exhaustive filtration:

$$\dots \subset \Sigma_T^q \operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S) \subset \Sigma_T^{q-1} \operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S) \subset \dots \subset \operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S) \subset \Sigma_T^{-1} \operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S) \subset \dots \subset \operatorname{SH}(S).$$

The fully faithful embedding:

$$i_q : \Sigma_T^q \operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{SH}^{\operatorname{eff}}(S),$$

admits a right adjoint r_q , see Proposition 3.2 below for a slightly more general result. We denote the composite $i_q r_q$ by f_q , and refer to $f_q E$ as the q -th effective cover of E . If $E \in \operatorname{CAlg}(\operatorname{SH}(S))$ there is a presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category of E -modules $(\operatorname{Mod}_E, \wedge_E, 1)$.

Definition 3.1. The stable ∞ -category of effective E -modules $\operatorname{Mod}_E^{\operatorname{eff}}$ is the full stable subcategory of Mod_E generated by $E \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty X_+$ under retracts and colimits, with $X \in \operatorname{Sm}_S$, i.e., the full localizing subcategory of Mod_E generated by the said objects. In particular it is a stable ∞ -category. For $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\Sigma_T^q \operatorname{Mod}_E^{\operatorname{eff}}$ denote the full localizing subcategory of Mod_E generated by $\Sigma_T^q E$, where $E \in \operatorname{Mod}_E^{\operatorname{eff}}$.

Proposition 3.2. *The fully faithful embedding $i_q^E : \Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}} \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}_E$ admits a right adjoint $r_q^E : \text{Mod}_E \rightarrow \Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}}$, which gives rise to the following commutative diagram of adjunctions:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_q^E} \\ \xleftarrow{r_q^E} \end{array} & \text{Mod}_E \\
 \begin{array}{c} \downarrow u_E \\ \downarrow u_E \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow u_E \\ \downarrow u_E \end{array} \\
 \Sigma_T^q \text{SH}^{\text{eff}}(S) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{r_q} \\ \xrightarrow{i_q} \end{array} & \text{SH}(S)
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Mod_E and $\Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E$ are presentable stable ∞ -categories and i_q^E preserves small coproducts and hence all small colimits by [46, Proposition 1.4.4.1.2]. By the ∞ -categorical adjoint functor theorem [45, Corollary 5.5.2.9] i_q^E admits a right adjoint. It suffices to prove that the diagram of left adjoints commute. But this follows by definition of $\Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}}$ as the full subcategory generated by suspensions of free E -modules together with the fact that left adjoints commute with colimits. \square

3.0.2. The categories $\Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}}$ assemble into a filtration:

$$\cdots \subset \Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}} \subset \Sigma_T^{q-1} \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}} \subset \cdots \subset \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}} \subset \Sigma_T^{-1} \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}} \subset \cdots \subset \text{Mod}_E.$$

Setting $f_q^E := i_q^E r_q^E$ we obtain for every E -module M the *E-module slice tower*:

$$\cdots \rightarrow f_{q+1}^E M \rightarrow f_q^E M \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow f_0^E M \rightarrow f_{-1}^E M \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M.$$

We refer to $f_q^E M$ as the *q-th E-effective cover* of M , and the cofiber $s_q^E M$ of $f_q^E M \rightarrow f_{q+1}^E M$ as the *q-th E-slice* of M .

Proposition 3.3. *The counit $i_q^E r_q^E M = f_q^E M \rightarrow M$ witnesses $f_q^E M$ as the universal map from $\Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}}$.*

Proof. For $M \in \Sigma_T^q \text{SH}^{\text{eff}}$ there are equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Maps}_{\text{SH}(S)}(i_q M, E) &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\Sigma_T^q \text{SH}^{\text{eff}}(S)}(M, f_q E) \\
 &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{SH}(S)}(M, f_q E),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first is by adjunction, and the second is by fully faithfulness. \square

3.0.3. The following result compares E -module slices with standard slices.

Proposition 3.4. *For $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, there are natural equivalences:*

$$u_E \circ f_q^E \xrightarrow{\simeq} f_q \circ u_E \text{ and } u_E \circ s_q^E \simeq s_q u_E.$$

Proof. To prove the first equivalence note that for $M \in \text{Mod}_E$, $f_q u_E(M) \simeq i_q r_q u_E M \simeq i_q u_E r_q^E M$ by Proposition 3.2. We claim that $u_E i_q \simeq i_q u_E$. It holds by definition for $E \wedge \Sigma_T^q \Sigma_T^\infty X_+$. So we are done if i_q and u_E preserve colimits. This holds for i_q since it is localizing, and for u_E since the adjunction $E \wedge - : \text{SH}(S) \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_E : u_E$ is monadic. The second equivalence follows readily from the first. \square

3.0.4. We review some basic properties of effective covers and slices for E-modules.

Proposition 3.5. *For all $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, the functors f_q^E and s_q^E preserve all small colimits.*

Proof. These are exact functors of stable ∞ -categories by construction. For f_q^E we need only check that it preserves all small sums. Since f_q^E is the composite of a left adjoint i_q^E and a right adjoint r_n^E , it suffices to consider r_q^E . We conclude by Lemma 5.1 because i_q^E preserves compact objects. It follows that s_q^E preserves small colimits since it is the cofiber of $f_{q+1}^E \rightarrow f_q^E$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *For any $M \in \text{Mod}_E$ and $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, the q -th slice $s_q^E M$ belongs to $\Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}}$ and is furthermore right orthogonal to $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}}$.*

Proof. The cofiber sequence $f_{q+1}^E M \rightarrow f_q^E M \rightarrow s_q^E M$ in Mod_E defines $s_q^E M$. Here $f_{q+1}^E M$ and $f_q^E M$ belong to the full subcategory $\Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E$ of Mod_E . Since i_q is exact we may identify $s_q^E M$ with the cofiber of $f_{q+1}^E M \rightarrow f_q^E M$ in the stable ∞ -category $\Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E$. To see the right orthogonality relation, it suffices to prove that:

$$\text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_E}(\Sigma_T^{q+1} E \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, s_q^E M) \simeq 0.$$

This follows from the equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_E}(\Sigma_T^{q+1} E \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, f_q^E M) &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_E}(i_{q+1} \Sigma_T^{q+1} E \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, f_q^E M) \\ &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\Sigma_T^{q+1} \text{Mod}_E}(\Sigma_T^{q+1} E \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, r_{q+1}^E f_q^E M) \\ &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_E}(\Sigma_T^{q+1} E \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, f_{q+1}^E f_q^E M) \\ &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_E}(\Sigma_T^{q+1} E \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, f_{q+1}^E M), \end{aligned}$$

where the first is by definition, the second is by the adjunction between i_{q+1} and r_{q+1} , the third is by fully faithfulness of i_{q+1} , and the fourth and final is by Lemma 3.7. \square

Lemma 3.7. *The natural transformation $f_{q'}^E f_q^E \rightarrow f_q^E$ is invertible for $q < q'$, and $f_{q'}^E f_q^E \rightarrow f_q^E$ is invertible for $q' \leq q$. Hence for $q \leq q'$ there is a natural equivalence $s_{q'}^E f_q^E \xrightarrow{\simeq} s_q^E$.*

Proof. These follow immediately from the universal property of f_q^E given in Proposition 3.3, the defining cofiber sequences for the slices, and the commutativity of the effective covers. \square

Lemma 3.8. *The natural map $\text{colim}_{q \rightarrow -\infty} f_q^E \rightarrow \text{id}$ is an equivalence of endofunctors of Mod_E .*

Proof. First note that the slice filtration on categories is exhaustive in the sense that:

$$\text{colim}_q \Sigma_T^q \text{Mod}_E^{\text{eff}} \simeq \text{Mod}_E.$$

Since the ∞ -category Mod_E is generated by $E \wedge \Sigma^{2n,n} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, it suffices to prove that for any E-module M and any $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ we have:

$$\text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_E}(E \wedge \Sigma^{2n,n} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \text{colim}_{q \rightarrow -\infty} f_q^E M) \simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_E}(E \wedge \Sigma^{2n,n} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, M).$$

By adjunction we are checking that:

$$\text{Maps}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\Sigma^{2n,n} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, u_E \text{colim}_{q \rightarrow -\infty} f_q^E M) \simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\Sigma^{2n,n} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, u_E M).$$

Since u_E commutes with colimits and $u_E \circ f_q^E \simeq f_q \circ u_E$ according to Proposition 3.4, this equivalence follows from the analogous statement shown in [64, Lemma 3.1] for f_q and $\text{SH}(S)$. \square

3.0.5. The cofiber f_E^{n-1} of $f_n^E \rightarrow id$ is called the $(n-1)$ -th E -effective cocover of E (see [63, 3.1] for a discussion in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$). This yields, for any E -module M , a diagram where the horizontal rows are cofiber sequences (see also [64, 3.9]):

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} f_{q+1}^E M & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & f_E^q M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f_q^E M & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & f_E^{q-1} M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ s_q^E M & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & s_q^E M[1], \end{array}$$

and the cofiber sequence (see also [64, 3.11]):

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} f_q^E M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} f_E^q M.$$

The E -slice completion of M is defined by setting $\mathrm{sc}_E(M) := \lim f_E^q M$. The E -module M is said to be *slice complete* if the map $M \rightarrow \mathrm{sc}_E(M)$ is an equivalence, or equivalently that $\lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} f_E^q M \simeq 0$.

3.0.6. *Slice spectral sequence.* If M is an E -module, we write $\pi_{p,q}(M)(X) := [\Sigma^{p,q} E \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, M]_{\mathrm{Mod}_E}$. If X is the base scheme we just write $\pi_{p,q}(M) := [\Sigma^{p,q} E, M]_{\mathrm{Mod}_E}$. So if E is the unit sphere $\mathbf{1}$, this is just the group $[\Sigma^{p,q} \mathbf{1}, M]_{\mathrm{SH}(S)}$. Furthermore, when $S = \mathrm{Spec} k$ is a field, we recover the value of the homotopy sheaf on $\mathrm{Spec} k$: $\underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\mathrm{Nis}}(M)(k) \simeq \pi_{p,q}(M)$. The E -module slice tower yields the trigraded E -slice spectral sequence:

$$E_{p,q,w}^1(M)(X) = \pi_{p,w}(s_q^E M)(X) \Rightarrow \pi_{p,w}(M)(X).$$

The exact couple that gives rise to this spectral sequence (with our preference for its indexing) is written in, for example, [62, (11)]. A differential goes:

$$d_r(p, q, w) : \pi_{p,w}(s_q^E M)(X) \rightarrow \pi_{p-1,w}(s_{q+r}^E M)(X).$$

We view the trigraded slice spectral sequence as a family of bigraded spectral sequences indexed by the weight w . This spectral sequence is always conditionally convergent to the homotopy groups of the slice completion $\pi_{*,*} \mathrm{sc}_E(M)(X)$. If a conditionally convergent spectral sequence collapses at some finite stage, then it is strongly convergent [7, Theorem 7.1]. Convergence issues for the slice spectral sequence are discussed at length in [42], [63], and [73].

3.0.7. *ét-localization of slices.* Suppose τ is a topology that is finer than the Nisnevich topology and there is an adjunction $\pi^* : \mathrm{SH}(S) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SH}_\tau(S) : \pi_*$. We define the q -th τ -effective cover of a motivic spectrum E to be $\pi_* \pi^* f_q E$ and refer to the corresponding filtration as the τ -slice filtration. Using the defining cofiber sequence $f_{q+1} E \rightarrow f_q E \rightarrow s_q E$ we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_{q+1} E & \longrightarrow & f_q E & \longrightarrow & s_q E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_* \pi^* f_{q+1} E & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \pi^* f_q E & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \pi^* s_q E. \end{array}$$

We call $\pi_* \pi^* s_q E$ the q -th τ -slice because the bottom row is also a cofiber sequence since $\pi_* \pi^*$ is an exact functor; the object $\pi_* \pi^* f_q E$ is the q -th τ -coeffective cover. The τ -slice tower is given by:

$$(10) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* f_q E \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* f_{q-1} E \rightarrow \cdots$$

We refer to the corresponding spectral sequence:

$$(11) \quad E_{p,q,w}^{1,\tau}(\mathbf{E}) := \pi_{p,n}(\pi_*\pi^*s_q\mathbf{E}) \Rightarrow \pi_{p,w}(\operatorname{colim} \pi_*\pi^*f_q\mathbf{E}),$$

as the τ -slice spectral sequence. As above, this give a bigraded τ -slice spectral sequence for every fixed weight w . Some of the issues at stake in this paper are to determine the convergence properties of (11) and identify its filtered target groups with $\pi_{p,w}(\pi_*\pi^*\mathbf{E})$.

The above has an E-module analogue. If $\mathbf{E} \in \operatorname{CAlg}(\operatorname{SH}(S))$ then $\pi^*\mathbf{E} \in \operatorname{CAlg}(\operatorname{SH}_\tau(S))$ since π^* is a monoidal functor. Thus it makes sense to form the q -th E^τ -effective cover $f_q^{E^\tau}M := \pi_*\pi^*f_q^E M$ and the slices $s_q^{E^\tau}M := \pi_*\pi^*s_q^E M$.

3.0.8. There is another possibility for what one might call “étale slices.” Let $\operatorname{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S)$ be the stable ∞ -category generated by $\Sigma_T^\infty X_+$ under retracts and colimits in $\operatorname{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)$. We may define $\Sigma_T^q \operatorname{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S)$ as above and build a slice tower in the étale setting. Denote the effective covers by $f_q^{\text{ét}}$ and the slices by $s_q^{\text{ét}}$ (these will not be used again in the paper).

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose k is a field containing a primitive ℓ -th root of unity where ℓ is prime to the exponential characteristic of k . Then $s_q^{\text{ét}}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell \simeq 0$ for all $q \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. Since $H_{\text{ét}}^0(k; \mu_\ell) \simeq [\mathbf{1}, \Sigma^{0,1}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell]_{\operatorname{SH}_{\text{ét}}}$ there is an invertible map $\tau_\ell^{\mathbf{MZ}} : \pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell \rightarrow \Sigma^{0,1}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell$ classifying a primitive ℓ -th root of unity in k . By the proof of [62, Lemma 2.1], which makes no use of the Nisnevich topology, there is an equivalence $f_{q+1}^{\text{ét}}\Sigma^{0,1}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma^{0,1}f_q^{\text{ét}}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell$ and a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_{q+1}^{\text{ét}}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell & \longrightarrow & f_q^{\text{ét}}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell \\ \downarrow f_{q+1}'(\tau_\ell^{\mathbf{MZ}}) & & \downarrow \tau_\ell^{\mathbf{MZ}} \\ f_{q+1}^{\text{ét}}\Sigma^{0,1}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Sigma^{0,1}f_q^{\text{ét}}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell. \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are invertible because multiplication by the class of $\tau_\ell^{\mathbf{MZ}}$ is invertible. Thus the top arrow is invertible and its cofiber $s_q^{\text{ét}}\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell$ is equivalent to the zero object. \square

In fact, one can run the argument in [73, Remark 2.1] to show that $s_0^{\text{ét}}(\pi^*\mathbf{MGL}/\ell) \simeq s_0^{\text{ét}}(\pi^*\mathbf{MZ}/\ell)$ is zero. Hence this approach should be abandoned.

4. SOME ÉTALE CONNECTIVITY RESULTS

For the rest of the paper, let us write:

- $E^{\text{ét}} := \pi_*\pi^*E$ for the étale localization of $E \in \operatorname{SH}(S)$,
- $H(S)$, $\operatorname{SH}^{S^1}(S)$, $\operatorname{SH}(S)$ for $H_{\operatorname{Nis}}(S)$, $\operatorname{SH}_{\operatorname{Nis}}^{S^1}(S)$, $\operatorname{SH}_{\operatorname{Nis}}(S)$ respectively. Objects in $\operatorname{SH}(S)$ will be called motivic spectra.

We will still have occasion to use π^*E when we make arguments internal to the $\operatorname{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)$. We are interested in the spectral sequence (11) for the étale topology. For $X \in \operatorname{Sm}_S$ this takes the form:

$$(12) \quad E_{p,q,w}^{1,\text{ét}}(\mathbf{E})(X) := \pi_{p,n}(s_q E^{\text{ét}})(X) \Rightarrow \pi_{p,w}(\operatorname{colim} f_q E^{\text{ét}})(X).$$

We will refer to (12) as the *étale slice spectral sequence*, but be warned that it might not be what the reader expects, as discussed in §3.0.8 and further in Example 4.1. The goal of this section is to prove convergence results about this spectral sequence.

In order to access the convergence of the étale slice spectral sequence, we will need a bound on the connectivity of $E^{\text{ét}}$ and its étale slices. This is in general a delicate issue, mainly due to the problems pointed out in §2.2.5 and the lack of a connectivity Theorem for the $\operatorname{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)$ in the sense of [51]. When E is an Landweber exact motivic spectra, however, we can produce a bound on the connectivity, measured differently. This is of independent

interest. Producing this bound is the goal of for the rest of the section; see Theorem 4.16. Before we proceed, let us examine the example in §2.2.5 in greater detail.

Example 4.1. Suppose k is a field with exponential characteristic coprime to ℓ . We may view \mathbf{MZ}/ℓ as an \mathbf{G}_m -spectrum in $\mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S)$, i.e., a sequence of \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant and Nisnevich-local presheaves of spectra $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ equipped with bonding maps $E_i \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} E_{i+1}$, each of which is an equivalence.

From this point of view, consider the motivic spectrum $\Sigma^{0,-1}\mathbf{MZ}/\ell$. The constituent S^1 -spectra (again thinking of chain complexes as spectra) of this motivic spectrum are:

$$(0, \mathbf{Z}/\ell[1], \mathbf{Z}/\ell(1)[2], \mathbf{Z}/\ell(2)[3], \dots).$$

For each $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a bonding map:

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{Z}/\ell(t-1)[t] \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \mathbf{Z}/\ell(t)[t+1],$$

which is an equivalence due to Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [77]. Taking the étale sheafification of each of these individual spectra gives us a sequence S^1 -spectra:

$$(14) \quad (0, L_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z}/\ell[1], L_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z}/\ell(1)[2], L_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z}/\ell(2)[3], \dots),$$

each of which is still \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant and in fact equivalent to $\mu_\ell^{\otimes t}[t+1]$ on account of $L_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z}/\ell(1) \simeq \mu_\ell$. Furthermore, and this is the crux point, each bonding map (13) induces an equivalence for $t > 1$:

$$L_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z}/\ell(t-1)[t] \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} L_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z}/\ell(t)[t+1],$$

while for $t = 1$ we have:

$$(15) \quad \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} L_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z}/p[1] \simeq \mu_p^{\otimes -1} \neq 0.$$

Indeed, non-commutativity of the diagram in §2.2.5 boils down to this phenomena – even if the levelwise étale sheafification preserves \mathbf{A}^1 -invariance, the individual spectrum may no longer be an \mathbf{G}_m -spectrum but is, instead, a \mathbf{G}_m -prespectrum — a notion we will revisit in the ∞ -categorical setting in 4.0.6.

4.0.1. As Example 4.1 shows one cannot simply apply descent spectral sequence arguments to get connectivity bounds on $E^{\text{ét}}$. To spell this out let us denote by $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty : \mathrm{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)$ the infinite loop functor in the étale topology, then each the constituent S^1 -spectra of $E^{\text{ét}}$ is simply:

$$(\Omega_{\text{ét}}^\infty E^{\text{ét}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{1,1} E^{\text{ét}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{2,2} E^{\text{ét}}, \dots).$$

From this we have a descent spectral sequence:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^p(X, \pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{i,i} E^{\text{ét}}) \Rightarrow [X, \mathbf{G}_m^{\wedge i} \wedge E^{\text{ét}}[p+q]].$$

However, *a priori* we do not know the relationship between the homotopy sheaves:

$$\pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{i,i} E^{\text{ét}},$$

and its Nisnevich version:

$$\pi_{-q}^{\text{Nis}} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{i,i} E,$$

since $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{i,i} E^{\text{ét}}$ is not, in general, the étale sheafification of the Nisnevich-local presheaf of spectra $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^{i,i} E$. Therefore, gaining control of these S^1 -spectra will not be easy, as demonstrated by the above paragraph. We make an attempt to do so in this section.

4.0.2. We begin by examining more closely the intermediate category of S^1 -spectra, $\mathrm{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)$, i.e., the ∞ -category of τ -local and \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant presheaves of spectra. Let us denote by:

$$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(S) := \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Spt}),$$

the ∞ -category of presheaves of spectra on $\mathrm{Sm}_S^{\mathrm{op}}$. The ∞ -category $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathbf{A}^1}^{S^1}(S)$ (resp. $\mathrm{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)$) is the full subcategory of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(S) := \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Spt})$ spanned by those presheaves of spectra which are \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant as in §2.1.1 (τ -local as in resp. §2.1.2), with spectra instead of spaces. We denote by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L}_{\mathbf{A}^1} &: \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}, \mathbf{A}^1}(S), \\ \mathrm{L}_\tau &: \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}, \tau}(S), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathrm{L}_{\tau, m} := \mathrm{L}_{\mathbf{A}^1} \mathrm{L}_\tau : \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S),$$

the \mathbf{A}^1 -localization, τ -localization and motivic localization functors for presheaves of spectra respectively. The notation is justified by the fact that these functors are just the S^1 -stabilization (in other words, stabilization in the sense of [46, Section 1.4]) of their unstable versions described in §2.1.1, §2.1.2, and §2.1.3.

We are most interested in $\mathrm{L}_{\acute{e}t}$; a concrete model for this is the Thomason-Godement resolution [70, 1.33]. In order to avoid confusion, we always use:

$$\mathrm{L}_{\acute{e}t, m} = \mathrm{L}_{\mathbf{A}^1} \mathrm{L}_{\acute{e}t} : \mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\acute{e}t}^{S^1}(S),$$

for \mathbf{A}^1 -localization and $\acute{e}t$ -sheafification of presheaves of Nis-local spectra and $\pi^* : \mathrm{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\acute{e}t}(S)$ for $\acute{e}t$ ale localization in the sense of Proposition 2.13.

4.0.3. Before we proceed with motivic homotopy theory, we require two ingredients from the theory of presheaves of spectra. First, is a convergence statement for $\acute{e}t$ -local presheaves of spectra. See also [34, Lemma 3.4], [47, Corollary 1.3.3.11].

Proposition 4.2. *Let k be a field such that $\mathrm{cd}_\ell(k) < \infty$. Let*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_i \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_0$$

be a tower in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(k)$. Let $\mathrm{fib}_i(\mathrm{E})$ denote the fiber of $\mathrm{E}_i \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i-1}$, and suppose that the following condition holds:

- *Let $f(i)$ denote the connectivity of $\mathrm{fib}_i(\mathrm{E})$ in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$. Then for any $n \geq 0$, there exists an $i \gg 0$ such that for any $i' \geq i$, $f(i') \geq n$.*

Then for any $v \geq 1$ the natural map

$$\mathrm{L}_{\acute{e}t}(\lim_i \mathrm{E} / \ell^v) \rightarrow \lim_i \mathrm{L}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathrm{E} / \ell^v)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Denote by E / ℓ^v the limit of the tower:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i+1} / \ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_i / \ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i-1} / \ell^v \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_0 / \ell^v,$$

in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$. We have canonical morphisms:

$$\mathrm{E} / \ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_i / \ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_i^{\acute{e}t} / \ell^v.$$

The inclusion $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}, \acute{e}t}(k) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Spt}}(k)$ preserves limits since it is a right adjoint and thus $\lim \mathrm{E}_i^{\acute{e}t} / \ell^v$ is indeed $\acute{e}t$ -local. Our goal is then to prove that the map:

$$(16) \quad \mathrm{E} / \ell^v \rightarrow \lim \mathrm{L}_{\acute{e}t} \mathrm{E}_i / \ell^v,$$

witnesses an $\acute{e}t$ -localization. To do so, we first claim that for any $n \geq 0$ the map on homotopy sheaves:

$$\pi_n^{\acute{e}t}(\mathrm{E} / \ell^v) \rightarrow \pi_n^{\acute{e}t}(\lim \mathrm{L}_{\acute{e}t} \mathrm{E}_i / \ell^v),$$

is an isomorphism. On homotopy presheaves, there exists $i \gg 0$ such that:

$$\cdots \cong \pi_n(\mathrm{E}_{i+2}) \cong \pi_n(\mathrm{E}_{i+1}) \cong \pi_n(\mathrm{E}_i),$$

by the assumption on the connectivity of $\text{fib}_i(E)$. Therefore, by the Milnor $\lim\text{-}\lim^1$ -sequence have isomorphisms

$$(17) \quad \pi_n(\lim E_i) \cong \lim \pi_n(E_i) \cong \pi_n(E_i)$$

for all $i \gg 0$. Having this, we have the equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n^{\text{ét}}(E/\ell^\nu) &= \pi_n^{\text{ét}}(\lim_i E_i/\ell^\nu) \\ &\cong a_{\text{ét}}(\pi_n E_i/\ell^\nu) \\ &\cong \pi_n^{\text{ét}}(E_i/\ell^\nu) \\ &\cong \pi_n^{\text{ét}}(L_{\text{ét}} E_i/\ell^\nu) \end{aligned}$$

Here, the first two equivalences are due to (17) and the third equivalence is due to the fact that étale sheafification does not change stalks. To finish we use descent spectral sequence: $H^p(X, \pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}}(E/\ell^\nu)) \Rightarrow [X, \Sigma^{p+q} L_{\text{ét}} E/\ell^\nu]$, which is strongly convergent due to the cohomological dimension assumption in play, showing that the map $E/\ell^\nu \rightarrow \lim L_{\text{ét}} E_i/\ell^\nu$ is an ét-localization as desired. \square

4.0.4. Next, let us review the descent spectral sequence for ét-local motivic spectra, which boils down to a Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence in [70, Proposition 1.36].

Proposition 4.3. *Let S be base scheme, $E \in \text{SH}(S)$, there is spectral sequence:*

$$(18) \quad E_{p,q}^2 = H_{\text{ét}}^p(S, \pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}}(\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{t,t} E^{\text{ét}})) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\mathbf{1}_S, \Sigma^{p+q+t,t} E^{\text{ét}}).$$

This spectral sequence is strongly convergent if the homotopy sheaves $\pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}}(\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{t,t} E^{\text{ét}})$ are ℓ -torsion and the residue characteristics of S have finite ℓ -cohomological dimension or if the homotopy sheaves $\pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}}(\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{t,t} E^{\text{ét}})$ vanish for $-q \ll 0$.

Proof. For any $t \in \mathbf{Z}$, consider presheaf of S^1 -spectra, $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{t,t} E^{\text{ét}}$. This presheaf of S^1 -spectra satisfies ét-hyperdescent by Proposition 2.13. Now, the general format of the descent spectral sequence (for example [36, Section 6.1] or [70, Proposition 1.36]) is a spectral sequence:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^p(S, \pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}} F) \Rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Maps}_{\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)}(\mathbf{1}_S, \Sigma^{p+q} F)$$

for F a presheaf of spectra satisfying ét-hyperdescent. Plugging in $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{t,t} E^{\text{ét}}$ for F gets us the desired descent spectral sequence. The convergence statements follow from standard convergence criterion for the descent spectral sequence discussed in, for example, [70, 5.44–5.48]. \square

4.0.5. Now, we recall that the adjunction of (4) factors as the S^1 -stabilization of $H_\tau(S)$:

$$(19) \quad \Sigma_{S^1,+}^\infty : H_\tau(S) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) : \Omega_{S^1}^\infty,$$

and the process of $(\mathbf{G}_m, 1)$ -inversion described in the sense discussed in Corollary 2.5:

$$(20) \quad \Sigma_{\mathbf{G}_m,+}^\infty : \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}_\tau(S) = \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)[(\mathbf{G}_m, 1)^{-1}] : \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty.$$

By the formula given in (3), the ∞ -category $\text{SH}_\tau(S)$ is obtained from $\text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)$ by the colimit:

$$(21) \quad \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{G}_m, 1)^{\wedge -}} \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{G}_m, 1)^{\wedge -}} \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) \rightarrow \dots$$

computed in the ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_{H_\tau(S)}(\text{Pr}^L)$. Since colimits in $\text{Mod}_{H_\tau(S)}(\text{Pr}^L)$ can also be computed as a limit in $\text{Mod}_{H_\tau(S)}(\text{Pr}^R)$ where the transition maps are the right adjoints

[45, 5.5.3] and the forgetful functor $\text{Mod}_{\text{SH}_\tau(S)}(\text{Pr}^R) \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ preserves limits, the underlying ∞ -category of $\text{SH}_\tau(S)$ is computed as a limit of the diagram:

$$(22) \quad \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) \xleftarrow{\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}} \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) \xleftarrow{\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}} \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) \xleftarrow{\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}} \dots$$

in the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories, Cat_∞ . This formulation lets us speak of \mathbf{G}_m -prespectra as limits in Cat_∞ can be described “pointwise.” The following discussion can be seen as a formulation, in our setting, of the usual notion of “ \mathbf{G}_m - S^1 ” bispectra following [33], [35] and [80].

4.0.6. To elaborate on this point, let $L \subset \mathbb{N}$ be the 1-skeleton of the nerve of the poset defined by the natural numbers. The diagram (22) determines a functor:

$$p : L^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty.$$

According to ∞ -categorical Grothendieck construction [45, 3.2] the functor p determines a Cartesian fibration:

$$\begin{array}{c} \int \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S) \\ \downarrow q \\ L, \end{array}$$

such that the ∞ -category of Cartesian sections of q is equivalent to the limit of diagram (22), i.e., the ∞ -category $\text{SH}_\tau(S)$ ([45, Corollary 3.3.3.2]). Concretely, this means that to specify an object of $\text{SH}_\tau(S)$ is equivalent to:

- specifying objects $\{E_i \in \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$,
- and bonding maps $\varepsilon_i : E_i \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} E_{i+1}$ which are equivalences.

We shall call such a section a \mathbf{G}_m -spectrum in $\text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)$. The last condition is implied by the demand that the section be Cartesian. However, we may also speak of the ∞ -category of \mathbf{G}_m -prespectra in $\text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)$, which is defined as sections of q which are not necessarily Cartesian. Concretely, specifying \mathbf{G}_m -prespectrum is a equivalent to:

- specifying objects $\{E_i \in \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$,
- and bonding maps $\varepsilon_i : E_i \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} E_{i+1}$, which need not be equivalences.

We denote by $\text{SH}_\tau^{\text{pre}}(S) := \text{Fun}_L(L, \int \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S))$ the ∞ -category of \mathbf{G}_m -prespectra, which is simply defined as the space of sections of the Cartesian fibration q ; [45, Corollary 3.3.3.2] implies that there is a fully faithful embedding $u : \text{SH}_\tau(S) \hookrightarrow \text{SH}_\tau^{\text{pre}}(S)$ as the Cartesian sections. According to [29, Page 7] this defines a localization, i.e., u has a left adjoint:

$$(23) \quad Q : \text{SH}_\tau^{\text{pre}}(S) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}_\tau(S) : u$$

which we call *spectrification* and is computed as the familiar-looking formula:

$$(24) \quad Q(E)_i \simeq \text{colim}_n E_i \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} E_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^2} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^2 E_{i+2} \cdots = \text{colim}_n \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^n E_{i+n}.$$

Here, we emphasize that the colimit is taken in $\text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)$, so that the colimit is, in particular, τ -local. For the rest of the paper we will specify a \mathbf{G}_m -prespectrum in $\text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)$ by writing a sequence of objects in $\text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S)$:

$$(E_0, E_1, \dots), E_i \in \text{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(S).$$

The bonding maps will always be clear from context.

4.0.7. The point of §4.0.6 is to describe the following situation. Let $E \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a motivic spectrum. Then we obtain a \mathbf{G}_m -spectrum in $\mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S)$, specified by the sequence of objects in $\mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S)$:

$$(\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty E, \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{1,1} E, \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{2,2} E, \dots),$$

such that the bonding maps:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{i,i} E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \Sigma^{i+1,i+1} E \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{i+1,i+1} E,$$

are equivalences for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we have an inclusion $\pi_{*,\mathrm{pre}} : \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\mathrm{pre}}(S) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{pre}}(S)$ over L where $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\mathrm{pre}}(S)$ is identified with the full subcategory of \mathbf{G}_m -prespectra in $\mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S)$ spanned by those prespectra such that $(\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty E)$ is ét-local, i.e., sections of $\int \mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S) \rightarrow L$ which lands in $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}^{S^1}(S) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SH}(S)$. Since the ∞ -category of \mathbf{G}_m -prespectra in $\mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S)$ is described as a functor category (being a category of sections) and colimits are computed pointwise in such categories, $\pi_{*,\mathrm{pre}}$ preserves colimits and thus admits a left adjoint:

$$\pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}} : \mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{pre}}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\mathrm{pre}}(S).$$

4.0.8. The functor $\pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}}$ is computed by applying:

$$L_{\mathbf{A}^1} L_{\mathrm{ét}} = L_{\mathrm{ét},m} : \mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}^{S^1}(S),$$

to each individual presheaves of spectra to obtain a \mathbf{G}_m -prespectrum in $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}^{S^1}(S)$ in the sense of 4.0.6 just discussed:

$$(25) \quad \pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}} E := (L_{\mathrm{ét},m} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty E, L_{\mathrm{ét},m} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{1,1} E, L_{\mathrm{ét},m} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{2,2} E, \dots).$$

Here, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the map

$$\Omega^\infty \Sigma^{i,i} E \simeq \Omega^\infty \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \Sigma^{i+1,i+1} E \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{i+1,i+1} E \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} L_{\mathrm{ét},m} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{i+1,i+1} E$$

induces the bonding map:

$$L_{\mathrm{ét},m} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{i,i} E \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} L_{\mathrm{ét},m} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{i+1,i+1} E,$$

since the target is \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant and ét-local. Indeed, a morphism in $\mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{pre}}(S)$ is just a natural of transformation $f : E \rightarrow F$ between functors $E, F : L \rightarrow \int \mathrm{SH}^{S^1}(S)$ and so if each term of F has étale descent then f must factor through $\pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}} E$.

Note that the bonding maps in $\pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}} E$ are not necessarily equivalences and so $\pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}} E$ does not define an object of $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}(S)$.

4.0.9. The following lemma identifies $Q(\pi^* E^{\mathrm{ét}})$ as the ét-localization of E :

Lemma 4.4. *The functor $\pi^* : \mathrm{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}(S)$ is calculated as the composite functor*

$$\mathrm{SH}(S) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}}} \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\mathrm{pre}}(S) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}(S)^2.$$

Proof. Using [45, Proposition 5.2.7.4] we need to check that the essential image of $Q \circ \pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}}$ is the essential image of π^* , i.e., ét-local spectra in the sense of Proposition 2.13 and that $Q \circ \pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}}$ is left adjoint to $Q \circ \pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}}(\mathrm{SH}(S)) \subset \mathrm{SH}(S)$. The first statement follows from the characterization of objects in $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{ét}}(S)$ in Lemma , the second statement follows since the analogous statements are also true for the localization functors Q and $\pi^{*,\mathrm{pre}}$. \square

²In fact, this claim is true for any topology τ .

4.0.10. We will now define a condition on a motivic spectrum $E \in \text{SH}(S)$ such that its ét-localization can be controlled. To motivate the next definition, let us examine Example 4.1 in the language we just set up.

Remark 4.5. We have a \mathbf{G}_m -prespectrum in $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)$ defined by (14). In the notation of this section, (14) is exactly $\pi^{*,\text{pre}}\Sigma^{0,-1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}/\ell$. Note that (15) tells us that $\pi^{*,\text{pre}}\Sigma^{0,-1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}/\ell$ is not equivalent to $Q(\pi^{*,\text{pre}}\Sigma^{0,-1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}/\ell) \simeq \Sigma^{0,-1}\pi^*\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}/\ell$, i.e., it is not a \mathbf{G}_m -spectrum in $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)$. However, we note that each of the bonding map $\mathbf{Z}/\ell_{\text{ét}}(t-1)[t] \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}\mathbf{Z}/\ell_{\text{ét}}(t)[t+1]$ is an equivalence for $t \geq 1$. This motivates the next definition.

Definition 4.6. Let $E \in \text{SH}(S)$ and consider the \mathbf{G}_m -prespectrum $\pi^{*,\text{pre}}E = (L_{\text{ét},m}\Omega^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. We say that E is *étale- \mathbf{A}^1 naive above degree r* if for all $i \geq r$

- The natural map $L_{\text{ét}}\Omega^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{A}^1}L_{\text{ét}}\Omega^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E = L_{\text{ét},m}\Omega^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E$ is an equivalence in $\text{P}_{\text{ét},\text{Spt}}(k)$, i.e., the ét-local presheaf of spectra $L_{\text{ét}}\Omega^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E$ is \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant.
- The induced map $L_{\text{ét}}\Omega^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}L_{\text{ét}}\Omega^\infty\Sigma^{i+1,i+1}E$ is an equivalence in $\text{P}_{\text{ét},\text{Spt}}(k)$.

With this definition, Example 4.1 states that $\Sigma^{0,-1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}/\ell$ is étale \mathbf{A}^1 -naive above degree 1. The following lemma justifies the above definition.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $E \in \text{SH}(S)$. Suppose that E is étale- \mathbf{A}^1 -naive above degree r . Then for any $i \geq r$, we have an equivalence in $\text{P}_{\text{ét},\text{Spt}}(S)$:*

$$L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}\pi^*E.$$

Proof. Indeed, both presheaves of spectra are ét-local and \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant and so define objects in $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)$; for the left hand side this is because of the first condition in the definition of being étale- \mathbf{A}^1 -naive. This condition also tells us that we have an equivalence in $\text{P}_{\text{ét},\text{Spt}}(S)$:

$$L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i+n,i+n}E \simeq L_{\mathbf{A}^1}L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i+n,i+n}E,$$

for $n \geq 0$.

According to Lemma 4.4, we have an equivalence $Q(\pi^{*,\text{pre}}E) \simeq \pi^*E$. In other words, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the presheaf of spectra $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}\pi^*E$ is the i -th term of the \mathbf{G}_m -spectrum in $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)$, $Q(\pi^{*,\text{pre}}E)$. The latter is calculated by the formula (24) and so we have an equivalence in $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)$:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}\pi^*E \simeq \text{colim } \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^n L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i+n,i+n}E.$$

Since E is \mathbf{A}^1 -naive above degree r the hypothesis that $i \geq r$ informs us that the transition maps in the colimit are all equivalences, and so we have equivalences in $\text{P}_{\text{Spt},\text{ét}}(k)$:

$$L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i+1,i+1}E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^2L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i+2,i+2}E \simeq \dots$$

Therefore, we obtain the desired equivalence:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}\pi^*E \simeq L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E$$

□

4.0.11. The point of Definition 4.6 is that we can estimate the connectivity of $E^{\text{ét}}$. In general, if $E \in \text{SH}(S)$, then we have a descent spectral sequence by the discussion in §4.0.4:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^p(X, \pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\pi^*\Sigma^{i,i}E) \Rightarrow [X, \pi^*\Sigma^{i,i}E[p+q]]_{\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)} \simeq [X, \Sigma^{i,i}E^{\text{ét}}[p+q]]_{\text{SH}(S)}$$

Suppose that E is étale- \mathbf{A}^1 naive above degree r , then for all $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, we have the following equivalences of mapping spaces whenever $i \geq r$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maps}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\Sigma^{q,0}\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{i,i}E^{\text{ét}}) &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)}(\Sigma^{q,0}\Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X_+, \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}\pi^*E) \\ &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(S)}(\Sigma^{q,0}\Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X_+, L_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty\Sigma^{i,i}E). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equivalence is exactly Lemma 4.7. Therefore, in this range, the étale homotopy sheaves are computed as:

$$(26) \quad \pi_{q,0}^{\text{ét}} \Sigma^{i,i} E \cong a_{\text{ét}}(\pi_q \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{i,i} \pi^* E) \cong a_{\text{ét}}(\pi_q L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{i,i} E) \cong a_{\text{ét}}(\pi_q \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{i,i} E),$$

where the second isomorphism is due to the condition of being étale- \mathbf{A}^1 naive, and last isomorphism follows because $L_{\text{ét}}$ does not change stalks. Plugging this into the descent spectral sequence gets us

$$(27) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^p(X, \pi_{-q}^{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} E_i) \Rightarrow [X, \Sigma^{i,i} \pi^* E[p+q]]_{\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)} \simeq [X, \Sigma^{i,i} E^{\text{ét}}[p+q]]_{\text{SH}(S)}$$

4.0.12. Now we apply the above machinery to investigate the étale- \mathbf{A}^1 naive properties of Landweber exact motivic spectra and its slice covers. For the rest of this section, we work over a field k . We prove a sequence of lemmas towards establishing some étale- \mathbf{A}^1 naive properties of Landweber exact motivic spectra (see §6.3.1 for a review and conventions of Landweber exact motivic spectra).

Lemma 4.8. *Let k be a field of exponential characteristic coprime to ℓ and assume $\text{cd}_{\ell}(k) < \infty$. Let $E_N \in \text{SH}(k)$ be a Landweber exact motivic spectrum associated to a Landweber exact MU_* -module N_* then for any pairs of integers (r, q) we have an equivalence in $\text{P}_{\text{Spt}, \text{ét}}(\text{Sm}_k)$:*

$$(28) \quad L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f_q E_N / \ell^v \xrightarrow{\simeq} \lim_n L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v$$

Proof. Let us first fix the integer q . We have the following tower in $\text{SH}(S)$:

$$(29) \quad \cdots \rightarrow f^n f_q E / \ell^v \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow f^{q+3} f_q E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow f^{q+2} f_q E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow f^{q+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \simeq s_q(E_N / \ell^v),$$

and thus for any $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, we obtain a tower in $\text{SH}^{S^1}(S)$ after applying $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty}$:

$$(30) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{q+3} f_q E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{q+2} f_q E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{q+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} s_q(E_N / \ell^v).$$

Now, for any $n > q$, we have a cofiber sequence in $\text{SH}(S)$ (see (9)):

$$f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \simeq f^{n+1} E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow f^n f_q E_N / \ell^v \simeq f^n E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} s_{n+1} E_N / \ell^v \simeq \Sigma^{1,0} \Sigma^{2n+2, n+1} \text{MN} / \ell^v,$$

where the last equivalence is due to the computation of the slices of Landweber exact spectra as in [68]. Therefore, fiber of:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^n f_q E_N / \ell^v$$

is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} s_{n+1} E_N / \ell^v &\simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} \Sigma^{2n+2, n+1} \text{MN} / \ell^v \\ &\simeq \mathbf{Z} / \ell^v [2n+2+r](n+1+r) \otimes N_{2n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the connectivity of $\mathbf{Z} / \ell^v (2n+2+r)[n+1+r] \otimes N_{2n+2} \in \text{SH}^{S^1}(S)$ tends to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, Proposition 4.2 gives us an equivalence in $\text{P}_{\text{Spt}, \text{ét}}(\text{Sm}_k)$:

$$(31) \quad L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f_q E_N / \ell^v \xrightarrow{\simeq} \lim_n L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v.$$

□

Lemma 4.9. *Let k be a field of exponential characteristic coprime to ℓ and assume $\text{cd}_{\ell}(k) < \infty$. Let $E_N \in \text{SH}(k)$ be a Landweber exact motivic spectrum associated to a Landweber exact MU_* -module N_* . For any pairs of integers (r, q) , the ét-local presheaf of spectra $L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f_q E_N / \ell^v$ is \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant. Hence the canonical map*

$$L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f_q E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{A}^1} L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f_q E_N / \ell^v$$

is an equivalence in $\text{P}_{\text{ét}, \text{Spt}}(k)$, and $L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f_q E_N / \ell^v \in \text{SH}_{\text{ét}}^{S^1}(k)$.

Proof. Note that \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant presheaves in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{ét,Spt}}(\text{Sm}_k)$ are closed under limits. Indeed, if $F : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{ét,Spt}}(\text{Sm}_k)$ is a diagram of \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant ét-local presheaves of spectra, then for any $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ we have natural equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maps}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\infty X_+, \lim F_i) &\simeq \lim_i \text{Maps}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\infty X_+, F_i) \\ &\simeq \lim_i \text{Maps}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\infty (X \times \mathbf{A}^1)_+, F_i) \\ &\simeq \text{Maps}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\infty (X \times \mathbf{A}^1)_+, \lim F_i) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, after Lemma 4.8 it suffices to prove that for any $n \geq q + 1$, each of the ét-local presheaf of spectra $\text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v$ is \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant. For $n = q + 1$, we note that $\text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} s_{n+1} E_N / \ell^v \simeq \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z} / \ell^v [2n + 2 + r](n + 1 + r) \otimes N_{2n+2}$ is \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant. Indeed, by [48, Theorem 2.3], $\text{L}_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z} / \ell^v (q)[p] \simeq \mu^{\otimes q}[p]$ for $q \geq 0$, which are indeed \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant ét-local presheaves [1, XV, Corollary 2.2].

Suppose that we have proved the claim for all $n' < n$. The property of being \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant is clearly closed under extensions and so we conclude using the cofiber sequence in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{ét,Spt}}(k)$:

$$\text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} s_{n+1} E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^n f_q E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n-1} f_q E_N / \ell^v.$$

□

Lemma 4.10. *Let $E_N \in \text{SH}(k)$ be a Landweber exact motivic spectrum associated to a Landweber exact MU_* -module N_* . Then for all pairs of integers (r, q) such that $r > -q$, the canonical map:*

$$\text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r-1, r-1} f_q E / \ell^v \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f_q E_N / \ell^v$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. First we note that for $q \geq 1$, we have an equivalence for all $p \in \mathbf{Z}$:

$$(32) \quad \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z} / \ell^v (q)[p] \simeq \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \mathbf{Z} / \ell^v (q)[p].$$

Indeed, both sides are equivalent to $\mu_{\ell^v}^{\otimes q-1}[p-1]$. With this, we claim that the natural map:

$$(33) \quad \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^n f_q E_N / \ell^v \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^n f_q E_N / \ell^v,$$

is an equivalence; in other words we claim that $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}$ and $\text{L}_{\text{ét}}$ commutes in this case. This is proved by induction on n . The base case is when $n = q + 1$. In this case, we have the following computation in $\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbf{Z} / \ell)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^{q+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v &= \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} s_q (E_N / \ell^v) \\ &\simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\mathbf{Z} / \ell^v [2q + r](q + r) \otimes N_{2n+2} \\ &\simeq \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \mathbf{Z} / \ell^v [2q + r](q + r) \otimes N_{2n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the first equivalence follows from the computation of the slices of Landweber exact spectra [68] and the second equivalence follows from (33) using the hypothesis that $q + r > 0$.

Now, assume that (33) has been verified for $n - 1$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} s_n E_N / \ell^v & \longrightarrow & \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^n f_q E_N / \ell^v & \longrightarrow & \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n-1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} s_n E_N / \ell^v & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^n f_q E_N / \ell^v & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \text{L}_{\text{ét}}\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n-1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \end{array}$$

Since $L_{\text{ét}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}$ are exact functors, the horizontal rows are cofiber sequences. The left-most vertical map is an equivalence by the same argument as in the base case since $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} s_n E_N / \ell^v \simeq \mathbf{Z} / \ell^v [2n+r](n+r) \otimes N_{2n+2}$, while the right-most vertical map is an equivalence by inductive hypothesis. Therefore the middle vertical map is indeed an equivalence.

To conclude, we have the following string of equivalences, for $r > -q$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f_q E_N / \ell^v &\simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \lim_n L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \\ &\simeq \lim_n \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \\ &\simeq \lim_n L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r,r} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \\ &\simeq \lim_n L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r-1,r-1} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \\ &\simeq L_{\text{ét}} \lim_n \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r-1,r-1} f^{n+1} f_q E_N / \ell^v \\ &\simeq L_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{r-1,r-1} f_q E_N / \ell^v. \end{aligned}$$

The first equivalence is due to (31), the second equivalence is because $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}$ is a right adjoint and thus preserves limits, the third equivalence is due to (33), the fourth equivalence is because of the canonical equivalence $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}$, the fifth equivalence is another application of (31), while the last equivalence is again because $\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}$ preserves limits. \square

As a result we have proved that:

Proposition 4.11. *Let $E_N \in \text{SH}(k)$ be a Landweber exact motivic spectrum associated to a Landweber exact MU_* -module N_* . Then the spectrum $f_q E_N / \ell^v$ is étale- \mathbf{A}^1 -naive above degree $-q$.*

Proof. The first point of Definition 4.6 is verified by Lemma 4.9, while the second point at the desired range is verified by Proposition 4.10 \square

4.1. Notions of connectivity in motivic homotopy. We now use Proposition 4.11 to prove convergence results about the étale slice spectral sequence. One way to assure strong convergence of the slice spectral sequence is the presence of sufficient connectivity hypotheses. We say that a motivic spectrum $E \in \text{SH}(S)$ is:

- *t-connected* [63, Definition 3.16] if for any triple (p, q, d) of integers for which $p - q + d < t$ and every d -dimensional $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ the group $[\Sigma^{p,q} \Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, E]$ is zero,
- *affine t-connected* if for any triple (p, q, d) of integers for which $p - q + d < t$ and every d -dimensional affine $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ the group $[\Sigma^{p,q} \Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, E]$ is zero,
- *t-connective* [30, 2.1] if in the localizing subcategory generated by $\{\Sigma^{p,q} \Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+\}_{p-q \geq t, X \in \text{Sm}_S}$,
- *locally t-connective* if the homotopy sheaves $\underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{Nis}} E = 0$ for any $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $p - q < t$.

If S is a field, then we can say more about the relationships of the above notions.

- Being *t-connected* is stronger than being affine *t-connected*. The latter notion is meant to accommodate certain phenomena in the étale topology, which we will consider later.
- The spectrum E is *t-connective* if and only if it is locally *t-connective* by [30, Theorem 2.3].
- The locally 0-connective motivic spectra form the nonnegative part of the *homotopy t-structure* [50, Section 5.2]. Thus 0-connective motivic spectra and 0-locally connective motivic spectra are nonnegative parts of isomorphic *t-structures* on $\text{SH}(S)$.
- According to [63, Lemma 3.17], E being *t-connective* implies that E is *t-connected*.

4.1.1. Next we turn to connectivity and convergence properties of the slice spectral sequence.

Definition 4.12. A tower of motivic spectra:

$$\{E_q\}_{q \in \mathbf{Z}} = \cdots \rightarrow E_{q+1} \rightarrow E_q \rightarrow \cdots,$$

is called *left bounded with respect to a pair* (X, w) where $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ and $w \in \mathbf{Z}$ if for every $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, the group $[\Sigma^{s,w} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, E_q] = 0$ for $q \gg 0$, and *left bounded* if it is left bounded with respect to all (X, w) .

For the effective covers in the slice filtration $\{f_q E\}$ the notion of left boundedness is stronger than that of slice completeness. In this case we say that the motivic spectrum E is *left bounded*. Consequently, for $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ and $w \in \mathbf{Z}$ fixed, the slice spectral sequence:

$$E_{p,q,w}^1(E)(X) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{p,w} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, f_q E],$$

converges conditionally whenever we have left boundedness with respect to (X, w) due to the slice completeness of E . The following illustrates how connectedness implies left boundedness:

Lemma 4.13. *Let $E \in \text{SH}(S)$ and suppose that for $q \gg 0$ there exists an integer $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that for all the effective cover $f_q E$ is $(q+n)$ -connected. Then E is left bounded.*

Proof. Let us fix (X, w) , $s \in \mathbf{Z}$. By assumption the group $[\Sigma^{s,w} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, f_q E]$ is trivial when $s - w + d - n < q$. We conclude by letting $q \rightarrow \infty$. \square

4.1.2. For convergence of the étale slice spectral sequence, it turns out that the usual notion of connectedness will not be useful because of the following example.

Example 4.14. One might guess that applying the étale localization decreases the connectivity of $E \in \text{SH}(S)$ by the cohomological dimension of the base scheme. However, we show that $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ is *not* 0-connected when k is an algebraically closed field. Assuming that $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ is 0-connected then for $p - q < 0$ the group

$$[\Sigma^{p,q} \mathbf{1}, \text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}] \cong H_{\text{ét}}^{-p}(k, \mu_\ell^{\otimes -q}) = 0.$$

But for $p = 0$ and $q > 0$ the above group $H_{\text{ét}}^0(k, \mu_\ell^{\otimes -q}) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^0(k, \mu_\ell) \cong \mu_\ell(k) \neq 0$.

What is true, however, is that $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ will be *effective affine 0-connected* (see Definition 4.15). This notion tests vanishing only with respect to the “ S^1 -variable” and affine schemes. It captures the following fact: let (n, d) be a pair of integers such that $n + d < 0$. Then for any affine scheme X of dimension d the group $[\Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell] \cong H_{\text{ét}}^{-n}(X; \mu_\ell) = 0$ [1, XIV, Théorème 3.1].

4.1.3. In the étale-local setting the most relevant notion of connectivity is the following one.

Definition 4.15. Let $E \in \text{SH}(S)$. Then we say that E is *effective affine t -connected* if any pair of integers (n, d) such that $n + d < t$ and any $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ which is an affine scheme of dimension d , the group $[\Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, E] = 0$.

The above notion is so defined because we are only testing connectivity against generators of $\text{SH}(S)^{\text{eff}}$, namely the collection $\{\Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+\}$ where $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ for any $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ which is an affine scheme. Note, however, that E does not have to be an effective motivic spectrum. Indeed, for our purposes, we will be calculating the effective connectivity of motivic spectra which are of the form $E^{\text{ét}}$ and there is no reason why $E^{\text{ét}}$ should be effective since π_* does not have to preserve effective objects.

4.2. Main connectivity results. The following is our main effective affine connectivity results.

Theorem 4.16. *Let k be a field of exponential characteristic coprime to ℓ and assume $\text{cd}_\ell(k) < \infty$. Let $E_N \in \text{SH}(k)$ be a Landweber exact motivic spectrum associated to a Landweber exact MU_* -module N_* . Then for any pair of integers (w, q) such that $q \geq w$, the spectrum $\Sigma^{-w, -w}(f_q E_N / \ell^v)^{\text{ét}}$ is effective affine $q - \text{cd}_\ell(k)$ -connected.*

Proof. According to Proposition 4.11, we see that $f_q E_N / \ell^v$ is étale- \mathbf{A}^1 -naive above degree $-q$. Therefore since $-w \geq -q$ by assumption, for any $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$, the descent spectral sequence takes the form (27):

$$H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \underline{\pi}_{-t}^{\text{ét}}(\mathrm{L}_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{-w, -w} f_q E_N / \ell^v)) \Rightarrow [\Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, \Sigma^{-w, -w} (f_q E_N)^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v [s+t]].$$

Now, suppose that (n, d) is a pair of integers such that $n + d < q - \mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k)$ and $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ is an affine scheme of dimension d . The claim is that the group:

$$[\Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, \Sigma^{-w, -w} f_q E_N^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v]_{\mathrm{SH}(k)} \cong [\Sigma^{n,0} \Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, \Sigma^{-w, -w} \pi^* f_q E_N / \ell^v]_{\mathrm{SH}_{\text{ét}}(k)}$$

vanishes (we applied §2.12 to commute π^* past the suspension).

Examining the descent spectral sequence, we see that the only contributions come from the terms:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \underline{\pi}_{-t}^{\text{ét}}(\mathrm{L}_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{-w, -w} f_q E_N / \ell^v))$$

for $s + t = -n$. Whenever $s > d + \mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k)$, the group:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \underline{\pi}_{-t}^{\text{ét}}(\mathrm{L}_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{-w, -w} f_q E_N / \ell^v)),$$

is trivial for cohomological dimension reasons by [1, XIV, Théorème 3.1] since the étale homotopy sheaves are ℓ -torsion sheaves.

If $s \leq d + \mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k)$ then, since we assume $n + d < q - \mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k)$, we have that $-t < q$. Thus, the homotopy sheaves $\underline{\pi}_{-t}^{\text{ét}}(\mathrm{L}_{\text{ét}} \Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{-w, -w} f_q E_N / \ell^v) \cong a_{\text{ét}} \underline{\pi}_{-t}^{\mathrm{Nis}}(\Omega_{\mathbf{G}_m}^{\infty} \Sigma^{-w, -w} f_q E_N / \ell^v)$ vanishes by the connectivity of Landweber exact motivic spectra [30, Lemma 8.11]. \square

Armed with the above theorem, we can then prove the following result:

Corollary 4.17. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 4.16 the étale slice tower $\{(f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v\}_{q \in \mathbf{Z}}$ is left bounded.*

Proof. Fix $s, w \in \mathbf{Z}$, and an affine $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ of dimension d . For $q \gg 0$ we want to show that the group

$$[\Sigma^{s,w} \Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v] \cong [\Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, \Sigma^{-s, -w} (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v] \cong [\Sigma^{s-w,0} \Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, \Sigma^{-w, -w} (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v],$$

vanishes. Consider the spectrum $\Sigma^{-w, -w} f_q \mathrm{MGL} / \ell^v$. As soon as $q \geq w$, we see that $\Sigma^{-w, -w} (f_q \mathrm{MGL} / \ell^v)^{\text{ét}}$ is effective affine $q - \mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k)$ -connected by Theorem 4.16. Therefore the desired vanishing holds for all $q > \max\{d + s - w + \mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k), w\}$. \square

Corollary 4.18. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 4.16 we have $\lim_q (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \simeq 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.7 it suffices to prove that for all $s, w \in \mathbf{Z}$, and affine $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$, the group

$$[\Sigma^{s,w} X_+, \lim_q (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v] = 0.$$

To that end we employ the Milnor $\lim\text{-}\lim^1$ exact sequence:

$$(34) \quad 0 \rightarrow \lim_q^1 [\Sigma^{s+1,w} X_+, (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v] \rightarrow [\Sigma^{s,w} X_+, \lim_q (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v] \rightarrow \lim_q [\Sigma^{s,w} X_+, (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v] \rightarrow 0.$$

By left boundedness of $\{(f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v\}_{q \in \mathbf{Z}}$ in Corollary 4.17 the outer terms in (34) are trivial for $q \gg 0$, and we are done. \square

5. THE FORGETFUL FUNCTOR π_* AND COLIMITS

Next we address the other half of the comparison paradigm for the change of topology adjunction of exact functors:

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{SH}(S) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S) : \pi_*.$$

5.1. Categorical preliminaries. We ask for conditions on S ensuring that π_* preserves colimits, or equivalently that π^* preserves compact objects. Since these functors are exact it suffices to know when π_* preserves infinite coproducts [46, Proposition 1.4.4.1.3]. To address this, we recall an easy but useful lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $F : \mathbf{C} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{D} : G$ is an adjunction of stable ∞ -categories where F, G are exact, \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{D} admits small coproducts, and \mathbf{C} is compactly generated. Then G preserves all small coproducts if and only if F preserves compact objects.*

Proof. If G preserves small coproducts, then [46, Proposition 1.4.4.1.2] implies G preserves all small colimits, and therefore [45, Proposition 5.5.7.2.1] tells us that F preserves compact objects. Conversely, since \mathbf{C} is compactly generated it is accessible, and so [45, Proposition 5.5.7.2.2] applies to tell us that G preserves all small colimits. \square

5.1.1. One way to get a handle on when π^* preserves compactness is to understand the *strongly dualizable* objects. Working towards this idea, we begin with some preliminaries. First, note that in the presence of dualizability, compactness of the unit is the only obstruction.

Lemma 5.2. *Let \mathbf{C} be a presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category, then all strongly dualizable objects are compact if and only if the unit is a compact object.*

Proof. The unit is always strongly dualizable, and so if all strongly dualizable objects are compact then the unit is compact. Conversely, let $\underline{\text{map}}(x, y)$ denote the internal mapping object between x and y . Then, by presentability, we have the equivalence:

$$\text{Maps}(x, y) \simeq \text{Maps}(\mathbf{1}, \underline{\text{map}}(x, y)).$$

For a strongly dualizable object x , the functor:

$$\underline{\text{map}}(x, -) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

preserves all small sums since there is a canonical equivalence $\underline{\text{map}}(x, -) \simeq x^\vee \otimes -$ and tensoring with an object preserves colimits by the definition of being presentably symmetric monoidal. Thus if the unit is compact, then $\text{Maps}(x, -)$ preserves all small sums. \square

5.2. Compact, strongly dualizable, and projective objects. We use the framework laid out in [58] to study finiteness conditions. In topology these ideas go back to the treatment of Spanier-Whitehead duality as monoidal duality in [14].

Definition 5.3. Let S be a qcqs base scheme. Denote by:

- (1) $\text{SH}^\omega(S)$ the full subcategory of $\text{SH}(S)$ spanned by the compact objects,
- (2) $\text{SH}^{\text{dual}}(S)$ the full subcategory of $\text{SH}(S)$ spanned by the strongly dualizable objects,
- (3) $\text{SH}^{\text{proj}}(S)$ the idempotent completion of the full subcategory of $\text{SH}(S)$ spanned by $\Sigma^{0,q} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+$, where X is a smooth projective S -scheme and $q \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Proposition 5.4. *There are inclusions:*

$$\text{SH}^{\text{proj}}(S) \subset \text{SH}^{\text{dual}}(S) \subset \text{SH}^\omega(S).$$

Proof. The proof as written in [58] is for S a noetherian scheme, but the only thing required for the first inclusion is a computation of the dual of $\Sigma_T^\infty X_+$, where X is a smooth projective S -scheme: one needs this to be $\Sigma_T^\infty X_+^{-TX}$, the Thom spectrum of the virtual vector bundle $-TX$. This is proved in [2, Théorème 1.7.17] for $\text{SH}(S)$. Applying the last part of Proposition 2.7 to the Nisnevich topology we see that the unit object is compact, and thus Proposition 5.2 gives us the second inclusion. \square

Remark 5.5. Suppose τ is finer than Nis . The functor $\pi^* : \text{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{SH}_\tau(S)$ is symmetric monoidal, and hence it will preserve strongly dualizable objects so that $\text{SH}_\tau^{\text{proj}}(S) \subset \text{SH}_\tau^{\text{dual}}(S)$. However, it need not preserve compact objects, see Example 2.8. The main point of this section is that inverting some primes in $\text{SH}(S)$ will collapse the inclusions in

Proposition 5.4 into an equality. In this situation, preserving strongly dualizable objects will mean preserving compact objects as well.

5.2.1. We can generalize the above to modules categories. For $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{SH}(S))$ we define $\text{Mod}_E^{\text{proj}}$ as the idempotent completion of the full subcategory spanned by $E \wedge \Sigma^{0,q} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+$, where $X \rightarrow S$ is smooth and projective.

Proposition 5.6. *For every $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{SH}(S))$ there are inclusions:*

$$\text{Mod}_E^{\text{proj}} \subset \text{Mod}_E^{\text{dual}} \subset \text{Mod}_E^\omega.$$

Proof. The functor $E \wedge - : \text{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_E$ is monoidal and thus it preserves strongly dualizable objects, which proves the first inclusion. To prove that all strongly dualizable objects are compact we appeal to Proposition 5.2. There is an equivalence of functors $\text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_E}(E, -) \simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\mathbf{1}, -)$, so the compactness of E follows from the compactness of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\text{SH}(S)$. \square

5.2.2. We are ready to give our main criterion for commutation of π_* with colimits. If E is in $\text{CAlg}(\text{SH}(S))$, then $\pi^*E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S))$ since π^* is monoidal. Hence we get an adjunction:

$$\pi^* : \text{Mod}_E \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\pi^*E} : \pi_*,$$

characterized by the commutativity of the diagram of left adjoints:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SH}(S) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \text{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S) \\ E \wedge - \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^* E \wedge - \\ \text{Mod}_E & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \text{Mod}_{\pi^*E}. \end{array}$$

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose that $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{SH}(S))$ and $\text{Mod}_E^{\text{dual}} = \text{Mod}_E^\omega$. Then in the adjunction:*

$$\pi^* : \text{Mod}_E \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\pi^*E} : \pi_*,$$

π^* preserves all compact objects if and only if the unit π^*E is compact in Mod_{π^*E} .

Proof. This is an immediate application of Proposition 5.2. \square

5.2.3. Next we specialize our discussion to the stable motivic homotopy category.

Theorem 5.8. *If k is a perfect field of exponential characteristic c , then:*

$$\text{SH}(k)^{\text{proj}}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right] = \text{SH}(k)^\omega\left[\frac{1}{c}\right].$$

Proof. It suffices to prove $\Sigma_T^\infty X_+$ is strongly dualizable in $\text{SH}(k)\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]$ for all $X \in \text{Sm}_k$. To do so, we note that in any symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, an object A strongly dualizable if and only if for all other objects M there is an equivalence:

$$p_{A,M} : \underline{\text{map}}(A, \mathbf{1}) \otimes M \xrightarrow{\simeq} \underline{\text{map}}(A, M).$$

There exists a conservative family of localizations:

$$\left\{ \text{SH}(k)\left[\frac{1}{c}\right] \rightarrow \text{SH}(k)_{(\ell)} \right\}_{\ell \nmid c}^{\ell \text{ prime}}.$$

Thus to check if $M \in \text{SH}(k)\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]$ is strongly dualizable it suffices to check that all the above localizations of $p_{A,M}$ are equivalences, and so it suffices to prove the result in $\text{SH}(k)_{(\ell)}$ for $\ell \nmid c$.

We may assume X is noetherian, and hence by noetherian induction that X is irreducible. We proceed by induction on the dimension of X . All zero dimensional schemes are projective and hence strongly dualizable. By the same inductive argument on the dimension as in [60, Lemma 66] the property of being in $\text{SH}(k)_{(\ell)}^{\text{dual}}(k)_{(\ell)}$ is a birational condition, so we need only prove the result for some open dense in X . Suppose X is of dimension d . By Nagata

compactification we may find \bar{X} such that \bar{X} is proper and contains X as an open subscheme. By Gabber's refinement of De Jong's results on modifications [12, Theorem X 3.5], there exists a proper, finite type morphism $f : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that \bar{Y} is integral and smooth over k and f is generically étale of degree d prime to ℓ (but not necessarily prime to c). In particular, f is finite étale over some dense open subscheme of X . Now [44, Lemma B.3] tells us that we can find a dense open subscheme $U \subset X$ such that $\Sigma_T^\infty U_+$ is a summand of $\Sigma_T^\infty f^{-1}(U)_+$ in $\mathrm{SH}(k)[\frac{1}{c}]$, and hence is it also a summand in $\mathrm{SH}(k)_{(\ell)}$. From the previous paragraph, since $f^{-1}(U)$ is a dense open of a smooth projective variety, $\Sigma_T^\infty f^{-1}(U)_+$ is strongly dualizable and hence the summand $\Sigma_T^\infty U_+$ is as well. \square

5.2.4. We finally arrive at a situation of interest where we can commute π_* with colimits.

Theorem 5.9. *Let k be a perfect field, and let P be a set of positive integers which contains all primes p for which $\mathrm{cd}_p(k) = \infty$. Let L be the product of the primes in P and c . Then for any prime ℓ not in L , the functor:*

$$\pi_* : \mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)_{(\ell)} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(k)_{(\ell)},$$

preserves colimits. Consequently, the same holds for the functor:

$$\pi_* : \mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)[\frac{1}{L}] \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(k)[\frac{1}{L}].$$

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 5.8 we have $\mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{proj}}(k)_{(\ell)} = \mathrm{SH}^\omega(k)_{(\ell)}$, and we may apply Proposition 5.7 to $\mathbf{1}_k[\frac{1}{L}]$, the sphere spectrum with L inverted. We need only check that $\pi^* \mathbf{1}_k[\frac{1}{L}]$ is compact or equivalently that $\mathrm{Maps}(\pi^* \mathbf{1}_k[\frac{1}{L}], -)$ preserves all small sums. For a small collection of objects $(E_j)_{j \in J}$ in $\mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)_{(\ell)}$ we compare the descent spectral sequence:

$$H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^p(\mathrm{Spec} k, \underline{\pi}_{t-q,t}^{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(\oplus E)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)}(\mathbf{G}_m^{\wedge t}, \oplus_j E[p+q]),$$

with the sum of descent spectral sequences:

$$\oplus_j H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^p(\mathrm{Spec} k, \underline{\pi}_{t-q,t}^{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(E_j)) \Rightarrow \oplus_j \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)}(\mathbf{G}_m^{\wedge t}, E_j[p+q]).$$

By strong convergence, assured by the assumption on ét-cohomological dimension, we deduce an equivalence of abutments by noting that the natural comparison from the former to the latter spectral sequence is an equivalence on E^1 -pages. The strong convergence is assured using the cohomological dimension assumption and the fact that E has no L -torsion, the equivalence on E_1 -pages follows since there is an isomorphism of homotopy sheaves $\underline{\pi}_{t-q,t}^{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(\oplus E) \cong \oplus \underline{\pi}_{t-q,t}^{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(E)$. \square

Remark 5.10. Using the first statement of Theorem 5.9, we immediately get its ℓ -complete version for ℓ not in L . Indeed if $M : I \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)_{(\ell)}$ is a diagram of such that each term M_i ℓ -torsion, then M factors through the inclusion $\mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)_{(\ell)} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)$. The first statement then tells us that π_* preserves the colimit of M and, therefore, the functor $\pi_* : \mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k)_\ell^\wedge \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(k)_\ell^\wedge$ preserves colimits.

Remark 5.11. When $c = 1$, $\tau = \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}$ and suppose that there exists a uniform bound on $\mathrm{cd}_\ell(k)$, then Theorem 5.9 tells us that $\pi_* : \mathrm{SH}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(k)$ preserves colimits.

6. PROOFS OF MAIN THEOREMS

First let us discuss Bott elements in $\mathrm{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)$ before moving on to $\mathrm{SH}(k)$. For the rest of the paper we fix the following standing assumption unless stated otherwise:

- The field k is perfect of exponential characteristic c coprime to the prime number ℓ .

We also fix the following notation: For $E \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$, $M \in \mathrm{DM}(S, R)$, $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$, we write $E_{p,q}(X) := [\Sigma^{p,q} \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, E]_{\mathrm{SH}(k)}$ and $M_{p,q}(X) := [\Sigma_{\mathrm{tr}}^\infty R_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{Nis}}(X)[p](q), M]_{\mathrm{DM}(k,R)}$.

6.0.1. With an ample supply of roots of unity we can define Bott elements in $\mathrm{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k; \mathbf{Z})$ as follows.

Definition 6.1. If k contains a primitive n -th root of unity, then an *MZ-theoretic mod- n Bott element* is a generator of $\widetilde{\tau}_n^{\mathrm{MZ}} \in \mathbf{Z}/n_{0,-1}(k) \cong H^{0,1}(k; \mathbf{Z}/n) \cong \mu_n(k) \cong \mathbf{Z}/n$.

However, we work with a Bott element that exists over any field where ℓ is invertible in the following way. For a prime number ℓ and $\nu \geq 1$ we define:

$$e(\ell^\nu) = \begin{cases} (\ell - 1)\ell^{\nu-1} & \ell \text{ odd} \\ 2^{\nu-2} & \ell = 2, \nu \geq 3 \\ 2 & \ell = 2, \nu = 2 \\ 1 & \ell = 2, \nu = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here $e(\ell^\nu)$ is the exponent of the multiplicative group of units of the cyclic group \mathbf{Z}/ℓ^ν . Recall that the group $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^*(k; \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i})$ is periodic in the variable i with period $e(\ell^\nu)$:

$$\dots \cong H_{\mathrm{ét}}^*(k, \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i-e(\ell^\nu)}) \cong H_{\mathrm{ét}}^*(k, \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i}) \cong H_{\mathrm{ét}}^*(k, \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i+e(\ell^\nu)}) \cong \dots$$

To wit, there is an isomorphism of Galois modules $\mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i} \cong \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i+e(\ell^\nu)}$ and cup-product with a generator of $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^0(k, \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes e(\ell^\nu)}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu$ is an isomorphism on $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^*(k, \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i})$.

Definition 6.2. An *MZ-theoretic mod- ℓ^ν Bott element* is a class $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}} \in \mathbf{Z}/\ell_{0,-e(\ell^\nu)}^\nu \cong H_{\mathrm{ét}}^0(k, \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes e(\ell^\nu)})$ which defines a periodicity operator for $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^*(k, \mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i})$.

In $\mathrm{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k; \mathbf{Z})$, the mod- ℓ^ν motivic Bott element is represented by a map:

$$\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu(e(\ell^\nu)),$$

which, by §2.3.1 stabilizes to a map (with the same name) in $\mathrm{DM}(k; \mathbf{Z})$:

$$\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu(e(\ell^\nu)).$$

Note that in §8, we construct periodizations of objects in a symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories with respect to morphisms of the form $x \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$. We denote the adjoint of $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}$ by:

$$\tau_{-\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}} : \mathbf{Z}(-e(\ell^\nu)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu.$$

Inverting the adjoint map $\tau_{-\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}$ (in the sense of §8) in $\mathrm{DM}(k; \mathbf{Z})$ yields the \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring $\mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu[(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}})^{-1}]$ of *Bott inverted motivic cohomology*.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose ℓ is coprime to the exponential characteristic of k . Then any choice of $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}$ gives equivalent Bott inverted motivic cohomology \mathcal{E}_∞ -rings.*

Proof. Under the cyclotomic character $\kappa: G_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\times$ the Galois module $\mu_{\ell^\nu}^{\otimes i}$ corresponds to the i th Tate twist of the \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -module \mathbf{Z}/ℓ^ν on which \mathbf{Z}_ℓ^\times acts by a homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu)$. Thus different choices of MZ-theoretic mod- ℓ^ν Bott elements coincide up to an automorphism, resulting in an equivalence between the corresponding Bott inverted \mathcal{E}_∞ -rings. \square

6.0.2. For a smooth k -scheme $f: S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$, we can pullback $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}$ along f to produce the map $\tau_{S, \ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}: \mathbf{Z}_S \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_S/\ell(e(\ell^\nu))$. Since f^* is a left adjoint it commutes with colimits, and we get:

$$(35) \quad f^*(\mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu[(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}})^{-1}]) \simeq f^*(\mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu)[(\tau_{S, \ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}})^{-1}] \simeq \mathbf{Z}_S/\ell[(\tau_{S, \ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}})^{-1}].$$

By the projection formula for smooth morphisms [10, 1.1.26] applied to f we can identify in $\mathrm{DM}(k; \mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu)$ the colimits of the diagrams:

$$f_{\#}(f^*\mathbf{Z}/\ell \xrightarrow{\tau_{S, \ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}} f^*\mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu(e(\ell^\nu)) \xrightarrow{\tau_{S, \ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}} f^*\mathbf{Z}/\ell^\nu(2e(\ell^\nu)) \xrightarrow{\tau_{S, \ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}} \dots),$$

and:

$$f_{\#}(\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell \xrightarrow{id \otimes \tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}} f_{\#}(\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v(e(\ell^v)) \xrightarrow{id \otimes \tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}} f_{\#}(\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v(2e(\ell^v)) \xrightarrow{id \otimes \tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}} \dots$$

In other words, there is an equivalence:

$$(36) \quad f_{\#}(\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell^v[(\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]) \simeq f_{\#}(\mathbf{Z}/\ell_S)[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \simeq \Sigma_{\text{tr}}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}/\ell_{\text{tr}}^v(S)[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}],$$

which shows that inverting the action of $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}$ on $\mathbf{Z}/\ell_{\text{tr}}^v(S)$ and inverting $\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}$ in $\text{DM}(S; \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v)$ and then applying $f_{\#}$ give equivalent results. When $f : S \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is essentially smooth, we obtain the equivalences (35) and (36) using the essentially smooth base change formula of [30, Lemma A.7] which also clearly holds for $\text{DM}(S)$.

6.0.3. While (35) shows how Bott inverted motivic cohomology pulls back along essentially smooth morphisms, we will also need to know how étale motivic cohomology pulls back along such morphisms.

Lemma 6.4. *For essentially smooth schemes S and T over a field k and $f : T \rightarrow S$ a smooth morphism over $\text{Spec } k$, then there is an equivalence:*

$$(37) \quad f^* \pi_* \pi^* \mathbf{Z}_S/\ell^v \simeq \pi_* \pi^* \mathbf{Z}_T/\ell^v.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that f^* commutes with π_* . To see this, note that these functors have left adjoints $f_{\#}$ and π^* , respectively, and there is an equivalence $f_{\#} \pi^* \simeq \pi_* f_{\#}$ since both sides agree on representables and preserve colimits. \square

6.0.4. We want to understand how far away the unit map $\mathbf{Z}/\ell^v \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v$ is from an equivalence in $\text{DM}(S; \mathbf{Z})$. While not important for later use in this paper, we should note that the unit map admits a cycle-theoretic interpretation, following [22]. Denote by $z^q(S, \bullet)$ the complex computing Bloch's higher Chow groups for codimension q -cycles (so that $\pi_{i,z^q}(S, \bullet) \cong \text{CH}^q(X, t)$). We reindex by defining $\mathcal{Z}^q(S, p) := z^q(S, 2q - p)$. After Voevodsky's comparison theorem [74] these are models for objects of $\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}(S; \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}(q))$. In the case $R = \mathbf{Z}/\ell$, we write $\mathcal{Z}^q(\star)_S/\ell := \mathcal{Z}^q(\star)_S \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell$. As explained in [22, Section 3.10] there is a cycle class map:

$$\text{cl}_{\text{ét}}^q : \pi^* \mathcal{Z}^q(\star)_S/\ell \rightarrow \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes q}.$$

By [22, Theorem 1.5] this is an equivalence for S an essentially smooth k -scheme. Therefore, the unit of the adjunction (π_*, π^*) induces a map:

$$\mathcal{Z}^q(\star)_S/\ell \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* \mu_{\ell}.$$

This is precisely the geometric incarnation of the map that we wish to understand.

6.0.5. We now turn to the analog of our main results for motivic cohomology, which is essentially a consequence of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 6.5. *Let k be a field with exponential characteristic prime to ℓ and let $f : S \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be an essentially smooth scheme over k . For all $v \geq 1$, there is an equivalence in $\text{DM}(S, \mathbf{Z})$:*

$$\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_* \pi^* \mathbf{Z}_S/\ell^v.$$

Proof. We first prove that the induced map:

$$[\mathbf{Z}(q)[p], \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]] \rightarrow [\mathbf{Z}(q)[p], \pi_* \pi^* \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v]$$

is an isomorphism. For the left hand side, we have an isomorphism:

$$[\mathbf{Z}(q)[p], \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]] \cong H^{-p,-q}(\text{Spec } k, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v)[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}].$$

For the right hand side we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{Z}(q)[p], \pi_* \pi^* \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v] &\cong [\mathbf{Z}^{\text{ét}}(q)[p], (\mathbf{Z}/\ell^v)^{\text{ét}}] \\ &\cong [\mathbf{Z}^{\text{ét}}(q)[p], \mu_{\ell^v}], \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.16 and is thus isomorphic to $H_{\text{ét}}^{-p}(\text{Spec } k, \mu_{\ell^v}^{\otimes -q})$. Therefore, the result boils down to proving that the map:

$$H^{*,*}(\text{Spec } k; \mathbf{Z}/\ell)[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^*(\text{Spec } k; \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes *}),$$

is an isomorphism. As stated, this result is exactly a theorem of Levine's [39, Theorem 1.1] with the further restriction that $\sqrt{-1}$ is in k when k is characteristic zero and $\ell = 2$. To prove this uniformly for all cases, we may appeal to Voevodsky's resolution of the Bloch-Kato conjectures which asserts that $H^{p,q}(k; \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^p(k; \mu_{\ell^v}^{\otimes q})$ whenever $p \leq q$. Indeed, since motivic cohomology vanishes when $q < 0$ (by, for example, the definition in [48, Definition 3.1]), inverting the element $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}$ does not affect the above isomorphism when $q \geq 0$ and inverting $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}$ forces isomorphisms for $q < 0$.

To deduce the statement on the level of $\text{DM}(S; \mathbf{Z})$, there are a couple of maneuvers one can make. Following Levine's formulation in [39, Theorem 5.2], we note that one has an equivalence of complexes of sheaves with transfers $\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \rightarrow \pi_*\pi^*(\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell^v)$ in $\text{DM}^{\text{eff}}(S, \mathbf{Z}/\ell)$ since the functor to the derived category of complexes of Zariski sheaves on S is conservative and thus remains an equivalence after applying Σ^∞ . The content of that equivalence is the Gersten resolution on both the domain and target sheaves [39, (5.5), (5.6)] which promotes the case of all finitely generated field extensions of k to the case of S . In essence, this is the same thing as appealing to the fact that motivic homotopy sheaves are strictly \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant [50, Remark 5.1.13], and isomorphisms are detected on generic points of smooth k -schemes [52, Example 2.3, Proposition 2.8].

Let us give more details. If $f : \text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is essentially smooth then, according to (35) and (37), we have equivalences:

$$\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell^v[(\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \simeq f^*\mathbf{Z}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}],$$

and:

$$\pi_*\pi^*\mathbf{Z}_S/\ell^v \simeq f^*\pi_*\pi^*\mathbf{Z}/\ell^v,$$

respectively. Hence, to prove our result, we need only show that in $\text{DM}(k; \mathbf{Z})$ we have an equivalence $\pi_*\pi^*\mathbf{Z}/\ell^v \simeq \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]$. As stated in the preceding paragraph, isomorphisms are detected on generic points of smooth k -schemes. In other words, it suffices to check that for a finitely generated field extension $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ the induced map:

$$[\Sigma_{\text{tr}}^\infty \mathbf{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\text{Spec } L)(q)[p], \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]] \rightarrow [\Sigma_{\text{tr}}^\infty \mathbf{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\text{Spec } L)(q)[p], \pi_*\pi^*\mathbf{Z}/\ell^v],$$

is an isomorphism. The proof and the cited results in the first paragraph apply verbatim upon replacing $\mathbf{Z}(q)[p]$ with $\Sigma_{\text{tr}}^\infty \mathbf{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\text{Spec } L)(q)[p]$. \square

6.0.6. Now, suppose that $f : S \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is an essentially smooth S -scheme. Denote by $\text{MZ}_S^{\text{ét}}$ the étale motivic cohomology spectrum $\pi_*\pi^*\text{MZ}_S \in \text{SH}(S)$. For ℓ prime to the exponential characteristic of k and $v \geq 1$, the Bott inverted motivic cohomology spectrum is defined to be:

$$\text{MZ}_S/\ell^v[(\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] := u_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{Z}/\ell_S^v[(\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]).$$

This is a motivic \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectrum because u_{tr} is lax monoidal. Moreover, since u_{tr} preserves colimits by Lemma ??, $\text{MZ}_S/\ell^v[(\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]$ can also be defined by inverting the map:

$$u_{\text{tr}}(\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}) : \text{MZ}_S/\ell^v \rightarrow \Sigma^{0,e(\ell^v)}\text{MZ}_S/\ell^v$$

in $\text{SH}(S)$. We may choose compatible Bott elements in the sense that the reduction of $\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}$ mod- ℓ^{v-1} is exactly $\tau_{S,\ell^{v-1}}^{\text{MZ}}$. Using this we define $\text{MZ}_S[(\tau_S^{\text{MZ}})_\ell^\wedge]$ as the limit of the diagram:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{MZ}_S/\ell^v[(\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{MZ}_S/\ell^{v-1}[(\tau_{S,\ell^{v-1}}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{MZ}_S/\ell[(\tau_{S,\ell}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}].$$

After the discussions in §6.0.2 one can also check that $\text{MZ}_S[(\tau_S^{\text{MZ}})_\ell^\wedge] \simeq f^*\text{MZ}[(\tau^{\text{MZ}})_\ell^\wedge]$.

Theorem 6.6. *Let k be a field with exponential characteristic prime to ℓ and let $f : S \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be an essentially smooth scheme over k . For all $\nu \geq 1$, the natural map:*

$$\mathbf{MZ}_S/\ell^\nu \rightarrow \mathbf{MZ}_S^{\text{ét}}/\ell^\nu,$$

induces an equivalence in $\text{SH}(S)$:

$$\mathbf{MZ}_S/\ell^\nu [(\tau_{S,\ell^\nu}^{\mathbf{MZ}})^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{MZ}_S^{\text{ét}}/\ell^\nu.$$

Consequently, we have an equivalence on ℓ -completions:

$$\mathbf{MZ}_S[(\tau^{\mathbf{MZ}})_\ell^\wedge] \simeq \mathbf{MZ}_S^{\text{ét}\wedge}_\ell.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.5 after Corollary 2.18 which ensures that there is no ambiguity in the expression $\mathbf{MZ}_S^{\text{ét}}/\ell^\nu$. To prove the last statement, it suffices to check the equivalence after smashing with the Moore spectra $\mathbf{1}/\ell^\nu$ for all ν , which reduces to the first equivalence. \square

6.1. Algebraic cobordism.

6.1.1. First we recall the slices of algebraic cobordism as obtained in [68]. One way to present this calculation is as an equivalence of graded \mathcal{E}_∞ -algebra object in $\text{SH}(S)$:

$$(38) \quad s_*\text{MGL} \simeq \mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots],$$

where each x_q is assigned degree $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and corresponds to a copy of $\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]$ suspended $(2q, q)$ -times. In other words, $s_q\text{MGL}$ is a sum of $\Sigma^{2q,q}\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]$'s indexed by monomials x_i of total degree q . For low dimensional examples:

- $s_0\text{MGL} \simeq \mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]$
- $s_1\text{MGL} \simeq \Sigma^{2,1}\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]\{x_1\}$
- $s_2\text{MGL} \simeq \Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]\{x_1^2\} \vee \Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]\{x_2\}$
- $s_3\text{MGL} \simeq \Sigma^{6,3}\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]\{x_1^3\} \vee \Sigma^{6,3}\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]\{x_1x_2\} \vee \Sigma^{6,3}\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]\{x_3\}$.

6.1.2. The above presentation of $s_*\text{MGL}$ also denotes the structure of $s_*\text{MGL}$ as a graded \mathcal{E}_∞ algebra over the \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectrum $s_0\text{MGL} \simeq \mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]$. In particular, for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_q\text{MGL}$ is a module over $s_0(\text{MGL})$; see [24, §6 (iv),(v)]. Now since $\pi_*\pi^*$ is lax monoidal functor, applying it to this \mathcal{E}_∞ -graded algebra object, we obtain the \mathcal{E}_∞ -graded algebra $\pi_*\pi^*s_*\text{MGL}$.

Lemma 6.7. *There is an equivalence of graded algebras over $\pi_*\pi^*s_0\text{MGL} \simeq \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}}$:*

$$\pi_*\pi^*s_*\text{MGL} \simeq \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots].$$

Proof. The terms of the graded spectrum $\pi_*\pi^*s_0\text{MGL}$ are just shifts of $\pi_*\pi^*\mathbf{MZ} \simeq \pi_*\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}}$, and so we have an equivalence of graded objects. The equivalence of algebras follows from the fact that $\pi_*\pi^*$ is a lax monoidal functor. In more detail, let us identify $s_0\text{MGL}$ with $\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]$. Then a graded $\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]$ -algebra is just a commutative algebra object in the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}^\delta, \text{Mod}_{\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]})$ with respect to the Day convolution monoidal structure. Here \mathbb{N}^δ is the constant simplicial set on the set of natural numbers with the monoidal structure obtained from addition. The adjunction:

$$\pi^* : \text{Mod}_{\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]} : \pi_*$$

can be promoted to an adjunction where π^* is monoidal and π_* is lax monoidal:

$$\pi^* : \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}^\delta, \text{Mod}_{\mathbf{MZ}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]}) \rightleftarrows \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}^\delta, \text{Mod}_{\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}}\left[\frac{1}{c}\right]}) : \pi_*.$$

The composite $\pi_*\pi^*$ is lax monoidal, and thus it preserves algebras. \square

The unit map induces a map of graded \mathcal{E}_∞ -MZ-algebras:

$$(39) \quad s_* \text{MGL} \simeq \text{MZ}[\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots] \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* s_* \text{MGL} \simeq \text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}[\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots].$$

Fixing ℓ and $\nu \geq 1$, we consider the map of graded \mathcal{E}_∞ -MZ/ ℓ -algebras:

$$(40) \quad s_* \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \simeq \text{MZ}/\ell^\nu[\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots] \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* s_* \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \simeq (\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell^\nu)[\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots].$$

6.1.3. Bott elements in MGL. In order to formulate our étale descent theorem for MGL, we discuss Bott elements for algebraic cobordism. If k has a primitive n -th root of unity ζ_n , n prime to c , there is a mod- n MZ-theoretic Bott element $\tau_n^{\text{MZ}} \in \text{MZ}/n_{0,-1}(k) \simeq H^{0,1}(k, \mathbf{Z}/n)$ of order n because $\mu_n(1)$ is a trivial Galois module. By examining the slice spectral sequence of weight minus one, one finds an element in $\text{MGL}/n_{0,-1}(k)$ that maps to τ_n^{MZ} under the edge map. We will explain a more difficult case with no assumptions about primitive roots of unity in k .


6.1.4. After the Milnor and Bloch-Kato conjectures, the mod- ℓ motivic cohomology group

$$h^{r,s} := H^{r,s}(\text{Spec } k; \mathbf{Z}/\ell)$$

is isomorphic to the étale cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^r(\text{Spec } k; \mu_\ell^{\otimes s})$ if $r \leq s$, while $h^{r,s} = 0$ if $r > s$ by the vanishing range in Lemma 6.15. We follow the convention that in a spectral sequence a *permanent cycle* is an infinite cycle which is not a boundary.

Lemma 6.8. *Any MZ-theoretic mod- ℓ Bott element $\tau_\ell^{\text{MZ}} \in h^{0,\ell-1}$ defines a permanent cycle in the slice spectral sequence for MGL/ℓ . There exists an MGL-theoretic mod- ℓ Bott element $\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,1-\ell}$ that is detected under the edge map by the element τ_ℓ^{MZ} of order ℓ .*

Proof. First, let us assume that k has a primitive ℓ -th root of unity. In this case, we examine the slice spectral sequence for MGL/ℓ in weight $w = -1$:



Since there are no differentials coming in or out along the zero-th stem, we obtain a short exact sequence:


$$(41) \quad 0 \rightarrow h^{2,2} \rightarrow \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-1}(k) \rightarrow h^{0,1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore any choice of an MZ-theoretic mod- ℓ Bott element (we are in the situation of Definition 6.1), which is a generator of the group $h^{0,1} \cong \mu_\ell(k) \cong \mathbf{Z}/\ell$ determines an element $\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-1}(k)$. This also proves the claim when $\ell = 2$.

Now let us assume that $\ell \geq 5$, and k does not necessarily have a primitive ℓ -th root of unity. Consider the Galois extension $k \subset k(\zeta_\ell)$ where we have adjoined a primitive ℓ -th root of unity to k ; this is a Galois extension of order $\ell - 1$ and is thus of degree prime to ℓ . Let $\tau \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-1}(k(\mu_\ell))$ be picked as in the procedure of the above paragraph. Since MGL/ℓ is homotopy commutative and associative ring spectrum for $\ell \geq 5$, the element $\tau^{\ell-1} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,\ell-1}(k(\zeta))$ is Galois invariant. By the transfer constructed in Proposition 10.1,

the isomorphism (73) gives a unique element $\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,\ell-1}(k)$ such that under the natural map $\text{MGL}/\ell_{0,\ell-1}(k) \rightarrow \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,\ell-1}(k(\zeta_\ell))$, τ_ℓ^{MGL} is sent to $\tau^{\ell-1}$. Since the element τ_ℓ^{MZ} is constructed in an analogous fashion in motivic cohomology (see §6.0.1), we may arrange τ_ℓ^{MGL} to be detected by a MZ-theoretic mod- ℓ Bott element $\tau_\ell^{\text{MZ}} \in h^{0,\ell-1} \in E_{0,0,1-\ell}^1(\text{MGL}/\ell)$.

Now suppose that $\ell = 3$ and k does not necessarily have a primitive 3rd root of unity. In this case, $\text{MGL}/3$ does not have an associative or commutative multiplication. We examine the slice spectral sequence of weight $1 - \ell = -2$:



To show τ_3^{MZ} is a permanent cycle we need to examine the single differential displayed above. We recall that for all primes, ℓ $s_q \text{MGL}/\ell$ is an MZ/ℓ -module [24, §6 (iv),(v)] since $s_0 \text{MGL}/\ell \simeq s_0 \mathbf{1}/\ell \simeq \text{MZ}/\ell$ by [41], [73]. The first differential in the slice spectral sequence for MGL/ℓ is induced by the composite map:

$$s_q \text{MGL}/\ell \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} f_{q+1} \text{MGL}/\ell \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} s_{q+1} \text{MGL}/\ell.$$

Hence the differential of interest is an operation in the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_\ell^{*,*} \cong \text{MZ}/\ell^{*,*} \text{MZ}/\ell$ of [31], [75]. As an algebra $\mathcal{A}_\ell^{*,*}$ is generated by the reduced power operations:

$$\mathcal{P}^i \in \mathcal{A}_\ell^{2i(\ell-1), i(\ell-1)},$$

for $i \geq 1$, the Bockstein operation:

$$\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\ell^{1,0},$$

and the operations given by multiplication by mod- ℓ motivic cohomology classes in $h^{*,*}$. As noted in [75, §8] the Bockstein operation satisfies:

$$(42) \quad \beta^2 = 0, \beta(uv) = \beta(u)v + (-1)^r u\beta(v); u \in h^{r,*}, v \in h^{*,*}.$$

Now for all odd primes ℓ , by inspection, we see that degrees the reduced power operation \mathcal{P}^i acts trivially on $E_{p,q,w}^1(\text{MGL}/\ell)$ for all $i \geq 1$ (and all weights w). Thus all the possibly nontrivial differentials are obtained from powers of β and mod- ℓ cohomology classes.

To check triviality of the d_1 -differential exiting bidegree $(0,0)$ we note that all $(3,1)$ -operations $h^{0,\ell-1} \rightarrow h^{3,\ell}$ arise from powers of β and a class u in either $h^{0,1}$ or $h^{1,1}$. If $u \in h^{0,1}$ then $\beta^2 = 0$ ensures triviality. If $u \in h^{1,1}$ then $\beta(u) = 0$ since $h^{2,1} = 0$, and we conclude from (42). This argument shows more generally that d_1 vanishes on τ_ℓ^{MZ} for all odd primes ℓ . However, at $\ell = 3$, there are no more differentials to check as we see from the weight -2 spectral sequence displayed above and, therefore, we can conclude that τ_3^{MZ} is indeed a permanent cycle. \square

6.1.5. We also seek MGL-theoretic Bott elements for prime powers. Due to the notorious lack of a multiplicative structure on $\text{MGL}/2$ one cannot readily make sense of inverting τ_2^{MGL} in general. Instead, we will form the Bott inversion of $\text{MGL}/2$ via the action of a mod-4 Bott element τ_4^{MGL} , and similarly for $\text{MGL}/2^v$ and mod- 2^v Bott elements $\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MGL}}$ for all $v \geq 2$. As in [70], at odd primes we argue via a Bockstein spectral sequence. In a way we will make precise, the Bott element $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}}$ is detected in the slice spectral sequence by the Bott element $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}$ for MZ.

We begin with $\ell = 2$ and the motivic version:

$$(43) \quad \mathbf{1}/4 \wedge \mathbf{1}/2 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}/2,$$

of Oka's module action of the mod 4 by the mod 2 Moore spectrum [55, §6]. If E is a motivic ring spectrum, i.e., a monoid in $\text{Ho}(\text{SH}(S))$, then (43) induces a pairing:

$$(44) \quad E/4 \wedge E/2 \rightarrow E/2.$$

According to [63, Proposition 2.24], (44) induces a pairing of slice spectral sequences:

$$(45) \quad E_{p,q,w}^r(E/4) \otimes E_{p',q',w'}^r(E/2) \rightarrow E_{p+p',q+q',w+w'}^r(E/2),$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule:

$$(46) \quad d^r(a \cdot b) = d^r(a) \cdot b + (-1)^p a \cdot d^r(b) \text{ for } a \in E_{p,q,w}^r(E/4), b \in E_{p',q',w'}^r(E/2).$$

When $v \geq 2$, we note that $E/2^v$ admits a unital multiplication and its slice spectral sequence is one of (unital, but not necessarily associative) algebras satisfying the same type of Leibniz rule for the differentials as (46).

Lemma 6.9. *For $v \geq 2$ any MZ-theoretic mod- 2^v Bott element $\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MZ}} \in h^{0,e(2^v)}$ defines a permanent cycle of order 2^v in the slice spectral sequence for $\text{MGL}/2^v$:*

$$(\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{2^v} \in E_{0,0,-2^v e(2^v)}^1(\text{MGL}/2^v) = h^{0,2^v e(2^v)}.$$

There exists an MGL-theoretic mod- 2^v Bott element $\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MGL}} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-2^v e(2^v)}$ that is detected by $(\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{2^v}$ under the edge map in the slice spectral sequence for $\text{MGL}/2^v$.

Proof. From the degree zero part of the slices of MGL (38) it follows that $\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MZ}} \in E_{0,0,-e(2^v)}^1(\text{MGL}/2^v)$. Since $v \geq 2$ the unital multiplicative structure on $\text{MGL}/2^v$ yields a pairing:

$$(47) \quad E_{p,q,w}^r(\text{MGL}/2^v) \otimes E_{p',q',w'}^r(\text{MGL}/2^v) \rightarrow E_{p+p',q+q',w+w'}^r(\text{MGL}/2^v).$$

By the Leibniz rule associated to (47) we deduce the desired vanishing for $r \geq 1$:

$$(48) \quad d_r((\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{2^v}) = 2^v (\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MZ}})^{2^v-1} d_r(\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MZ}}) = 0.$$

The existence of the MGL-theoretic mod- 2^v Bott element $\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MGL}}$ follows by strong convergence. \square

Remark 6.10. We note that $\tau_{2^v}^{\text{MZ}}$ need not be a permanent cycle in the slice spectral sequence for $\text{MGL}/2^v$. The issue is basically that $d_1 : h^{0,2} \rightarrow h^{3,3}$ maps $(\tau_2^{\text{MZ}})^2$ to ρ^3 via the third Steenrod operation Sq^3 , where $\rho \in h^{1,1}$ is the square class of -1 .

6.1.6. For odd primes ℓ , the analogous lemma holds.

Lemma 6.11. *Let ℓ be an odd prime number. Any choice of an MGL-theoretic mod- ℓ Bott element τ_ℓ^{MGL} yields an MGL-theoretic mod- ℓ^v Bott element $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-e(\ell^v)}^v$ that is detected by an MZ-theoretic mod- ℓ^v Bott element in the slice spectral sequence for MGL/ℓ^v . The mod- ℓ reduction of $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}}$ equals $(\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}})^{\ell^{v-1}}$.*

Proof. For $v \geq 2$ there is the Bockstein exact sequence:

$$(49) \quad \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-e(\ell^v)}^v \rightarrow \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-e(\ell^v)} \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{MGL}/\ell_{-1,-e(\ell^v)}^{\ell^{v-1}}.$$

Now choose $\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,1-\ell}$ as in Lemma 6.8. Then $(\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}})^{\ell^{v-1}} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-e(\ell^v)}$ is detected by $(\tau_\ell^{\text{MZ}})^{\ell^{v-1}}$ in the slice spectral sequence for MGL/ℓ . Since β is a derivation, we obtain:

$$\beta((\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}})^{\ell^{v-1}}) = \ell^{v-1}(\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}})^{\ell^{v-1}-1}\beta(\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}}) = 0 \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{-1,-e(\ell^v)}^{\ell^{v-1}}.$$

By exactness of (49) there exists an element $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}} \in \text{MGL}/\ell_{0,-e(\ell^v)}^v$ mapping to $(\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}})^{\ell^{v-1}}$. Using the analogous properties for $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}} \in \text{MZ}/\ell_{0,-e(\ell^v)}^v$ and naturality of the Bockstein sequences, we may arrange so that $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}}$ is detected by $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}}$. \square

With these choices of Bott elements in mind, we define:

$$\tau(\ell^v) = \begin{cases} e(\ell^v) & \ell \text{ odd} \\ 2^v e(2^v) & \ell = 2. \end{cases}$$

6.1.7. We made choices when defining Bott elements for MGL . As for the case of MZ in Lemma 6.3 we note that the corresponding Bott inverted algebraic cobordism spectrum is independent of the choice of a Bott element.

Lemma 6.12. *Suppose that $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}}, \tau_{\ell^v}'^{\text{MGL}}$ are two choices of MGL -theoretic mod- ℓ^v Bott elements as in Lemma 6.9 for $\ell = 2$ and Lemma 6.11 for ℓ odd. Then the resulting Bott inverted spectra $\text{MGL}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}]$ and $\text{MGL}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}'^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}]$ are equivalent.*

Proof. First, suppose that ℓ is an odd prime. When $v = 1$, the Bott elements are constructed from the corresponding Bott elements for MZ/ℓ ; see the proof of Lemma 6.8. Since MGL/ℓ has a unital multiplication, we may form the Bott inverted spectrum $\text{MGL}/\ell[(\tau_\ell^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}]$ via the formula in (65). In this case Lemma 6.3 and the convergence of the Bott inverted slice spectral sequence (Proposition 6.16 below) gives us the desired result.

For $v \geq 2$, the elements are obtained via Bockstein spectral sequences. Therefore, any two choices of $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}}$ differ by an ℓ -divisible element, and so the difference acts nilpotently on the spectrum MGL/ℓ^v . Hence, the results of inverting both elements, using the formula in (65), are again equivalent (compare with the case of algebraic K -theory discussed in [19]).

Now let $\ell = 2$. If $v = 1$, then the the Bott inverted motivic spectrum $\text{MGL}/2^v[(\tau_2^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}]$ is constructed using the pairing (44). Indeed, by Lemma 6.9, we may pick a mod-4 Bott element in $\tau_4^{\text{MGL}} \in \pi_{0,-8}\text{MGL}/4$. Under the paring (44), $\text{MGL}/2$ is a left $\text{MGL}/4$ -module, and the spectrum $\text{MGL}/\ell^v[(\tau_2^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}]$ is then obtained by inverting the map:

$$\tau_4^{\text{MGL}} : \text{MGL}/2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{0,-8}\text{MGL}/2,$$

in the sense discussed in §8.1.1, again using (65). If $v \geq 2$, then the spectrum $\text{MGL}/2^v$ has an unital multiplication, and so we can form the Bott inverted spectrum, $\text{MGL}/2^v[(\tau_2^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}]$, just like in the case of odd primes.

The independence of choices for both cases follow by the same arguments as in the odd case using the strong convergence of the Bott inverted slice spectral sequence and the fact that any two choices of the Bott elements involved again differ by a 2-divisible element. \square

After Lemma 6.12 we define for each prime ℓ and any $v \geq 1$, the *Bott inverted algebraic cobordism spectrum* $\text{MGL}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}]$ to be the spectrum obtained from inverting the respective Bott elements as described in Lemma 6.12.

6.1.8. In order to access the homotopy groups of Bott inverted algebraic cobordism, we first study the Bott inverted slice spectral sequence. For an odd prime ℓ and $v \geq 1$, we invert the action of $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}} \in \pi_{0,-e(\ell^v)}(s_0\text{MGL}/\ell^v)$ on the graded MZ/ℓ -module $s_*\text{MGL}/\ell^v$. Explicitly, by the procedure described in §8.1.1, we start with the map in $\text{SH}(k)$:

$$(50) \quad \tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}} : \Sigma^{0,-e(\ell^v)} \mathbf{1} \wedge s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^v \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MZ}} \wedge \text{id}} s_0\text{MGL}/\ell^v \wedge s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^v \rightarrow s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^v,$$

and apply $\Sigma^{0,e(\ell^\nu)}$ to obtain the map

$$(51) \quad \Sigma^{0,e(\ell^\nu)} \tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MZ}} : s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^\nu \rightarrow \Sigma^{0,e(\ell^\nu)} s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^\nu.$$

The graded motivic spectrum $s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^\nu [(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]$ is then calculated by the colimit of graded $s_0\text{MGL}/\ell^\nu$ -modules:

$$(52) \quad s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^\nu \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{0,e(\ell^\nu)} \tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MZ}}} \Sigma^{0,e(\ell^\nu)} s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^\nu \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{0,2e(\ell^\nu)} \tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MZ}}} \Sigma^{0,2e(\ell^\nu)} s_*(\text{MGL})/\ell^\nu \cdots$$

Since the element $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}}$ is detected by $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MZ}}$ by Lemma 6.11, we obtain a spectral sequence:

$$(53) \quad E_{p,q,w}^*(\text{MGL}/\ell^\nu)[(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}] \Rightarrow \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu [(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}].$$

We will give more details on the construction of this spectral sequence in §6.1.9 below.

Let us now deal with the prime 2 and $\nu = 1$. Lemma 6.9 picks out an element $(\tau_4^{\text{MZ}})^4 \in E_{0,0,-8}^1(\text{MGL}/4)$. We then define:

$$(54) \quad \tau_4^{\text{MZ}} : \Sigma^{0,-8} \mathbf{1} \wedge s_*(\text{MGL})/2 \xrightarrow{(\tau_4^{\text{MZ}})^4 \wedge \text{id}} s_0\text{MGL}/4 \wedge s_*(\text{MGL})/2 \rightarrow s_*(\text{MGL})/2,$$

where the last map is defined using the pairing (44). As in (52), we define the graded motivic spectrum $s_*(\text{MGL})/2[(\tau_2^{\text{MZ}})^{-1}]$ as the colimit of graded spectra:

$$(55) \quad s_*(\text{MGL})/2 \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{0,8} \tau_4^{\text{MZ}}} \Sigma^{0,8} s_*(\text{MGL})/2 \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{0,16} \tau_4^{\text{MZ}}} \Sigma^{0,16} s_*(\text{MGL})/2 \cdots$$

For $\nu \geq 2$, we use the unital pairing on $\text{MGL}/2^\nu$ and the element $(\tau_{2^\nu}^{\text{MZ}})^{2^\nu} \in E_{0,0,-2^\nu e(2^\nu)}^1(\text{MGL}/2^\nu)$ to get a map:

$$(56) \quad (\tau_{2^\nu}^{\text{MZ}})^{2^\nu} : \Sigma^{0,-\tau(2^\nu)} \mathbf{1} \wedge s_*(\text{MGL})/2^\nu \xrightarrow{(\tau_{2^\nu}^{\text{MZ}})^{2^\nu} \wedge \text{id}} s_0\text{MGL}/2^\nu \wedge s_*(\text{MGL})/2^\nu \rightarrow s_*(\text{MGL})/2^\nu,$$

which we invert using the same formula as in (52). In any event, this gives us a spectral sequence of the form (53) in all cases.

6.1.9. *The slice spectral sequence for Bott inverted algebraic cobordism.* We give more details on the construction of the spectral sequence (53) since we will need some of the notation in our discussion of convergence. Suppose $\{^i E\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a collection of weakly convergent spectral sequences with filtered graded groups $\{^i G\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ together with maps $^i \phi : ^i E \rightarrow ^{i+1} E$ which are compatible with maps $^i \psi : ^i G \rightarrow ^{i+1} G$ in the sense of [82, Page 126].

We say $^i \phi$ is of degree a if it takes an element x of degree $|x|$ to an element of degree $|x| + a$. By passing to the colimit of the system $(\{^i E\}, ^i \phi)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ we obtain a weakly convergent spectral sequence with respect to the evident filtration on the target group G :

$$(57) \quad E := \text{colim } ^i E \Rightarrow G := \text{colim } ^i G.$$

In our main example of interest, we apply $\pi_{*,*}$ to the diagrams (52) and (55), whence we get $^i E := E_{p,q,w}^*(\text{MGL}/\ell^\nu)$ for all i together with the maps:

$$\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MZ}} : ^i E \rightarrow ^{i+1} E,$$

of degree $(0, -\tau(\ell^\nu))$ which are compatible with multiplication by $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}}$ -map:

$$\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}} : \pi_{p,w}\text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \rightarrow \pi_{p,-\tau(\ell^\nu)}\text{MGL}/\ell^\nu,$$

since $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MZ}}$ detects $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}}$ by the definition of the latter element as in Lemmas 6.11 and 6.9.

6.1.10. By the discussion in §6.1.8 and §6.0.6, we can identify the first page of the Bott inverted slice spectral sequence and the étale slice spectral sequence.

Proposition 6.13. *There is a naturally induced isomorphism of spectral sequences:*

$$E_{p,q,w}^*(\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^\nu)[(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}})^{-1}] \simeq E_{p,q,w}^{*,\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^\nu).$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.6, we obtain equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} s_*(\mathrm{MGL})/\ell^\nu[(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}})^{-1}] &\simeq \mathrm{MZ}/\ell^\nu[x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots][(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}})^{-1}] \\ &\simeq \mathrm{MZ}^{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}/\ell^\nu[x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots], \end{aligned}$$

under the natural maps from $s_*\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^\nu$. Indeed for ℓ an odd prime this is straightforward. For prime 2 and $\nu \geq 1$, the first term in the above equivalence is inverted using the 2^ν -th power of the $\tau_{2^\nu}^{\mathrm{MZ}}$ as in 56 and so Theorem 6.6 still applies. When $\ell = 2$ and $\nu = 1$, we use the inversion procedure is one described in (54), which is slightly different from the case described in Theorem 6.6. However, the element $(\tau_4^{\mathrm{MZ}})^4$ clearly acts invertibly in mod-2 étale cohomology, which is all one needs to obtain the second equivalence.

Note that, as proved in Lemma 6.12, the above equivalences are independent of the various choices involved in choosing the Bott elements. \square

6.1.11. *Convergence issues.* For Proposition 6.13 to be useful we need to address convergence results. In general, inverting an element in a strongly convergent spectral sequence may destroy strong convergence. We refer to [83, Section 2] for toy examples. In the notation of §6.1.9, assume that iE converges strongly to iG , where the q -th filtration of iG is denoted by iF_q . Denote by iE_q the q -th filtration degree of iE . We require ${}^iE_q = 0$ for $q < 0$. By strong convergence we have ${}^iE_{q,\infty} \cong {}^iF_q/{}^iF_{q+1}$. The convergence result we need follows closely the proof of [83, Proposition 3] but tailor-made for our needs.

Lemma 6.14. *Suppose that $\{{}^iE\}$ is a directed system of strongly convergent spectral sequences of bigraded groups ${}^iE_q^{p,w}$ where q is the filtration degree such that:*

- (1) *The maps ${}^i\phi : {}^iE \rightarrow {}^{i+1}E$ preserves filtration,*
- (2) *The maps are of degree $(0, a)$ for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}$,*
- (3) *For fixed p , there is an $M(p) > 0$ such that for all $i > M(p)$ the group ${}^iE_q^{p,w} = 0$ for $q < N(p)$.*

In this case, (57) is strongly convergent in the sense of [7, Definition 5.2].

Proof. Weak convergence of (57) was noted above. Next we check completeness. Denote by $F_q = \mathrm{colim}_i {}^iF_q$, i.e., the induced filtration on the colimit group. We claim that there is an isomorphism:

$$\lim_q G/F_q \cong G.$$

Using the definition $G^b/F_q^b := \mathrm{colim}_i ({}^iG^{b+ia}/{}^iF_q^{b+ia})$ we obtain:

$$\lim_q G^b/F_q^b \cong \lim_q \mathrm{colim}_i ({}^iG^{b+ia}/{}^iF_q^{b+ia}).$$

On the other hand, by completeness of each iE there is an isomorphism:

$$G^b \cong \mathrm{colim}_i ({}^iG^{i+ba}) \cong \mathrm{colim}_i \lim_q ({}^iG^{i+ba})/({}^iF_q^{i+ba}).$$

Since filtered colimits commute with finite limits of abelian groups it suffices to prove that $\lim_q ({}^iG^{i+ba})/({}^iF_q^{i+ba})$ is a finite limit for $i \gg 0$. For fixed (p, w) , assumption (3) implies that ${}^iF_q^{i+ba}/{}^iF_{q+1}^{i+ba} = 0$ whenever $i > M(p)$ and $q \geq N(p)$. Since the filtration iF of iG is Hausdorff, we have ${}^iF_q^{i+ba} = 0$. Thus the limit $\lim_q ({}^iG^{i+ba})/({}^iF_q^{i+ba})$ is attained at a finite stage.

Next we check that the filtration F_q of G is *Hausdorff*, that is, $\cap_q F_q = 0$. We claim that for every nonzero element $x \in G^b$, there exists some ${}^i x \in {}^iG^{b+ia}$ such that:

- ${}^i x$ maps to x under the canonical map to ${}^i G \rightarrow G$,
- ${}^i x$ is detected by an element ${}^i y \in {}^i E$ which is nonzero for all iterated compositions of ${}^i f$.

Choose an element ${}^j x'$ that maps to $x \neq 0$. Then ${}^{k+j} \psi(x') \neq 0$ are all nonzero for all $k \geq 0$. Let us write ${}^k y \in {}^{k+j} E^{b+k,a}$ for an element that detects ${}^{k+j} \psi(x')$. We may assume $k > M(p)$, so that the filtration degree of ${}^k y$ is less than $N(p)$. As k increases, the filtration degree of ${}^k y$ can increase but it must eventually be constant, because otherwise ${}^k y$ would be zero and therefore it cannot detect ${}^{k+j} \psi(x') \neq 0$. Where the filtration degree becomes constant is exactly where we find ${}^i x$; in other words we let $i = k + j$ where $k \gg 0$.

Note that ${}^k y$ survives to the E_∞ page of ${}^i E$ since ${}^{k+j} g(x') \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. Suppose that q is its filtration degree. Since the spectral sequences ${}^i E$ is strongly convergent for all i , we have that ${}^{k+j} \psi(x')$ is indeed in filtration q and not in any higher filtration. Since this always happens as i tends to ∞ , we conclude Hausdorffness. \square

6.1.12. In order to apply Lemma 6.14 to algebraic cobordism we check certain vanishing lines.

Lemma 6.15. *The group $E_{p,q,w}^1(\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v) = 0$ if (1) $p > 2q$, (2) $q + w > p$, or (3) $2q > p + \mathrm{cd}_\ell(k)$.*

Proof. Let us write L_q for the set of degree q monomials in the polynomial generators x_i in the slice calculation (38). The E^1 -term $E_{p,q,w}^1(\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v)$ of the slice spectral sequence for MGL/ℓ^v is given as:

$$\pi_{p,w} s_q(\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v) \cong \bigoplus_{L_q} \pi_{p,w} \Sigma^{2q,q} \mathbf{MZ}/\ell^v \cong \bigoplus_{L_q} H^{2q-p,q-w}(k; \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v).$$

Hence (1) and (2) follow from standard vanishing results in motivic cohomology. By the Bloch-Kato conjecture, away from the region specified in (2), there is an isomorphism

$$H^{2q-p,q-w}(k; \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^{2q-p}(k, \mu_{\ell^v}^{\otimes q-w}).$$

Thus (3) follows by the definition of $\mathrm{cd}_\ell(k)$. \square

Applying the convergence criterion we get that,

Proposition 6.16. *The spectral sequence $E_{p,q,w}^1(\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v)[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MZ}})^{-1}]$ is strongly convergent.*

Proof. In the notation of Lemma 6.14 we let ${}^i E_q^{p,w} := E_{p,q,w}^1$. Part (1) of Lemma 6.14 is satisfied since $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MZ}}$ is in slice filtration zero. Part (2) of Lemma 6.14 is satisfied since multiplication by $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MZ}}$ is of degree $(0, \tau(\ell^v))$. Part (3) of Lemma 6.15 implies part (3) of Lemma 6.14 is satisfied for $N(p) = \frac{p + \mathrm{cd}_\ell(k)}{2}$. \square

6.1.13. *Proof of Theorem 1.5 for algebraic cobordism.* We now have all the ingredients to prove Theorem 1.5 for the most important example of a Landweber exact theory, algebraic cobordism. We begin by factoring the unit map.

Lemma 6.17. *If $\mathrm{cd}_\ell(k) < \infty$ the unit map for mod- ℓ^v algebraic cobordism:*

$$\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v,$$

factors as:

$$\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}/\ell[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}(f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v.$$

Proof. The unit map factors as:

$$\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}(f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v,$$

where the first map induces a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)} & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{colim}(f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\acute{e}t}/\ell)_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)} s_0 \mathrm{MGL}/\ell \cong H^{0,\tau(\ell^v)}(k, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)} (s_0 \mathrm{MGL})^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v \cong H^0_{\acute{e}t}(k, \mu_{\ell^v}^{\otimes \tau(\ell^v)}). \end{array}$$

Here $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}} \in \mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)}$ maps to $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MZ}} \in H^{0,\tau(\ell^v)}(k, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^v)$ via the left vertical arrow and thus to a periodicity operator in $H^0_{\acute{e}t}(k, \mu_{\ell^v}^{\otimes \tau(\ell^v)})$ acting isomorphically on the étale slice spectral sequence via the right vertical arrow. Identifying the étale slice spectral sequence as the inverted slice spectral sequence by Proposition 6.13, such a periodicity operator survives to an element in $\mathrm{MGL}^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)}$ since one can check that the computations in Lemmas 6.8, 6.9 and 6.11 are not affected by this inversion procedure. Thus $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}}$ maps to an invertible element in $\pi_{*,*} \mathrm{MGL}^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v$, leading to the desired factorization of the unit map. \square

Lemma 6.18. *Suppose $f : T \rightarrow S$ is an essentially smooth morphism of base schemes. Then for $E \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ there is an equivalence $f^*(E_{\geq d}) \simeq (f^*E)_{\geq d}$.*

Proof. This is exactly [30, Lemma 2.2]. \square

The element $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}}$ corresponds to a map in $\mathrm{SH}(k)$:

$$\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}} : \Sigma^{0,-e(\ell^v)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v.$$

For $f : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ a k -scheme, let $(\tau_{S,\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})$ be the map in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ given by the pullback $f^* \tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}}$.

Lemma 6.19. *For essentially smooth schemes S and T over a field k and $f : T \rightarrow S$ a smooth morphism over $\mathrm{Spec} k$, there are equivalences:*

- (1) $f^*(\mathrm{MGL}_S/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})_S^{-1}]) \simeq f^*(\mathrm{MGL}_S/\ell^v)[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})_T^{-1}] \simeq \mathrm{MGL}_T/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})_T^{-1}]$, and
- (2) $f^* \mathrm{MGL}_S^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v \simeq \mathrm{MGL}_T^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v$.

Proof. The proof of (1) is the same as for MZ in §6.0.2. For (2) we need to prove that f^* commutes with π_* . These functors have left adjoints $f_{\#}$ and π^* , respectively, and there is an equivalence $f_{\#} \pi^* \simeq \pi_* f_{\#}$ since both sides agree on representables and preserve colimits. \square

Theorem 6.20. *Suppose k is a perfect field, ℓ is prime to the exponential characteristic of k such that $\mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k) < \infty$, and let S be an essentially smooth k -scheme. Then, for all $v \geq 1$ there is a naturally induced equivalence in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$:*

$$\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})_S^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{MGL}^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v.$$

Proof. Lemmas 6.18 and 6.19 reduce to the case of $S = \mathrm{Spec} k$. Over perfect fields, the motivic homotopy sheaves are strictly \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant [50, Remark 5.1.13], and isomorphisms are detected on generic points of smooth k -schemes [52, Example 2.3, Proposition 2.8]. For every finitely generated extension L of k and $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ we claim there is a naturally induced isomorphism:

$$\pi_{p,q} \mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})^{-1}](L) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{p,q} \mathrm{MGL}^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v(L).$$

To wit, recall the unit map $\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v$ factors through:

$$\eta_{\infty} : \mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v \simeq \mathrm{colim} f_q \mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v \rightarrow \mathrm{colim} (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v,$$

and:

$$\eta^{\infty} : \mathrm{colim} (f_q \mathrm{MGL})^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* \mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v.$$

Under our assumptions, η^{∞} is an equivalence since π_* preserves colimits according to Corollary 5.9. Lemma 6.17 shows that η_{∞} factors through $\mathrm{MGL}/\ell^v[(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathrm{MGL}})^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}^{\acute{e}t}/\ell^v$. By

Proposition 6.13 the maps between the corresponding spectral sequences is an isomorphism. Corollary 4.18 (which applies since $\text{cd}_\ell(L) < \infty$ by, for example, [67, Theorem 28]) and Proposition 6.16 tell us that these spectral sequences are strongly convergent, Therefore we have an isomorphism on homotopy groups $\pi_{p,q}$. \square

6.2. Integral statements. In the following we promote our ℓ -local results to an integral statement. First, let us investigate the rational results.

Lemma 6.21. *If S is an essentially smooth scheme over k , then there is a canonical equivalence in $\text{SH}(S)_\mathbb{Q}$:*

$$L_{\text{MQ}}\text{MGL} \xrightarrow{\simeq} L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof. We show that $\text{MGL} \rightarrow L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q}$ is an MQ-equivalence and $L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q}$ is MQ-local. For the MQ-equivalence note that $\text{MGL} \rightarrow L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q}$ factors as:

$$\text{MGL} \rightarrow L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL} \rightarrow L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q}.$$

Upon smashing with $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}$ the first map becomes an equivalence, and upon smashing with MQ the second map becomes an equivalence. For MQ-locality, by Morel's rational decomposition theorem [10, Theorem 16.2.13] we have $\text{SH}(S)_\mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{DM}(S, \mathbb{Q}) \times \text{SH}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}, -}$. It remains to note that $L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q} \in \text{DM}(S, \mathbb{Q})$ since it is an oriented theory [10, Theorem 14.2.16]. \square

6.2.1. We can now glue our efforts to prove an integral result.

Theorem 6.22. *Let S be an essentially smooth scheme over a perfect field k . Let J be a collection of primes such that $\text{cd}_\ell(k) < \infty$ for $\ell \in J$ and J contains the exponential characteristic of k . Then there is a naturally induced equivalence in $\text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_{(J)}}$:*

$$L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL}_{(J)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{MGL}_{(J)}^{\text{ét}}.$$

Proof. By the arithmetic fracture square in Theorem 8.16 it suffices to check the equivalence rationally and on ℓ -adic completions. Rationally there are equivalences:

$$L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}}\text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q} \simeq L_{\text{MQ}}\text{MGL} \simeq \text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{MGL}_\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ét}},$$

where the first is due to 6.21, the second to [54, Theorem 10.5], and the third holds since rational oriented theories are étale local according to [10, Corollary 14.2.16, Theorem 14.3.4].

If $\ell \notin J$, then $\text{MGL}_{(J)}/\ell^\nu$ is zero for all $\nu \geq 1$ and thus the claim follows trivially. If $\ell \in J$, using Lemma 6.23 below, it suffices to prove that $\text{MGL}_{(J)\ell}^{\text{ét}} \wedge$ is $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ -local and $\text{MGL}_{(J)\ell} \wedge \rightarrow \text{MGL}_{(J)\ell}^{\text{ét}} \wedge$ is an $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ -equivalence. For this, it suffices to prove that there is an equivalence for all $\nu \geq 1$:

$$(58) \quad \text{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \text{MGL}_{(J)\ell}^{\text{ét}}/\ell^\nu.$$

After our main Theorem 1.5, we have an equivalence:

$$\text{MGL}_{(J)\ell}^{\text{ét}}/\ell^\nu \simeq \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu [(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}].$$

This informs us that $\text{MGL}_{(J)\ell}^{\text{ét}}/\ell^\nu$ is calculated as the colimit (again using (65)):

$$(59) \quad \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}}} \Sigma^{0, \tau(\ell^\nu)} \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}}} \Sigma^{0, 2\tau(\ell^\nu)} \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \rightarrow \dots$$

Therefore, the right hand side of (58), $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \text{MGL}_{(J)\ell}^{\text{ét}}/\ell^\nu$, is computed as the colimit of the diagram:

$$(60) \quad \text{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \xrightarrow{id \wedge \tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}}} \text{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \Sigma^{0, \tau(\ell^\nu)} \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \xrightarrow{id \wedge \tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}}} \text{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \Sigma^{0, 2\tau(\ell^\nu)} \text{MGL}/\ell^\nu \rightarrow \dots$$

We claim that the following diagram commutes:

$$(61) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \mathbf{MGL} / \ell^v & \xrightarrow{id \wedge \tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MGL}}} & \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \Sigma^{0, \tau(\ell^v)} \mathbf{MGL} / \ell^v \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MGL} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}} \wedge id} & \Sigma^{0, \tau(\ell^v)} \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MGL}, \end{array}$$

where $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}}$ is the Bott element of Definition 6.2. In order to check this, we recall that the Bott element for MGL is picked using the slice spectral sequence as in Lemmas 6.9 and 6.11. Replacing MGL in diagram (61) with its slices (38), we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(62) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \mathbf{MZ} \wedge \mathbf{1} / \ell^v [\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots] & \xrightarrow{id \wedge \tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}}} & \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \Sigma^{0, e(\ell^v)} \mathbf{MZ} \wedge \mathbf{1} / \ell^v [\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots] \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \mathbf{1} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MZ} [\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots] & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}} \wedge id} & \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} \wedge \mathbf{1} / \ell^v \wedge \Sigma^{0, e(\ell^v)} \mathbf{MZ} [\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots] \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MZ} [\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots] & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}} \wedge id} & \Sigma^{0, e(\ell^v)} \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MZ} [\frac{1}{c}][x_1, \dots, x_q, \dots]. \end{array}$$

Indeed, the diagram can be interpreted as a diagram of graded \mathcal{E}_∞ -MZ-algebras. The vertical equivalences come from the symmetric monoidal structure on MZ-modules, which give rise to the sign changes in the horizontal morphisms. The commutativity of the middle square then comes from the structure of $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v$ as an MZ/ ℓ^v -algebra via the unit map $\mathbf{MZ} / \ell^v \rightarrow \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v$: under the induced MZ/ ℓ^v -module structure on $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v$, the action of $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}}$ on $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v$ as an MZ/ ℓ^v -algebra identifies with multiplication by $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}}$. The commutativity of (61) then follows by the construction of $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MGL}}$ via the slices of \mathbf{MGL} / ℓ^v .

Therefore, the diagram (60) is equivalent to the diagram:

$$\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MGL} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}} \wedge id} \Sigma^{0,1} \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MGL} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}} \wedge id} \Sigma^{0,2} \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MGL} \rightarrow \dots$$

Each of the maps in this diagram is an equivalences since $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\mathbf{MZ}}$ corresponds to a periodicity operator on mod- ℓ^v étale motivic cohomology as discussed in §6.0.1. Hence the directed system is equivalent to $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \wedge \mathbf{MGL}$, which proves (58).

Next we prove that $\mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}}$ is $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}}$ -local, i.e., $\mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} \mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}}$ is an equivalence in $\text{SH}(S)$. Again by the arithmetic fracture square in Theorem 8.16 we reduce to checking the equivalence on rationalization and ℓ -adic completions. Lemma 6.21 tells us that $L_{\mathbf{MQ}} \mathbf{MGL} \simeq L_{\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} \mathbf{MGL}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, while motivic Landweber exactness tells us that $\mathbf{MGL}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is exactly computed as $L_{\mathbf{MQ}} \mathbf{MGL} \simeq \mathbf{MGL} \wedge \mathbf{MQ}$, as explained in [54, Corollary 10.6]. For a prime ℓ , Lemma 6.23 reduces to prove that $(\mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}})_{\ell}^{\wedge}$ is $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ -local. Since the ∞ -category of $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ -local objects is colocalizing, i.e., stable and closed under small limits, it suffices to check that $\mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v$ is $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ -local for $v \geq 1$. Since local objects are closed under cofiber sequences such as $\mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \rightarrow \mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^{v-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ we may assume $v = 1$. It remains to prove that $\mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ is $\mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ -local. Corollary 4.18 shows there is an equivalence:

$$\lim(f^q \mathbf{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell \simeq \mathbf{MGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell.$$

This follows by the same inductive argument as in §9. In more detail, $(s_0 \mathbf{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell \simeq (f_{-1} \mathbf{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ since MGL is effective. Hence, $(f_{-1} \mathbf{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ is $(s_0 \mathbf{MGL})^{\text{ét}} / \ell \simeq \mathbf{MZ}^{\text{ét}} / \ell$ -local,

which is the base case of the induction. Assume now that $(f^{q'} \text{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ is $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ -local for all $q' < q$, then $(f^q \text{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ is $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ -local by the cofiber sequence:

$$(s_q \text{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell \rightarrow (f^{q'} \text{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell \rightarrow (f^{q-1} \text{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell.$$

Indeed the term $(f^{q-1} \text{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ is $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ -local by hypothesis. The slices $s_q \text{MGL}/\ell$ are $s_0 \text{MGL}/\ell$ -module by [24, Section 6 (v)] and the functor $\pi_* \pi^*$ is lax monoidal and thus preserves \mathcal{E}_∞ -algebras and modules over them, and so the term $(s_q \text{MGL})^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ is a module over $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$. We conclude that the limit is $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ -local since the ∞ -category of $\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}/\ell$ -local objects is colocalizing and thus closed under small limits. \square

Lemma 6.23. *For $M \in \text{SH}(S)$ and all primes ℓ there is a canonical equivalence of endofunctors:*

$$(L_M)_\ell^\wedge \simeq L_{M/\ell}.$$

Proof. For the first claim, we need to prove that for any $E \in \text{SH}(S)$, $(L_M E)_\ell^\wedge$ is M/ℓ -local and the map $E \rightarrow (L_M E)_\ell^\wedge$ is an M/ℓ -equivalence in $\text{SH}(S)$. For the second claim, we have that the map factors as $E \rightarrow L_M E \rightarrow (L_M E)_\ell^\wedge$ where the first map is an equivalence upon smashing with M and the second map is an equivalence upon smashing with $1/\ell$. Hence the composite is an equivalence upon smashing with M/ℓ .

To prove that $(L_M E)_\ell^\wedge$ is M/ℓ -local, we let F be an M/ℓ -acyclic spectrum and first consider $\text{Maps}(F, L_M E/\ell)$. This is equivalent to $\text{Maps}(F, L_M E \wedge 1/\ell) \simeq \text{Maps}(F \wedge (1/\ell)^\vee, L_M E)$. Since $L_M E$ is a left adjoint and compatible with the monoidal structure, this is furthermore equivalent to $\text{Maps}(L_M(F) \wedge L_M(1/\ell)^\vee, L_M E)$ which is contractible by the assumption on F . By induction we get the same result with $1/\ell$ replaced with $1/\ell^v$ and thus the result upon completion. \square

6.3. Landweber exact theories. Throughout we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 6.20. Recall that J is a collection of primes such that $\text{cd}_\ell(k) < \infty$ for $\ell \in J$ and J contains the exponential characteristic of k .

6.3.1. We give a rapid review of motivic Landweber exact spectra, the basic reference being [54]. For a “coordinate-free” approach see [43, Section 3]. If N_* is a Landweber exact $MU_*[\frac{1}{c}]$ -module as in [68, Section 5], then the functor³

$$\text{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{BAb}; X \mapsto \text{MGL}_{*,*}(X) \otimes_{MU_*[\frac{1}{c}]} N_*$$

is representable by a (motivic) Landweber exact spectrum E_N . By construction E_N is a Cartesian section of $\text{SH}(-)$ [54, Proposition 8.5]. In fact, [54] proves more: every Landweber exact spectrum is an object of the ∞ -category Mod_{MGL} [54, Proposition 7.9] and is furthermore cellular [54, Proposition 8.4]. The slices of Landweber exact spectra are computed in [68, Theorem 6.1]. For E_M there is an equivalence $s_q(E_N) \simeq \Sigma^{2q,q} M(N_t)$ which is compatible with the map $N_* \rightarrow E_{N,*,*}$; see [30, Theorem 8.5].

Many interesting Landweber exact spectra in $\text{Ho}(\text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}})$ have a unital multiplication, for example if N is a graded MU_* -algebra (see main theorem of [54]). In this case, we define.

Definition 6.24. Let $E \in \text{Ho}(\text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}})$ be a Landweber exact spectrum with a unital multiplication. An *E-theoretic mod- ℓ^v Bott element* $\tau_{\ell^v}^E$ is the image of $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}}$ under the map $\text{MGL}/\ell^v_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)} \rightarrow E/\ell^v_{0,-\tau(\ell^v)}$.

In this case, we may again use the recipe in 8.1.1 to invert multiplication by $\tau_{\ell^v}^E$. More generally, we invert the action of $\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}}$ on these Landweber exact spectra to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.25. (*Thomason’s Theorem for Landweber Exact Theories*) *Suppose k is a perfect field, ℓ is prime to the exponential characteristic of k such that $\text{cd}_\ell(k) < \infty$, and let S be an essentially smooth*

³BAb denotes the category of Adams graded graded Abelian groups – see [54, Section 3].

k -scheme. Then, for all $\nu \geq 1$ and $E_N \in \text{SH}(S)$ a Landweber exact theory, there is a naturally induced equivalence of MGL-modules:

$$E_N / \ell^\nu [(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} E_N^{\text{ét}} / \ell^\nu.$$

Moreover, if J is a collection of primes such that $\text{cd}_\ell(k) < \infty$ for $\ell \in J$ and J contains the exponential characteristic of k , there is a naturally induced equivalence of $\text{MGL}_{(J)}$ -modules:

$$L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} E_{N,(J)} \xrightarrow{\cong} E_{N,(J)}^{\text{ét}}.$$

Proof. Write $E_{*,*}(S) = \text{MGL}_{*,*}(S) \otimes_{\text{MU}_*} N_*$ for some Landweber exact MU_* -module N_* . If N_* is a flat MU_* -module, then N_* is a filtered colimit of sums and shifts of MU_* -modules. Moreover, E is a filtered colimit of a diagram in Mod_{MGL} whose terms are shifts and sums of copies of MGL . We claim that for any small indexing set A there is a naturally induced equivalence:

$$\left(\bigvee_A \Sigma^{p,q} \text{MGL} / \ell^\nu [(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}})^{-1}] \right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \left(\bigvee_A \Sigma^{p,q} \text{MGL} / \ell^\nu \right)^{\text{ét}}.$$

Taking sums, smashing with the mod- ℓ^ν Moore spectrum, and inverting $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}}$ commute with colimits. Proposition 5.7 shows that π_* commutes with colimits. Hence for flat Landweber exact MU_* -modules we conclude using the result for MGL / ℓ . In general, every Landweber exact spectrum is a retract of $\text{MGL} \wedge E$, which is associated to a flat MU_* -module, see [68, Section 7]. The result for J follows by the exact same argument as for Theorem 7.3.3. \square

6.3.2. We explain how to recover Thomason's theorem in our setting. The motivic spectrum KGL representing algebraic K -theory is Landweber exact since the multiplicative formal group defines a Landweber exact MU_* -algebra. Recall further that for $S \in \text{Sm}_k$ we have for all $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ an isomorphism:

$$\text{KGL}_{-p,-q}(S) \cong \text{KGL}^{p,q}(S) \cong K_{2q-p}(S).$$

Under the orientation or Todd genus map $\text{MGL} \rightarrow \text{KGL}$, the Bott element $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{MGL}} \in \text{MGL} / \ell_{0,-\tau(\ell^\nu)}^\nu$ maps to $\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{KGL}} \in \text{KGL}_{0,-\tau(\ell^\nu)}$. Theorem 6.25 shows there is an equivalence

$$(63) \quad \text{KGL} / \ell^\nu [(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{KGL}})^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{KGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^\nu.$$

Applying Ω_T^∞ to (63) tells us that $\text{KGL} / \ell^\nu [(\tau_{\ell^\nu}^{\text{KGL}})^{-1}]$ satisfies étale hyperdescent since $\Omega_T^\infty \text{KGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell^\nu$ does by the characterization of étale local objects in $\text{SH}(S)$ in Lemma 4.0.9.

We sketch how our Bott element specializes to the one that could have been picked by Thomason⁴. By [19, Bott Elements] the K -theoretic Bott elements are also chosen using Bockstein arguments, in parallel to our maneuvers in §6.1.5 and so we assume $\nu = 1$. In Thomason's case, this Bott element is also chosen using Galois descent (again, see [19, Bott Elements]) along the extension $k(\zeta_\ell) / k$. This again parallels what we do in 6.0.1.

So let us assume that k has a primitive ℓ -th root of unity. In this case, we can pick an MGL-theoretic Bott element in $\text{MGL} / \ell_{0,-1}(k)$ which maps to a KGL-theoretic Bott element $\text{KGL} / \ell_{0,-1}(k) \simeq K_2 / \ell(k)$. By its origin from the roots of unity, the KGL-theoretic Bott element is clearly an element that maps to $\zeta_\ell \in K_1(k)$ under the connecting homomorphism $\beta : K_2 / \ell(k) \rightarrow K_1(k)$, just as Thomason picks his Bott element in this case [70, A.7].

7. APPLICATIONS AND CONSEQUENCES

Throughout this section, unless stated otherwise, let S be a base scheme and a prime ℓ for which Theorem 6.25 holds. In other words it is an essentially smooth k -scheme and ℓ is coprime to the exponential characteristic of k and $\text{cd}_\ell(k) < \infty$.

⁴also note that there are choices to be made for his Bott element

7.1. The étale hyperdescent spectral sequence. After the discussion in §4.0.4, we obtain an immediate reward:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $E_N \in \text{SH}(S)$ be a Landweber exact theory associated to a Landweber exact MU_* -module N_* . There exists a strongly convergent spectral sequence of the form:*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^p(S, \underline{\pi}_{-q, -t}^{\text{ét}}(E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v)) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\mathbf{1}_S, \Sigma^{0,t} E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}][p+q]).$$

There are also ℓ -completed versions:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^p(S, \underline{\pi}_{-q, -t}^{\text{ét}}(E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge})) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\mathbf{1}_S, \Sigma^{0,t} E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge} [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}][p+q]),$$

and integral versions:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^p(S, \underline{\pi}_{-q, -t}^{\text{ét}}(L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} E_{N,(J)})) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\mathbf{1}_S, \Sigma^{0,t} L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} E_{N,(J)}[p+q]).$$

In the case that $N = \text{KU}_*$, we get $E_N = \text{KGL}$, the algebraic K -theory spectrum and we get back Thomason's [70, Theorem 4.1].

7.1.1. In order to access the spectral sequence above, we need to compute the étale homotopy sheaves:

$$\underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v : \text{Sm}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab},$$

and the ℓ -completed versions:

$$\underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge} : \text{Sm}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}.$$

These étale sheaves also assemble into étale sheaves of graded abelian groups:

$$\bigoplus_{p,q} \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v : \text{Sm}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{grAb},$$

and:

$$\bigoplus_{p,q} \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge} : \text{Sm}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{grAb},$$

respectively.

We claim that these sheaves are locally constant. Let us recall what this means (see, for example, [48, Definition 6.7]). We have an adjunction between the small and big étale (discrete) topoi over S :

$$\rho^* : \text{Shv}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Ét}_S) \rightleftarrows \text{Shv}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Sm}_S) : \rho_*.$$

This gives rise to an adjunction between sheaves of abelian groups:

$$\rho^* : \text{AbShv}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Ét}_S) \rightleftarrows \text{AbShv}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Sm}_S) : \rho_*,$$

and also graded variants:

$$\rho^* : \text{grAbShv}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Ét}_S) \rightleftarrows \text{grAbShv}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Sm}_S) : \rho_*.$$

Proposition 7.2. *For any $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$, the counit map:*

$$\rho^* \rho_* \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v \rightarrow \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v,$$

is an isomorphism. Consequently the counit maps on ℓ -completions:

$$\rho^* \rho_* \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge} \rightarrow \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge}$$

and the graded variants:

$$\rho^* \rho_* \bigoplus_{p,q} \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p,q} \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v,$$

$$\rho^* \rho_* \bigoplus_{p,q} \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p,q} \underline{\pi}_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge},$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. The counit map

$$\rho^* \rho_* \pi_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v \rightarrow \pi_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v$$

is map of étale sheaves on Sm_S . To check the isomorphism, it then suffices to check the isomorphism on stalks. Since the residue field of the strict Henselization of the local ring of a smooth S -scheme is separably closed, we may assume that S is the spectrum of a separably closed field. In this case, we want to show that $\pi_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v$ is indeed the locally constant sheaf for the abelian group $\pi_{p,q}^{\text{ét}} E_N^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v (\text{Spec } k)$. This follows from [27, Theorem 0.3]. The other cases follow. \square

7.1.2. As the proof of Proposition 7.2 indicates, it will be useful to know the value of the homotopy sheaves over a separably closed field. First we have the following computation:

Proposition 7.3. *Suppose that k is an algebraically closed field, then we have isomorphisms of graded abelian groups:*

$$\oplus_{p,q} \text{MGL}^{\text{ét}} / \ell_{p,q}^v(k) \cong \oplus_p \text{MU} / \ell_p^v [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}],$$

and

$$\oplus_{p,q} (\text{MGL}_{\ell}^{\text{ét}\wedge})_{p,q}(k) \cong \oplus_p (\text{MU}_{\ell}^{\wedge})_p [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}],$$

Proof. From the computation of slices of MGL reviewed in §6.1.1, and the collapse of the Bott inverted spectral sequence over an algebraically closed field we have an isomorphism

$$(64) \quad \oplus_{p,q} \text{MGL} / \ell_{p,q}^v(k) [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}] \cong \oplus_p \text{MU} / \ell_p^v [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}].$$

The desired isomorphism then follows from as an instance of Theorem 6.20. \square

More generally, we have:

Proposition 7.4. *Suppose that k is an algebraically closed field, then we have isomorphisms of graded abelian groups:*

$$\oplus_{p,q} E_N^{\text{ét}} / \ell_{p,q}^v(k) \cong \oplus_p N_p / \ell_p^v [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}],$$

and:

$$\oplus_{p,q} (E_N^{\text{ét}\wedge})_{p,q}(k) \cong \oplus_p (N_{\ell}^{\wedge})_p [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}],$$

Proof. We have an isomorphism of graded abelian groups $E_{N,*,*}(k) \simeq \text{MGL}_{*,*}(k) \otimes_{\text{MU}_*} N$ according to [54, section 7]. Therefore we have isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus_{p,q} E_N / \ell_{p,q}^v(k) [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}] &\cong (\oplus_{p,q} \text{MGL} / \ell_{p,q}^v(k) [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}]) \otimes_{\text{MU}_*} N \\ &\cong (\oplus_p \text{MU} / \ell_p^v [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}]) \otimes_{\text{MU}_*} N_* \\ &\cong \oplus_p N_p / \ell_p^v [(\tau_{\ell^v}^{\text{MGL}})_S^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

The desired isomorphism then follows from as an instance of Theorem 6.20. \square

Propositions 7.4 and 7.2 tells us that the coefficients of the descent spectral sequences of Theorem 7.1 are locally constant sheaves on a periodized version of the N_* . This gives us access to the second pages of the spectral sequences of Theorem 7.1.

7.2. Cellularity and effectivity. Recall the ∞ -category $\text{SH}(S)^{\text{cell}}$ of *cellular spectra* is the full localizing subcategory of $\text{SH}(S)$ generated by $\Sigma^{p,q} \mathbf{1}_S$ for $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ [17]. We may also define the ∞ -category of *étale cellular spectra* as the localizing subcategory of $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)$ generated by $\Sigma^{p,q} \mathbf{1}_S$. While the left adjoint functor π^* preserves cellularity, this isn't quite clear for its right adjoint π_* .

Theorem 7.5. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 6.25, if $E_N \in \text{SH}(S)$ is a Landweber exact theory then $E_N^{\text{ét}} / \ell^v$ is cellular.*

Proof. This follows from the first part of Theorem 6.25 because cellular objects are closed under colimits. \square

Remark 7.6. It is unclear whether the ℓ -completion E_N^\wedge is actually cellular. This is a delicate issue as cellular objects are often not closed under infinite limits, see [71, section 5] for a counterexample.

7.2.1. We can also make the following statement about effectivity

Theorem 7.7. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 6.25, suppose that $E_N/\ell^v \in \text{SH}(S)$ is a Landweber exact theory which is also effective, then $E_N^{\text{ét}}/\ell^v$ is effective.*

Proof. This follows from the first part of Theorem 6.25 because $\text{SH}^{\text{eff}}(S)$ is closed under colimits. \square

7.3. Equivalence of categories. In this subsection, we prove analogues of the result in [25] lifting the equivalence between Bott inverted motivic cohomology and étale motives over a field. We first have a simple consequence of the main Theorem of [20].

Proposition 7.8. *For $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{SH}(k))[\frac{1}{c}]$ there is a canonical equivalence of $\text{SH}(S)$ -modules:*

$$\pi^* : \text{Mod}_{E^{\text{ét}}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Mod}_{\pi^*E} : \pi_*$$

Proof. The functor $\text{SH}(k)[\frac{1}{c}] \xrightarrow{E^\wedge} \text{Mod}_E \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Mod}_{\pi^*E}$ is an example of a motivic module category in the sense of [20]. By [20, Theorem 3.3], Mod_{π^*E} is thus equivalent to modules over $E^{\text{ét}}[\frac{1}{c}]$. \square

7.3.1. In order to give a more concrete description of the ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_{E^{\text{ét}}}$ as a periodization of Mod_E via the adjunction:

$$\pi^* : \text{Mod}_E \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{E^{\text{ét}}} : \pi_*$$

we freely use the machinery of periodization and localization reviewed in §8. In our context we are interested in $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{SH}(S))$ and a map $\alpha : x \rightarrow E$ in Mod_E corresponding to a homotopy element in E . We consider the full subcategory $P_\alpha(\text{Mod}_E) \subset \text{Mod}_E$ spanned by α -periodic objects. By §8.1.3, $E[\alpha^{-1}] := P_\alpha E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Mod}_E)$, i.e., the periodization remains a \mathcal{E}_∞ -algebra. Therefore, we may consider the stable ∞ -category of modules over $P_\alpha E$ in E -modules:

$$\text{Mod}_{E[\alpha^{-1}]} := \text{Mod}_{P_\alpha E}(\text{Mod}_E).$$

First we prove that α -periodic modules are modules over the \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring of the α -periodization of E .

Proposition 7.9. *Let $\alpha : x \rightarrow E$ be a morphism in Mod_E where x is an invertible object. Then there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories:*

$$P_\alpha \text{Mod}_E \simeq \text{Mod}_{E[\alpha^{-1}]}.$$

Proof. According to Proposition 8.5 and Remark 8.5, for $M \in \text{Mod}_E$, we have an equivalence between $P_\alpha M$ and the colimit $Q_\alpha M$ of the diagram:

$$M \rightarrow \underline{\text{map}}(x, M) \rightarrow \underline{\text{map}}(x^{\otimes 2}, M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Moreover, there are equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\alpha M &\simeq \text{colim } M \rightarrow M \otimes x^\vee \rightarrow M \otimes (x^\vee)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \dots \\ &\simeq \text{colim } M \otimes (1 \rightarrow x^\vee \rightarrow (x^\vee)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \dots) \\ &\simeq \text{colim } M \otimes E[\alpha^{-1}], \end{aligned}$$

where the first follows from dualizability (since it is, in fact, invertible) of x and the second holds because Mod_E is presentably symmetric monoidal, i.e., the tensor product commutes with colimits. Thus every α -periodic object is canonically an $E[\alpha^{-1}]$ -module. In other words, P_α factors through $P_\alpha : \text{Mod}_E \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{E[\alpha^{-1}]}$.

Conversely, since the full subcategory of α -local objects is the essential image of Q_α , every α -local object is a module over $E[\alpha^{-1}]$. Thus $P_\alpha : \text{Mod}_E \rightleftarrows P_\alpha \text{Mod}_E : u$ induces the adjunction:

$$- \otimes E[\alpha^{-1}] : \text{Mod}_{E[\alpha^{-1}]} \rightleftarrows P_\alpha \text{Mod}_E : u.$$

Since every object in $P_\alpha \text{Mod}_E$ is of the form $Q_\alpha M$, where $M \in \text{Mod}_E$, the functor is essentially surjective. Fully faithfulness then follows since Q_α is computed by tensoring with $- \otimes E[\alpha^{-1}]$ as shown above. \square

In other words, α -periodization is a smashing localization.

7.3.2. In the case of motivic cohomology we deduce the main result of [25] in a highly coherent setting.

Theorem 7.10. *Suppose S is an essentially smooth scheme over a perfect field k of exponential characteristic c relatively prime to ℓ , and $\text{cd}_\ell k < \infty$. Then there is an equivalence of stable ∞ -categories:*

$$\pi^* : P_{\tau_{\ell^V} \text{MZ}} \text{Mod}_{\text{MZ}_S / \ell^V} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\text{MZ}_S^{\text{ét}} / \ell^V} : \pi_*$$

In particular, if $S = \text{Spec } k$, then we get an equivalence:

$$\pi^* : P_{\tau_{\ell^V} \text{MZ}} \text{DM}(k, \mathbf{Z} / \ell) \rightleftarrows \text{DM}_{\text{ét}}(k; \mathbf{Z} / \ell^V) : \pi_*$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.6 and Proposition 7.9. The identification with DM in the case of a field is a combination of the main theorem of [60] over characteristic zero and Theorem 5.8 of [31] over positive characteristics. \square

7.3.3. In order to prove a version of the above theorem for MGL and Landweber exact theories, we require \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring structures to construct module categories. This is where the strength of our integral statement in Theorem 7.3.3 comes into play since the motivic spectrum $L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} E_{M,(J)}$ is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring.

Theorem 7.11. *The adjunction:*

$$\pi_* : \text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}^{\text{ét}}} : \pi_*$$

induces an equivalence of presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -categories:

$$\pi^* : \text{Mod}_{L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} \text{MGL}_{(J)}} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}^{\text{ét}}} : \pi_*$$

More generally, if E_N is a Landweber exact spectrum and an \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectrum, then there is an equivalence of stable ∞ -categories:

$$\pi^* : \text{Mod}_{L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} E_{N,(J)}} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{(E_N)^{\text{ét}}} : \pi_*$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that for any $E \in \text{SH}(S)$ the localization L_E is compatible with the monoidal structure in the sense of [46, Definition 2.2.1.6, Remark 2.2.1.7]: for any E -equivalence $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $Z \in \text{SH}(S)$ $f \wedge Z : X \wedge Z \rightarrow Y \wedge Z$ is an E -equivalence. Therefore, by [46, Proposition 2.2.1.9], $L_{\text{MZ}^{\text{ét}}} \text{MGL}_{(J)}$ is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -algebra from which it follows that Theorem gives an equivalence of \mathcal{E}_∞ -algebras in $\text{SH}(S)$. The statement for motivic Landweber exact theories follows analogously. \square

8. APPENDIX A: PERIODIZATION AND LOCALIZATION

8.1. Periodization. We begin by reviewing the process of periodization/inversion of objects in a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. Our main reference is [29, Section 3]. The set-up is as follows: \mathcal{C} is a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and S a collection of objects of $\mathcal{C}_{/1}$, i.e, objects x equipped with a map $\alpha : x \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$. Let M be a \mathcal{C}^\otimes -module.

8.1.1. Let us first recall the periodization procedure which does not take into account coherence. We have already used this procedure in §6.1.7 and in other places throughout the paper. Here, we consider the category $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ as a symmetric monoidal category.

Suppose that we have $m \in \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$, which admits a unital multiplication (which is not necessarily associative) and $x \in \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$, which equipped with a unital pairing $m \otimes x \rightarrow x$. Let $\alpha : y \rightarrow m$ be a map from a \otimes -invertible object y . For example if $\mathcal{C} = \text{SH}(S)$, then this y could be $\Sigma^{p,q}\mathbf{1}$ and we have a homotopy element $\alpha : \Sigma^{p,q}\mathbf{1} \rightarrow m$. We have a map $\alpha \cdot : x \rightarrow y^{-1} \otimes x$ defined by applying $y^{\otimes -1}$ to the “multiplication by α map”:

$$y \otimes x \xrightarrow{\alpha} m \otimes x \rightarrow x.$$

Then we may define the α -inversion of x as the homotopy colimit

$$(65) \quad x \xrightarrow{\alpha \cdot} y^{-1} \otimes x \xrightarrow{id \otimes \alpha \cdot} y^{-1} \otimes y^{-1} \otimes x \rightarrow \dots$$

We denote the resulting object by $x[\alpha^{-1}]$.

8.1.2. Let us now tackle the homotopy coherent situation.

Definition 8.1. The ∞ -category $P_S M$ of S -periodic objects in M is the full subcategory of M spanned by objects $m \in M$ such that for all $\alpha : x \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ in S , the map $\alpha^* : \text{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, m) \simeq m \rightarrow \text{Hom}(x, m)$ is an equivalence.

Example 8.2. Let $n : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ be the multiplication by n map in SH , the stable ∞ -category of spectra. A spectrum is $\{n : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}\}$ -periodic if and only if n acts invertibly.

Proposition 8.3. Suppose the domains of elements in S are κ -compact. Then the fully faithful embedding of $P_S M$ into M admits a left adjoint $P_S : M \rightarrow P_S M$ which witnesses the latter as an κ -accessible localization at $\{id_m \otimes \alpha\}$, where $m \in M$, and $\alpha : x \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \in S$.

Proof. It is clear that limits of periodic objects are also periodic. To see that $P_S M \hookrightarrow M$ preserves κ -filtered colimits, note that for all $\alpha : x \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \in S$ we have:

$$\text{Maps}(x, \text{colim}_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}) \simeq \text{colim}_{\alpha} \text{Maps}(x, E_{\alpha}) \simeq \text{colim}_{\alpha} E_{\alpha},$$

and thus the left adjoint exists. By the adjunction $\text{Hom}(m \otimes x, n) \simeq \text{Hom}(m, \text{Hom}(x, n))$, we see that we are exactly inverting the maps $id_m \otimes \alpha : m \otimes x \rightarrow m \otimes \mathbf{1} \simeq m$. \square

8.1.3. The localization turns out to be compatible with the monoidal structure in the sense of [46, Proposition 2.2.1.9]. Thus $A \in \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ implies $P_S A \in \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$, and the map $A \rightarrow P_S A$ is one of algebras.

8.1.4. Let S_0 denote the set of domains of the morphisms in S . By the machinery explained in Theorem 2.4 we can consider the monoidal inversion $M[S_0^{-1}]$ for which there is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories [29, Proposition 3.2]:

$$P_S M[S_0^{-1}] \simeq P_S M.$$

Thus every S -periodic object in $\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ defines uniquely an S -periodic object in $\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}[S_0^{-1}])$.

8.1.5. An explicit formula for periodization is given in [29, Section 3]. We are interested in inverting a single map $\alpha : x \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, so the formula explained prior to Theorem 3.8 of [29] simplifies to:

$$Q_{\alpha} m := \text{colim } m \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \underline{\text{map}}(x, m) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \underline{\text{map}}(x, \underline{\text{map}}(x, m)) \simeq \underline{\text{map}}(x^{\otimes 2}, m) \dots$$

According to [29, Theorem 3.8], this formula computes exactly the α -periodization in certain cases. For our purposes it suffices to prove a simpler result.

8.1.6. Suppose Γ is a symmetric monoidal 1-groupoid, and D is a 1-category. The 1-category D^Γ of Γ -graded objects in D is the functor category $\text{Fun}(\Gamma, D)$. For $F : \Gamma \rightarrow D$ we set $F_\gamma := F(\gamma)$ and for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ we set $F_{\gamma+\gamma'} := F(\gamma \otimes \gamma')$. If Γ is the groupoid of integers with a unique invertible morphism and monoidal structure given by addition, then D^Γ is the category of graded objects in D . If $\Gamma := \text{Ho}(\text{Pic}(\text{SH}(S)))$, where $\text{Pic}(\text{SH}(S))$ denotes \otimes -invertible objects in $\text{SH}(S)$, then Ab^Γ corresponds to homotopy groups graded by \otimes -invertible objects. The point of the above definition is that we often have a functor $\pi : C \rightarrow D$ such that the collection of functors $\{\pi(\underline{\text{map}}(\gamma, -))\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is conservative for $\Gamma \subset C$ a sub-1-groupoid. This reduces the checking of certain higher coherences to simpler 1-categorical coherences.

Proposition 8.4. *Let C be a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and let $\alpha : x \rightarrow 1$ be a morphism. Suppose we are given the following data:*

- (1) $\Gamma \subset C$ a sub-1-groupoid of C containing the morphism α and the permutation isomorphisms $\sigma_n : x^{\otimes n} \rightarrow x^{\otimes n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- (2) A filtered colimit preserving functor:

$$\pi : C \rightarrow D,$$

so that the functors $\{\pi(\underline{\text{map}}(\gamma, -)) =: \pi_\gamma(-)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ form a conservative family.

- (3) For any $m \in C$, consider the diagram $\pi(\underline{\text{map}}(-, m)) \in D^\Gamma$. Then there exists $n \gg 0$ such that for any $m \geq n$ there is a commutative diagram in D :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi(\underline{\text{map}}(-, m))_{\Sigma_m x} & \xrightarrow{id} & \pi(\underline{\text{map}}(-, m))_{\Sigma_m x} \\ \sigma_{n,*} \downarrow & \nearrow id & \\ \pi(\underline{\text{map}}(-, m))_{\Sigma_m x} & & \end{array}$$

Then for x any n -symmetric object and $n \geq 2$, we have $Q_\alpha \simeq P_\alpha$.

Proof. For $m \in C$ we claim that $Q_\alpha m$ is α -periodic, i.e., $\alpha^* : Q_\alpha m \rightarrow \underline{\text{map}}(x, Q_\alpha m)$ is an equivalence in C . In effect, consider the (solid) commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} m & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{map}}(x, m) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{map}}(x^{\otimes 2}, m) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow Q_\alpha m \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \alpha^* \\ \underline{\text{map}}(x, m) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{map}}(x, \underline{\text{map}}(x, m)) \simeq \underline{\text{map}}(x^{\otimes 2}, m) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{map}}(x, \underline{\text{map}}(x^{\otimes 2}, m)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow \underline{\text{map}}(x, Q_\alpha m) \end{array}$$

We construct a quasi-inverse to α^* by constructing the indicated dotted arrows rendering the diagrams commutative. Letting the dotted arrows be identities, we see that while the top triangles commute, the bottom triangles *do not necessarily commute*. The problem is that the horizontal arrow is given by α^* , while the vertical arrow is given by $\underline{\text{map}}(id, \alpha^*)$. Hence, after adjunction, the two maps differ by a cyclic permutation. However, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, applying π_γ to the above solid diagram yields a diagram in the 1-category D :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_\gamma m & \longrightarrow & \pi_{\gamma+x} m & \longrightarrow & \pi_{\gamma+x+x} m & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow \text{colim}_n \pi_{\gamma+nx} m \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \pi_\gamma \alpha^* \\ \pi_{\gamma+x} m & \longrightarrow & \pi_{\gamma+x+x} m & \longrightarrow & \pi_{\gamma+x+x+x} m & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow \text{colim}_n \pi_{\gamma+nx} \underline{\text{map}}(x, Q_\alpha m) \end{array}$$

Here we have identified the last terms with $\pi_\gamma(Q_\alpha m)$ using the fact that π preserves filtered colimits. By the conservativity of π_γ , we need only prove that $\pi_\gamma(\alpha^*)$ is an isomorphism.

To see this, we examine the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \pi_{\gamma+kx}m \\ & \nearrow \text{id} & \downarrow \\ \pi_{\gamma+kx}m & \longrightarrow & \pi_{\gamma+(k+1)x}m \end{array}$$

If the above diagram commutes for all k large enough, then we will produce the desired inverse. The commutativity of the above diagram is thus given by the third hypothesis.

It remains to apply [29, Lemma 3.3] which states that if $Q_\alpha m$ is α -periodic then it must coincide with $P_\alpha m$. \square

Remark 8.5. Note that the third hypothesis is a weaker form of the condition that the map $\alpha : x \rightarrow 1$ is n -symmetric since we need only check a commutative diagram of 1-categories. According to the coherence results of Dugger in [15, Proposition 4.20-21] for invertible objects, the conclusion of Proposition holds for $\mathbf{C} = \text{SH}(S)$ and $\Gamma = \text{Ho}(\text{Pic}(\text{SH}(S)))$.

8.1.7. We shall apply Proposition 8.5 to $\mathbf{C} = \text{SH}(S)$ (resp. Mod_E for $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{SH}(S))$ or a presheaf of \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectra), and to Γ the sub-1-groupoid of $\text{SH}(S)$ (resp. Mod_E) spanned by $\Sigma^{2n,n}\Sigma_T^\infty X_+$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $E \wedge \Sigma^{2n,n}\Sigma_T^\infty X_+$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$) and all invertible 1-morphisms. We let $\pi := [S^0, -]$ so that $\{\pi(\underline{\text{map}}(\Sigma^{2n,n}\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, -))\}_\Gamma$ (resp. $\{\pi(\underline{\text{map}}(E \wedge \Sigma^{2n,n}\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, -))\}_\Gamma$) forms a conservative family.

8.1.8. *Examples.* We review some examples relevant for the main body of the paper.

Example 8.6. (*K-theory*) We work with $\mathbf{C} = \text{Mod}_K$. As explained in [29, Example 3.3] there is a map

$$\gamma : \Sigma^\infty(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus 0 \coprod_{\mathbf{G}_m} \mathbf{A}^1) \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty \Sigma(\mathbf{G}_m, 1) \rightarrow K$$

in presheaves of spectra on Sm_S , which defines a map $\gamma : K \wedge \Sigma^\infty(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus 0 \coprod_{\mathbf{G}_m} \mathbf{A}^1) \rightarrow K$ in Mod_K . The nonconnective Thomason-Trobaugh K -theory is the presheaf of \mathcal{E}_∞ ring spectra defined by the periodization $K^B := Q_\gamma K$ in Mod_K . Taking L_m of K (actually $L_{\mathbf{A}^1}$ suffices) γ -periodization coincides with β -periodization, where β is the second map defining γ . Using Proposition 8.5, we obtain the motivic \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectrum representing algebraic K -theory KGL such that $\Omega^\infty \text{KGL} \simeq L_m K^B$. This is the main content of [9]; in our language, this is discussed [29, Section 5], in the equivariant setting.

Example 8.7. (*Étale Cohomology*) We work with $\mathbf{C} = \text{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S; \mathbf{Z}/\ell)$. If ℓ is prime to all the residue characteristics of S , the presheaf μ_ℓ is a homotopy invariant étale sheaf with transfers; thus $\mu_\ell \in \mathbf{C}$. The choice of an ℓ -th root of unity is a map $\mathbf{Z}/\ell^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mu_\ell$; since μ_ℓ is invertible in \mathbf{C} , this amounts a map $\tau : \mu_\ell^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/\ell^{\text{ét}}$. Then $\text{H}\mu_\ell := Q_\tau \mathbf{Z}/\ell^{\text{ét}}$ represents étale cohomology with μ_ℓ -coefficients. By Proposition 8.5, $\text{H}\mu_\ell$ is an object of $\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S; \mathbf{Z}/\ell)$. Recall that $\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S; \mathbf{Z}/\ell) \simeq \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{Z}/\ell^{\text{ét}}(1) \simeq \mu_\ell$. Since $\tau : \mathbf{Z}/\ell^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mu_\ell, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^{\text{ét}})$ is an equivalence, the unit object in \mathbf{C} is τ -periodic and $\text{H}\mu_\ell \simeq \mathbf{Z}/\ell^{\text{ét}}$ in $\text{DM}_{\text{ét}}(S; \mathbf{Z}/\ell)$. The spectrum $\text{H}_{\text{ét}}\mu_\ell := u_{\text{tr}}\text{H}\mu_\ell$ in $\text{SH}_{\text{ét}}(S)$ represents étale cohomology with μ_ℓ -coefficients in the sense that $[\Sigma^{p,q}\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \text{H}_{\text{ét}}\mu_\ell] \cong H_{\text{ét}}^{-p}(X, \mu_\ell^{\otimes -q})$.

Example 8.8. (*Inverting elements in $\text{SH}(S)$*) Suppose that $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{SH}(S))$ so that Mod_E is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category (inverting elements for a noncommutative algebra is trickier). Given $F \rightarrow E$ in $\text{SH}(S)$, we get $\alpha : E \wedge F \rightarrow E$ in Mod_E and set $E[\alpha^{-1}] := Q_\alpha E$. More generally, given $\alpha : F \rightarrow E$, we may α -periodize any E -module M by setting $M[\alpha^{-1}] := P_\alpha M$.

8.2. Localization. A theory for Bousfield localization of motivic spectra, in the language of stable model categories was carried out in [61]. We quickly adopt their results to ∞ -categories; the results stated here are surely well-known to experts. Throughout $(\mathbf{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ is a presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category.

Definition 8.9. For $M, E, F \in \mathbf{C}$ we define:

- (1) $f : E \rightarrow F$ in \mathcal{C} to be an M -equivalence if $f \otimes id_M$ is an equivalence,
- (2) E to be M -acyclic if $E \otimes M \simeq 0$,
- (3) F to be M -local if for any M -acyclic object E , the mapping space $\text{Maps}(E, F)$ is contractible.

In the case that $\mathcal{C} = \text{SH}$, the stable ∞ -category of spectra, this is just a homotopy-theoretic refinements of the definition of [8]. In the case of $\mathcal{C} = \text{SH}(S)$, which is our primary case of interest, this is just an ∞ -categorical reformulation of the definitions of [61, Appendix A].

From now on we assume in addition that \mathcal{C} is presentably symmetric monoidal. In many cases M will at least be an \mathcal{E}_1 -algebra object in \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 8.10. *Any M -module is M -local.*

Proof. Suppose that F is an M -acyclic object. Then, for any M -module E , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maps}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, E) &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_M}(F \wedge M, E) \\ &\simeq \text{Maps}_{\text{Mod}_M}(0, E) \\ &\simeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

8.2.1. Let $\mathcal{C}_M \subset \mathcal{C}$ denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} spanned by the M -local objects. We would like to construct a *Bousfield localization functor*:

$$L_M : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_M,$$

as a left adjoint to the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_M \subset \mathcal{C}$. In this situation, we also call L_M an M -completion functor. Such a functor is produced in [45, Proposition 5.5.4.15]. Indeed, the collection of all morphisms f such that $f \otimes id_M$ is an equivalence forms a strongly saturated class by Proposition [45, Proposition 5.5.4.16] and thus the inclusion \mathcal{C}_M has a left adjoint. The following lemma is a slight refinements of this existence result.

Lemma 8.11. *Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable stable ∞ -category. Suppose $\ell : \mathcal{C}_0^\perp \subset \mathcal{C}$ is a full subcategory and let $r : \mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the full subcategory spanned by objects X in \mathcal{C} for which $\text{Maps}(Y, X) \simeq 0$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{C}_0^\perp$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The inclusion $r : \mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ such that $r \circ L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is exact and κ -accessible.*
- (2) *The full subcategory $r : \mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ is presentable, stable, and closed under κ -filtered colimits under sufficiently large κ .*

Furthermore, the following equivalent statements:

- (3) *The inclusion $\ell : \mathcal{C}_0^\perp \subset \mathcal{C}$ admits a right adjoint $R : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^\perp$ such that $\ell \circ R : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is exact and accessible,*
- (4) *The full subcategory $\ell : \mathcal{C}_0^\perp \subset \mathcal{C}$ is presentable and stable,*

implies the above two statements.

Proof. Assuming (1) we get $\mathcal{C}_0 \simeq LC$. By [46, Lemma 1.1.3.3], we need only show that \mathcal{C}_0 is stable under cofibers and translations. Stability under cofibers follow since L is a left adjoint and hence preserves cofibers in \mathcal{C} . To see stability under translations, it suffices to prove that $X[-1] \in \mathcal{C}_0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$. We have a cofiber sequence in \mathcal{C} , $X[-1] \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow X$. Then $rL(X[-1]) \rightarrow rL(0) \simeq 0 \rightarrow rL(X) \simeq X$ is still a cofiber sequence in \mathcal{C} by exactness of $r \circ L$, and is thus a fiber sequence by the stability of \mathcal{C} . Now since the inclusion r is fully faithful and a right adjoint, r creates limits and thus $L(X[-1]) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow X$ is a fiber sequence in \mathcal{C}_0 , and therefore the shift $X[-1]$ is indeed in \mathcal{C}_0 . The presentability of \mathcal{C}_0 follows from [45, Proposition 5.5.4.15], by letting S be all morphisms of the form $rL(f)$. In particular \mathcal{C}_0 is κ -accessible for some large enough cardinal κ , and thus admits κ -filtered colimits.

Assume that (2) holds. Since \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_0 are presentable, r preserves small limits and κ -filtered colimits for some cardinal κ . We deduce from the adjoint functor theorem [45, Corollary 5.5.2.9] that r admits a left adjoint $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$. By [45, Proposition 5.4.7.7], we see

that both L and r are accessible. By [46, Proposition 1.1.4.1] both r and L are exact, and thus their composite is accessible and exact.

The equivalence between (3) and (4) follows analogously by replacing left with right adjoints and using the adjoint functor theorem [45, Corollary 5.5.2.9] with right adjoints.

Next, assuming (3) we show (1). The cofiber of the counit transformation $\ell R \rightarrow id$ yields an endofunctor $L' : C \rightarrow C$. Since ℓR and id are exact and accessible, L' is exact and accessible. We claim that its essential image lies in C_0 and that the resulting functor $L : C \rightarrow C_0$ is the desired left adjoint. For any $X \in C$, we show that $L'(X) \in C_0$. If $Y \in C_0^\perp$ there is a cofiber sequence of spectra:

$$\text{Maps}(Y, \ell R(X)) \rightarrow \text{Maps}(Y, X) \rightarrow \text{Maps}(Y, L'(X)),$$

By the assumption on Y , $\text{Maps}(Y, \ell R(X)) \simeq \text{Maps}(Y, X)$ and therefore the final term is contractible and indeed the functor lies in the essential image. To check that it is left adjoint, it suffices to show that for any $X' \in C_0$, the map $L'(X) \rightarrow X$ induces an equivalence $\text{Maps}(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Maps}(L'(X), X')$. To this end we consider the defining cofiber sequence $\ell R(X) \rightarrow X \rightarrow L'(X)$. It induces a cofiber sequence of spectra:

$$\text{Maps}(L'(X), X') \rightarrow \text{Maps}(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Maps}(\ell R(X), X'),$$

where the final term is contractible since $X' \in C_0$. \square

Remark 8.12. The following standard warning applies: even though the functor L preserves colimits, the composite $r \circ L$ may not. In the case of localization at an \mathcal{E}_1 -ring spectrum E , $r \circ L$ preserves colimits if and only if it is computed at a spectrum F by smashing F with $r \circ L(\mathbf{1})$.

8.2.2. *Example: ℓ -completion.* Let $M = \mathbf{1}/\ell$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case M is *not* an \mathcal{E}_1 -object in $\text{SH}(S)$. The Bousfield localization functor:

$$L_{\mathbf{1}/\ell} : \text{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{SH}(S)_{\mathbf{1}/\ell},$$

admits an explicit formula. Define the ℓ -adic completion functor as:

$$(-)^\wedge_\ell : \text{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{SH}(S); \quad E^\wedge_\ell := \lim(E \leftarrow E/\ell \leftarrow E/\ell^2 \leftarrow \dots),$$

where the transition maps $E/\ell^{k+1} \rightarrow E/\ell^k$ are induced by the natural equivalence at the bottom row of the following diagram of cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E & \xrightarrow{\times \ell} & E & \longrightarrow & E/\ell & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{1,0}E \\ \downarrow id & & \downarrow \times \ell^k & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Sigma^{1,0}id \\ E & \xrightarrow{\times \ell^{k+1}} & E & \longrightarrow & E/\ell^{k+1} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{1,0}E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E/\ell^k & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Proposition 8.13. *The Bousfield localization functor $L_{\mathbf{1}/\ell} : \text{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{SH}(S)_{\mathbf{1}/\ell}$ coincides with the ℓ -adic completion functor $(-)^\wedge_\ell : \text{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{SH}(S)$.*

Proof. The proof in [61, Example 3.5] applies in the ∞ -categorical setting. \square

Thus the ∞ -category $\text{SH}(S)_{\mathbf{1}/\ell}$ is the ℓ -adic completion of $\text{SH}(S)$; we write $\text{SH}(S)^\wedge_\ell := \text{SH}(S)_{\mathbf{1}/\ell}$.

8.2.3. *Example: localization.* Applying Lemma 8.11 to $E \in \text{SH}(S)$ we can construct an adjunction:

$$L_E : \text{SH}(S) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}(S)_E : r_E,$$

where $\text{SH}(S)_E$ is the full subcategory of E -local objects.

Proposition 8.14. *If $M \in \text{SH}(S)$, the inclusion $i : \text{SH}(S)_M \subset \text{SH}(S)$ admits a left adjoint $L_M : \text{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{SH}(S)_M$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{SH}(S)_M^\perp$ denote the M -acyclic objects. We need to verify condition (4) of Lemma 8.11. The nontrivial thing to check is that $\mathrm{SH}(S)_M^\perp$ is κ -accessible for some large cardinal κ . In other words, it is of the form $\mathrm{Ind}_\kappa(C_0)$ for a small ∞ -category C_0 . For κ we choose the minimal cardinal larger than $\bigcup_{p,q,X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S} |M_{p,q}(X)|$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality of a set. Let C_0 be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{SH}(S)_M$ spanned by objects A such that $|\mathrm{Hom}(\Sigma^{p,q} X_+, A)| < \kappa$. The objects of C_0 form a set and is thus a small ∞ -category. The verification that this κ works is in [61, Appendix A]. \square

8.2.4. *Fracture squares.* We record Bousfield localization fracture squares in the context of motivic homotopy theory [56, Theorem A.1].

Theorem 8.15. *Let $E, F, G \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$, and suppose that $E \wedge L_F G \simeq E \wedge L_F L_E G \simeq 0$. Then there is a Cartesian square in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{E \vee F} G & \xrightarrow{\eta_E} & L_E G \\ \eta_F \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_F G & \xrightarrow{L_F \eta_E} & L_F L_E G. \end{array}$$

A special case we will use repeatedly is the so-called arithmetic fracture square.

Theorem 8.16. *For any $E \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ there is a Cartesian square in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\eta_E} & \prod_\ell E_\ell^\wedge \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_Q & \xrightarrow{L_F \eta_E} & (\prod_\ell E_\ell^\wedge)_Q. \end{array}$$

8.2.5. Finally, we record a lemma about localization and the six functors formalism.

Lemma 8.17. *Suppose M is a Cartesian section of SH and $f : S \rightarrow T$ is a map of schemes. Then for $E \in \mathrm{SH}(T)$ there is a canonical equivalence:*

$$f^* L_M E \xrightarrow{\simeq} L_M f^* E.$$

Proof. First we show that f_* preserves M -local objects. If $M \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ is M -local and $F \in \mathrm{SH}(T)$ is M -acyclic, then $f^* F$ is M -acyclic since $M \wedge f^* F \simeq f^*(M \wedge F) \simeq 0$ (here we use that M is Cartesian and f^* is monoidal). As a result, $[F, f_* E] \simeq [f^* F, E] \simeq 0$ and thus the following diagram of right adjoints commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SH}(S)_M & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SH}(S) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ \mathrm{SH}(T)_M & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SH}(T). \end{array}$$

Thus the diagram with the corresponding left adjoints commutes too, i.e., $f^* L_M \simeq L_M f^*$. \square

9. APPENDIX B: E-SLICE COMPLETENESS AND E-LOCALITY

Here we record the following result related to [32, Lemma 4.1] which we will not need but it inspired the proof of Theorem 7.3.3, and is generally useful.

Proposition 9.1. *Let E be a motivic \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectrum. Suppose $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_E$ is E -slice complete and eventually effective, i.e., there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Sigma_T^q E \in \mathrm{Mod}_E^{\mathrm{eff}}(S)$. Then M is $s_0^E(E)$ -local.*

Proof. By 3.0.5 M is E -slice complete if it is equivalent to $\lim f_E^q M$. Since the ∞ -category of $s_0^E(E)$ -local objects is colocalizing, thus closed under limits, it suffices to prove $f_E^q M$ is $s_0^E(E)$ -local for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that M is E -effective and so we may assume $q \geq -1$. In this case, $f_0^E M \simeq M$ and thus the cofiber sequence

$f_1^E M \rightarrow f_0^E M \simeq E \rightarrow f_E^{-1} M$ implies that $f_E^{-1} M \simeq s_0^E(M)$. Since $s_0^E(M)$ is a module over the \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectrum $s_0^E(E)$ [24, Section 6 (v)], we have that $f_E^{-1}(M)$ is $s_0^E(E)$ -local. Assume now that $f_E^{q'} M$ is $s_0^E(E)$ -local for all $q' < q$. We claim $f_E^q M$ is $s_0^E(E)$ -local. To see this, we use the cofiber sequence $s_q^E M \rightarrow f_E^q M \rightarrow f_E^{q-1} M$. Since $s_q^E M$ is an $s_0^E(M)$ -module, the claim follows from the inductive hypothesis. \square

Remark 9.2. Suppose $S = \text{Spec } k$ is a perfect field of finite cohomological dimension and exponential characteristic c . Then according to [42, Theorem 4] objects in $\text{SH}(k)^{\text{proj}}[\frac{1}{c}]$ are slice complete and thus $s_0(\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{c}]) \simeq \text{MZ}[\frac{1}{c}]$ -local.

10. APPENDIX C: TRANSFERS FOR MGL

In this appendix we prove the following statement about transfers in MGL modules:

Proposition 10.1. *Suppose that $k \subset L$ is a finite Galois extension of degree d with Galois group G and denote by $p : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ the map on prime spectra. Then there exists a transfer map in $\text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_k}$:*

$$(66) \quad \text{Tr}_p : \text{MGL}_k \rightarrow \text{MGL} \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+,$$

satisfying:

- Tr_p is Galois equivariant in $\text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_k}$.
- The composite:

$$(67) \quad \text{MGL}_k \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_p} \text{MGL} \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+ \xrightarrow{\Sigma_T^\infty p_+} \text{MGL}_k$$

is multiplication by d in $\text{Ho}(\text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_k})$.

- The composite:

$$(68) \quad \text{MGL} \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+ \xrightarrow{\Sigma_T^\infty p_+} \text{MGL}_k \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_p} \text{MGL} \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+$$

is homotopic to the map:

$$\bigvee_{g \in G} g \cdot : \text{MGL} \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+ \rightarrow \text{MGL} \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+,$$

in $\text{Ho}(\text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_k})$.

Proof. The proof follows from the six functors formalism for MGL. See [13] for the state of the art. We have adjunctions,

$$(69) \quad p^* : \text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_k} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_L} : p_*,$$

and:

$$(70) \quad p_\# : \text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_L} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_k} : p^*,$$

The transfer map is defined to be the unit of (69):

$$\eta = \text{Tr}_p : \text{MGL}_k \rightarrow p_* p^* \text{MGL}_k \simeq \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+,$$

where we have used that $p_\# \simeq p_*$ whenever p is étale. On the other hand the natural map $\Sigma_T^\infty p_+ : \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+ \wedge \text{MGL} \xrightarrow{p} \text{MGL}_k$ is obtained by the counit of (70):

$$\epsilon : p_\# p^* \text{MGL}_k \rightarrow \text{MGL}_k.$$

By naturality of the constructions, the map Tr_p is Galois equivariant.

Now to check (67) we are looking at the composite:

$$\text{MGL}_k \xrightarrow{\eta} p_* p^* \text{MGL}_k \simeq p_\# p^* \text{MGL}_k \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \text{MGL}_k.$$

The fact that this is multiplication by d follows from [13, Proposition 3.3.9]. On the other hand, we have a Cartesian square of k -schemes since $k \subset L$ is Galois:

$$(71) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{g \in G} \text{Spec } L & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \text{Spec } L \\ \pi_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \text{Spec } L & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{Spec } k. \end{array}$$

Consider the following diagram of natural transformations:

$$(72) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} p_{\#}p^* & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \text{id} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & p_*p^* \\ \eta \downarrow & & & \searrow \eta & \uparrow \cong \\ p_{\#}\pi_{1*}\pi_1^*p^* \simeq p_*\pi_{1*}\pi_1^*p^* & & & & \\ c, \simeq \downarrow & & & & \\ p_*\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*p^* & \xrightarrow{(Ex^*)^{-1}, \simeq} & p_*p^*p_*p^* & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & p_!p^* \simeq p_*p^*. \end{array}$$

We claim that the diagram above commutes. It suffices to check the commutativity of the trapezoid, which follows by expanding the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} p_{\#}p^* & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \text{id} & & \\ \eta \downarrow & & & \searrow \eta & \\ p_{\#}\pi_{1*}\pi_1^*p^* \simeq p_*\pi_{1*}\pi_1^*p^* & \xrightarrow{\eta} & p_*\pi_{1*}\delta_*\delta^*\pi_1^*p^* & & \\ c, \simeq \downarrow & & c, \simeq \downarrow & & \\ p_*\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*p^* & \xrightarrow{\eta} & p_*\pi_{2*}\delta_*\delta^*\pi_1^*p^* & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & p_!p^* \simeq p_*p^*. \\ \uparrow Ex^*, \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow & \nearrow = & \\ p_*p^*p_*p^* & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & p_*p^* & & \end{array}$$

Since units and counits commute, the only nontrivial commutation is the bottom square and is proved in [28, Lemma B.1.1]⁵.

Applying the unit $MGL_k \in \text{Mod}_{MGL_k}$ and using the projection formula we obtain an identification:

$$p_*p^*p_*p^*MGL_k \simeq p_*p^*MGL_k \wedge p_*p^*MGL_k \simeq MGL \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+ \wedge \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+.$$

Therefore applying the unit $MGL_k \in \text{Mod}_{MGL_k}$ to the outer square of (72) gives us a commutative diagram in Mod_{MGL_k} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+ \wedge MGL_k & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_T^\infty p_+} & MGL_k \\ \text{Tr}_{\pi_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tr}_p \\ \bigvee_{g \in G} \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+ \wedge MGL_k & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_T^\infty \pi_{2,+}} & \Sigma_T^\infty \text{Spec } L_+ \wedge MGL_k. \end{array}$$

Now the left square vertical map is computed as the transfer map for the extension $\coprod_{g \in G} \text{Spec } L \simeq \text{Spec } L \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$. According to [28, Lemma 5.5] this is

the desuspension of the collapse map $\mathbf{P}_L^1 / \infty \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{P}_k^1}{\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus a(\coprod_{g \in G} \text{Spec } L)}$ for some embedding $a :$

⁵In [28], Hoyois works over the sphere spectrum. Any relation he proves holds in Mod_{MGL} by just tensoring with the motivic MGL which is a premotivic transformation in the sense of [13].

$\coprod_{g \in G} \text{Spec } L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^1$. Picking any embedding a , we see that the collapse map is \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopic to the pinch map $\mathbf{P}_L^1 \rightarrow \bigvee_{g \in G} \mathbf{P}_L^1$. Hence, going through the vertical left and bottom horizontal arrow gets us the map $x \mapsto \sum_g g x$ in $\text{Ho}(\text{Mod}_{\text{MGL}_k})$, as desired. \square

As a result of the the transfer constructed in Proposition 9.1, for any Galois extension $k \subset L$ of degree d and Galois group G , and for n coprime to d , the standard diagram chase tells us that we have an injection for any $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ $\text{MGL}/n_{p,q}(k) \rightarrow \text{MGL}/n_{p,q}(L)$ whose image identifies with the fixed subgroup of induced Galois:

$$(73) \quad \text{MGL}/n_{p,q}(k) \cong \text{MGL}/n_{p,q}(L)^G.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3* (1973), vi+640. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. [↑4.0.12, 4.14, 4.2](#)
- [2] J. Ayoub, *Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. I*, *Astérisque* **314** (2007), x+466 pp. (2008). [↑5.2](#)
- [3] ———, *A guide to (étale) motivic sheaves*, Seoul ICM Proceedings 2014, available at <http://user.math.uzh.ch/ayoub/PDF-Files/ICM2014.pdf>. [↑1](#)
- [4] ———, *La réalisation étale et les opérations de Grothendieck*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **47** (2014), no. 1, 1–145, DOI 10.24033/asens.2210. [↑1, 2.3.4](#)
- [5] J. Ayoub and L. Barbieri-Viale, *1-motivic sheaves and the Albanese functor*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213** (2009), no. 5, 809–839, DOI 10.1016/j.jpaa.2008.10.002. [↑](#)
- [6] T. Bachmann and M. Hoyois, *Multiplicative norms in motivic homotopy theory* (2017), available at [arXiv:1711.0306](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.0306). [↑](#)
- [7] J. M. Boardman, *Conditionally convergent spectral sequences*, *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures* (Baltimore, MD, 1998), 1999, pp. 49–84, DOI 10.1090/conm/239/03597. [↑3.0.6, 6.14](#)
- [8] A. K. Bousfield, *The localization of spectra with respect to homology*, *Topology* **18** (1979), no. 4, 257–281, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(79)90018-1. [↑8.2](#)
- [9] D.-C. Cisinski, *Descente par éclatements en K-théorie invariante par homotopie*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **177** (2013), no. 2, 425–448, DOI 10.4007/annals.2013.177.2.2. [↑8.6](#)
- [10] D.-C. Cisinski and F. Déglise, *Triangulated categories of mixed motives* (2009), available at [arXiv:0912.2110](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.2110). [↑2.3, 2.3.1, 2.3.2, 6.0.2, 6.2, 6.2.1](#)
- [11] ———, *Étale motives*, *Compos. Math.* **152** (2016), no. 3, 556–666, DOI 10.1112/S0010437X15007459. [↑1, 2.8, 2.3, 2.3.3, 2.3.4](#)
- [12] *Travaux de Gabber sur l’uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents* (2014), i–xxiv and 1–625. Séminaire à l’École Polytechnique 2006–2008. [Seminar of the Polytechnic School 2006–2008], With the collaboration of F. Déglise, A. Moreau, V. Pilloni, M. Raynaud, J. Riou, B. Stroth, M. Temkin and W. Zheng, *Astérisque* No. 363-364 (2014) (2014). [↑5.2.3](#)
- [13] F. Déglise, *Bivariant Theories in Motivic Stable Homotopy* (2017), available at [arXiv:1705.01528](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.01528). [↑10, 10, 5](#)
- [14] A. Dold and D. Puppe, *Duality, trace and transfer*, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **154** (1983), 81–97. *Topology* (Moscow, 1979). [↑5.2](#)
- [15] D. Dugger, *Coherence for invertible objects and multigraded homotopy rings*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **14** (2014), no. 2, 1055–1106, DOI 10.2140/agt.2014.14.1055. [↑8.5](#)
- [16] D. Dugger, S. Hollander, and D. C. Isaksen, *Hypercovers and simplicial presheaves*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **136** (2004), no. 1, 9–51, DOI 10.1017/S0305004103007175. MR2034012 [↑1](#)
- [17] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen, *Motivic cell structures*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **5** (2005), 615–652, DOI 10.2140/agt.2005.5.615. [↑7.2](#)
- [18] B. I. Dundas, O. Röndigs, and P. A. Østvær, *Motivic functors*, *Doc. Math.* **8** (2003), 489–525. MR2029171 [↑1, 2.3.6](#)
- [19] W. Dwyer, E. M. Friedlander, V. Snaith, and R. W. Thomason, *Algebraic K-theory eventually surjects onto topological K-theory*, *Invent. Math.* **66** (1982), no. 3, 481–491, DOI 10.1007/BF01389225. [↑6.1.7, 6.3.2](#)
- [20] E. Elmanto and H. K. Kolderup, *Modules over Milnor-Witt motivic cohomology*, available at [arXiv:1708.05651](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.05651). [↑7.3, 7.3](#)
- [21] E. M. Friedlander, *Étale K-theory. I. Connections with étale cohomology and algebraic vector bundles*, *Invent. Math.* **60** (1980), no. 2, 105–134, DOI 10.1007/BF01405150. [↑](#)
- [22] T. Geisser and M. Levine, *The Bloch-Kato conjecture and a theorem of Suslin-Voevodsky*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **530** (2001), 55–103, DOI 10.1515/crll.2001.006. [↑6.0.4](#)
- [23] D. Gepner and V. Snaith, *On the motivic spectra representing algebraic cobordism and algebraic K-theory*, *Doc. Math.* **14** (2009), 359–396. [↑1.3](#)
- [24] J. J. Gutiérrez, O. Röndigs, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær, *Motivic slices and coloured operads*, *J. Topol.* **5** (2012), no. 3, 727–755, DOI 10.1112/jtopol/jts015. [↑6.1.2, 6.1.4, 6.2.1, 9](#)

- [25] C. Haesemayer and J. Hornbostel, *Motives and étale motives with finite coefficients*, *K-Theory* **34** (2005), no. 3, 195–207, DOI 10.1007/s10977-005-1563-6. [↑1.0.2](#), [7.3](#), [7.3.2](#)
- [26] J. Heller, *Motivic strict ring spectra representing semi-topological cohomology theories*, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **17** (2015), no. 2, 107–135, DOI 10.4310/HHA.2015.v17.n2.a7. [↑1.0.3](#)
- [27] J. Hornbostel and S. Yagunov, *Rigidity for Henselian local rings and \mathbb{A}^1 -representable theories*, *Math. Z.* **255** (2007), no. 2, 437–449, DOI 10.1007/s00209-006-0049-4. [↑7.1.1](#)
- [28] M. Hoyois, *A quadratic refinement of the Grothendieck-Lefschetz-Verdier trace formula*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **14** (2014), no. 6, 3603–3658. [↑10](#), [5](#)
- [29] M. Hoyois, *Equivariant classifying spaces and cdh descent for the homotopy K-theory of tame stacks* (2016), available at [arXiv:1604.06410](#). [↑1.0.4](#), [4.0.6](#), [8.1](#), [8.1.4](#), [8.1.5](#), [8.1.6](#), [8.6](#)
- [30] ———, *From algebraic cobordism to motivic cohomology*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **702** (2015), 173–226, DOI 10.1515/crelle-2013-0038. [↑2.3.5](#), [2.3.6](#), [4.1](#), [4.2](#), [6.0.2](#), [6.1.13](#), [6.3.1](#)
- [31] M. Hoyois, S. Kelly, and P. A. Østvær, *The motivic Steenrod algebra in positive characteristic* (2013), available at [arXiv:1305.5690](#). [↑1.0.2](#), [1](#), [6.1.4](#), [7.3.2](#)
- [32] M. Hoyois, A. Krishna, and P. A. Østvær, *\mathbb{A}^1 -contractibility of Karas-Russell threefolds*, *Algebr. Geom.* **3** (2016), no. 4, 407–423, DOI 10.14231/AG-2016-019. [↑9](#)
- [33] M. Hovey, *Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **165** (2001), no. 1, 63–127. [↑4.0.5](#)
- [34] J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial presheaves*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **47** (1987), no. 1, 35–87. [↑4.0.3](#)
- [35] ———, *Motivic symmetric spectra*, *Doc. Math.* **5** (2000), 445–552. [↑4.0.5](#)
- [36] ———, *Generalized étale cohomology theories*, *Modern Birkhäuser Classics*, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010. Reprint of the 1997 edition [MR1437604]. [↑1.6](#), [4.0.4](#)
- [37] Adeel A. Khan, *Brave new motivic homotopy theory I* (2016), available at [arXiv:1610.06871](#). [↑2.1.4](#)
- [38] M. Levine, *K-Theory and motivic cohomology of schemes*, available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/336/{\ell}ot.pdf>. [↑1.6](#)
- [39] ———, *Inverting the motivic Bott element*, *K-Theory* **19** (2000), no. 1, 1–28, DOI 10.1023/A:1007874218371. [↑1.0.2](#), [6.0.5](#)
- [40] ———, *Chow’s moving lemma and the homotopy coniveau tower*, *K-Theory* **37** (2006), no. 1-2, 129–209, DOI 10.1007/s10977-006-0004-5. [↑3](#)
- [41] ———, *The homotopy coniveau tower*, *J. Topol.* **1** (2008), no. 1, 217–267, DOI 10.1112/jtopol/jtm004. [↑3](#), [6.1.4](#)
- [42] ———, *Convergence of Voevodsky’s slice tower*, *Doc. Math.* **18** (2013), 907–941. [↑3.0.6](#), [9.2](#)
- [43] ———, *Motivic Landweber exact theories and their effective covers*, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **17** (2015), no. 1, 377–400, DOI 10.4310/HHA.2015.v17.n1.a18. [↑6.3.1](#)
- [44] M. Levine, Y. Yang, and G. Zhao, *Algebraic elliptic cohomology theory and flops, I*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.2159* (2013). [↑5.2.3](#)
- [45] J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR2522659 (2010j:18001) [↑2.1](#), [2.1.1](#), [2.1.2](#), [2.1.4](#), [2.1.9](#), [2.2.1](#), [3.0.1](#), [4.0.5](#), [4.0.6](#), [4.0.9](#), [5.1](#), [8.2.1](#), [8.2.1](#)
- [46] J. Lurie, *Higher algebra*, available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/higheralgebra.pdf>. [↑1.0.5](#), [2.1.6](#), [2.3.6](#), [3.0.1](#), [4.0.2](#), [5.1](#), [5.1](#), [7.3.3](#), [8.1.3](#), [8.2.1](#)
- [47] ———, *Spectral Algebraic Geometry*, available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>. [↑4.0.3](#)
- [48] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. Weibel, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, *Clay Mathematics Monographs*, vol. 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2006. [↑4.0.12](#), [6.0.5](#), [7.1.1](#)
- [49] S. A. Mitchell, *Hypercohomology spectra and Thomason’s descent theorem*, *Algebraic K-theory* (Toronto, ON, 1996), 1997, pp. 221–277. [↑1.6](#)
- [50] F. Morel, *An introduction to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory*, *Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory*, 2004, pp. 357–441. [↑4.1](#), [6.0.5](#), [6.1.13](#)
- [51] ———, *The stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorems*, *K-Theory* **35** (2005), no. 1-2, 1–68, DOI 10.1007/s10977-005-1562-7. [↑4](#)
- [52] ———, *\mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology over a field*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 2052, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2012. [↑6.0.5](#), [6.1.13](#)
- [53] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky, *\mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **90** (1999), 45–143. [↑1](#)
- [54] N. Naumann, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær, *Motivic Landweber exactness*, *Doc. Math.* **14** (2009), 551–593. [↑\(document\)](#), [1.0.3](#), [6.2.1](#), [6.2.1](#), [6.3.1](#), [3](#), [7.1.2](#)
- [55] S. Oka, *Multiplications on the Moore spectrum*, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A* **38** (1984), no. 2, 257–276, DOI 10.2206/kyushumfs.38.257. [↑6.1.5](#)
- [56] K. M. Ormsby and P. A. Østvær, *Stable motivic π_1 of low-dimensional fields*, *Adv. Math.* **265** (2014), 97–131, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2014.07.024. MR3255457 [↑8.2.4](#)
- [57] G. Quick, *Stable étale realization and étale cobordism*, *Adv. Math.* **214** (2007), no. 2, 730–760, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2007.03.005. [↑1.6](#)
- [58] J. Riou, *Dualité de Spanier-Whitehead en géométrie algébrique*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **340** (2005), no. 6, 431–436, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2005.02.002. [↑5.2](#), [5.2](#)

- [59] M. Robalo, *K-theory and the bridge from motives to noncommutative motives*, Adv. Math. **269** (2015), 399–550, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2014.10.011. [↑2.1, 2.4, 2.1.7, 2.5, 2.6](#)
- [60] O. Röndigs and P. A. Østvær, *Modules over motivic cohomology*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), no. 2, 689–727, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2008.05.013. [↑1, 2.3.6, 5.2.3, 7.3.2](#)
- [61] ———, *Rigidity in motivic homotopy theory*, Math. Ann. **341** (2008), no. 3, 651–675, DOI 10.1007/s00208-008-0208-5. [↑8.2, 8.2, 8.2.2, 8.2.3](#)
- [62] ———, *Slices of hermitian K-theory and Milnor’s conjecture on quadratic forms*, Geom. Topol. **20** (2016), no. 2, 1157–1212, DOI 10.2140/gt.2016.20.1157. [↑3, 3.0.6, 3.0.8](#)
- [63] O. Röndigs, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær, *The first stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres* (2016), available at [arXiv:1604.00365](#). [↑3, 3.0.5, 3.0.6, 4.1, 6.1.5](#)
- [64] ———, *The motivic Hopf map solves the homotopy limit problem for K-theory* (2017), available at [arXiv:1701.06144](#). [↑3, 3.0.4, 3.0.5, 3.0.5](#)
- [65] A. Rosenschon and P. A. Østvær, *The homotopy limit problem for two-primary algebraic K-theory*, Topology **44** (2005), no. 6, 1159–1179, DOI 10.1016/j.top.2005.04.004. [↑1.6](#)
- [66] ———, *Descent for K-theories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **206** (2006), no. 1-2, 141–152, DOI 10.1016/j.jpaa.2005.06.019. [↑1.6](#)
- [67] S. S. Shatz, *Profinite groups, arithmetic, and geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1972. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 67. [↑6.1.13](#)
- [68] M. Spitzweck, *Slices of motivic Landweber spectra*, J. K-Theory **9** (2012), no. 1, 103–117, DOI 10.1017/is010008019jkt128. [↑4.0.12, 4.0.12, 6.1.1, 6.3.1, 6.3.1](#)
- [69] M. Spitzweck and P. A. Østvær, *The Bott inverted infinite projective space is homotopy algebraic K-theory*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (2009), no. 2, 281–292, DOI 10.1112/blms/bdn124. [↑1.3](#)
- [70] R. W. Thomason, *Algebraic K-theory and étale cohomology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **18** (1985), no. 3, 437–552. [↑\(document\), 1.0.1, 1.2, 1.0.1, 1.6, 1.0.3, 4.0.2, 4.0.4, 4.0.4, 6.1.5, 6.3.2, 7.1](#)
- [71] B. Toraro, *Adjoint functors on the derived category of motives*, posted on 2015, DOI 10.1017/S1474748016000104, available at [arXiv:1502.05079](#). [↑7.6](#)
- [72] V. Voevodsky, *A¹-homotopy theory*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Berlin, 1998), 1998, pp. 579–604. [↑2.1.8](#)
- [73] V. Voevodsky, *Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I*, Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998), 2002, pp. 3–34. [↑3, 3.0.6, 3.0.8, 6.1.4](#)
- [74] ———, *Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **7** (2002), 351–355, DOI 10.1155/S107379280210403X. [↑2.3.2, 6.0.4](#)
- [75] ———, *Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **98** (2003), 1–57, DOI 10.1007/s10240-003-0009-z. [↑6.1.4](#)
- [76] ———, *Unstable motivic homotopy categories in Nisnevich and cdh-topologies*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 8, 1399–1406. [↑1](#)
- [77] ———, *Cancellation theorem*, Doc. Math. **Extra vol.: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday** (2010), 671–685. [↑2.15, 4.1](#)
- [78] ———, *Simplicial additive functors*, J. K-Theory **5** (2010), no. 2, 201–244, DOI 10.1017/is010003026jkt097. [↑2.1.4](#)
- [79] ———, *On motivic cohomology with \mathbf{Z}/l -coefficients*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 401–438, DOI 10.4007/annals.2011.174.1.11. [↑1.4](#)
- [80] V. Voevodsky, O. Röndigs, and P. A. Østvær, *Voevodsky’s Nordfjordeid lectures: motivic homotopy theory*, Motivic homotopy theory, 2007, pp. 147–221. [↑4.0.5](#)
- [81] V. Voevodsky, A. Suslin, and E. M. Friedlander, *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories* **143** (2000), vi+254. [↑1](#)
- [82] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. [↑6.1.9](#)
- [83] G. M. Wilson, *The η -inverted sphere over the rationals* (2017), available at [arXiv:1708.06523](#). [↑6.1.11](#)

Elden Elmanto
Northwestern University
Department of Mathematics
2033 Sheridan Road
Evanston, IL 60208
USA
eldenelmanto@gmail.com

Marc Levine
Universität Duisburg-Essen
Fakultät für Mathematik
Thea-Leymann-Strasse 9
45127 Essen
Germany
levine@uni-due.de

Markus Spitzweck
Universität Osnabrück

Fakultät für Mathematik
Albrechtstr. 28a
49076 Osnabrück
Germany
markus.spitzweck@uni-osnabrueck.de

Paul Arne Østvær
Universitetet i Oslo
The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Ullevål stadion Sognsveien 77 B
0855 Oslo
Norway
paularne@math.uio.no